



RESEARCH ARTICLE

A MULTI-SERVER MARKOVIAN QUEUEING SYSTEM WITH DISCOURAGED ARRIVALS AND RETENTION OF RENEGED CUSTOMERS WITH CONTROLLABLE ARRIVAL RATES

<sup>1</sup>Thiagarajan, M. and <sup>2</sup>Premalatha, S.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph’s College (Autonomous), Trichy – 620002, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Cauvery College for Women, Trichy – 620018, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2015  
Received in revised form  
05<sup>th</sup> December, 2015  
Accepted 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2016  
Published online 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a multi-server, finite Capacity, interdependent queueing model, controllable arrival rates with discouraged arrivals and retention of renegeed customers is considered. The steady state probabilities of system size are derived explicitly. The effect of the probability of customer’s retention on the expected system size has been studied. The analytical results are numerically illustrated and relevant conclusions are presented.

Key words:

Probability of Customers Retention,  
Reneging,  
Discouraged Arrivals,  
Steady State Solution,  
Multi Server,  
Bivariate Poisson Process,  
Finite Capacity.

Copyright © 2016 Thiagarajan, M. and Premalatha. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Thiagarajan, M. and Premalatha, S. 2016. “A multi-server markovian queueing system with discouraged arrivals and retention of renegeed customers with controllable arrival rates”, *International Journal of Current Research*, 8, (01), 24763-26770.

INTRODUCTION

Queues with discouraged arrivals have applications in computer with batch job processing where job submissions are discouraged when the system is used frequently and arrivals are modeled as a Poisson process with state dependent arrival rate. The discouragement affects the arrivals rate of the queueing system. Morse (1968) considers discouragement in which the arrival rate falls according to a negative exponential Law. We consider c servers and the customer arrive in to a multi-server queueing system in a Poisson fashion with rates  $\lambda_0$ - faster arrival rate,  $\lambda_1$ - slower arrival rate and a customer finding every server busy arrive with arrival rate that depends on the number of customers present in the system at that time. That is, if there are  $n(n > c)$  customers in the system, the new customer enters the system with faster arrival rate  $\frac{\lambda_0}{(n-c)+1}$  and with the slower arrival rate  $\frac{\lambda_1}{(n-c)+1}$ . It is also

assumed that whenever the queue size reaches a prescribed number  $R$ , the arrival rate reduces from  $\lambda_0$  to  $\lambda_1$  and it continues with the rate as long as the content in the queue was greater than some prescribed integer  $r[r \geq 0)$  and  $r < R]$ . when the content reached  $R$ , the arrival rate changed back to  $\lambda_0$  and the same process is repeated. Queueing with impatience finds its origin during the early 1950’s Haight (1959) studied a single server Markovian queueing system with reneging. Srinivasa Rao et al. (2000) have discussed  $M/M/1/\infty$  interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates. Srinivasan and Thiagarajan (2006, 2007) have analysed  $M/M/1/K$  interdependent Queueing model with controllable arrival rates and  $M/M/C/K/N$  interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates balking, reneging and spares.

\*Corresponding author: Premalatha, S.,  
Department of Mathematics, Cauvery College for Women, Trichy – 620018, India.

Choudhury and Medhi (2010) have studied customer impatience in multi server queues. Kapodistria (2011) has studied a single server Markovian queue with impatient customers and considered the situations where customers abandon the system simultaneously. Kumar and Sharma (Kumar and Sharma, 2012) have studied  $M/M/1/N$  queueing system with retention of renege customers. Abou- El- Ata and Hariri (Abou-EL-Ata and Hariri, 1992) have analyzed some multi server queueing systems with balking and renege. An attempt is made in this paper to obtain relevant results for the  $M/M/C/K$  interdependent queueing models with discouraged arrivals, retention of renege customers and controllable arrival rates. In section 2, the description of the model is given. In section 3, the steady state equations are obtained. In section 4, the characteristics of the model are derived. In section 5, numerical results are illustrated.

**Description of the Model**

Consider a Multi server finite capacity Markovian Queueing system with discouraged arrivals and Retention of renege customers arrive according to the Poisson flow of rate  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$ . The mean service rate is given by  $\mu_n = \{n\mu; 0 \leq n \leq c-1 \text{ and } c\mu; c \leq n \leq K\}$ . A queue gets developed when the number of customers exceeds the number of servers, that is, when  $n > c$ . Each customers upon joining the queue will wait a certain length of time for his service to begin. If it has not begun by then, he will get impatient(renege) and may leave the queue without getting service with probability  $p$  and may remain in the queue for his service with probability  $q(=1-p)$ . The impatient times follow exponential distribution with parameter  $\xi$ .

It is assumed that the arrival process  $[X_1(t)]$  and the service process  $[X_2(t)]$  of the systems are correlated and follows a Bivariate Poisson process given by

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2; t) = e^{-(\lambda_i + \mu - \epsilon)t} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(x_1, x_2)} \frac{(\epsilon t)^d [ [\lambda_i - \epsilon] t ]^{x_1 - j} [ (\mu - \epsilon) t ]^{x_2 - j}}{j! (x_1 - j)! (x_2 - j)!}$$

where  $x_1, x_2 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots; \lambda_i, \mu > 0; 0 < \epsilon < \min(\lambda_i, \mu) \quad i = 0, 1$

with parameters  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu$  and  $\epsilon$  as mean faster rate of arrivals, mean slower rate of arrivals, mean service rate and mean dependence rate (covariance between the arrival and service processes) respectively.

**Steady state equations**

Let  $P_n(0)$  denote the steady state probability that there are  $n$  customers in the system, when the system is in the faster rate of arrival. Let  $P_n(1)$  denote the steady state probability that there are  $n$  customers in the system, when the system is in the slower rate of arrival. We observe that only  $P_n(0)$  exists, when  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c-1, c, \dots, r-1, r$ , both  $P_n(0)$  and  $P_n(1)$  exist when  $n = r+1, r+2, \dots, R-2, R-1; P_n(0) = P_n(1) = 0$  if  $n > K$

The steady state equations are

$$-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_0(0) + (\mu - \epsilon)P_1(0) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$-[(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + n(\mu - \epsilon)]P_n(0) + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_{n-1}(0) + (n+1)(\mu - \epsilon)P_{n+1}(0) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

$(1 \leq n \leq c-1)$

$$-\left[ \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 2)} \right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n - c)\xi p \Big] P_n(0) + \left( \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 1)} \right) P_{n-1}(0) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n + 1 - c)\xi p] P_{n+1}(0) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

$$-\left[ \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(r - c + 2)} \right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r - c)\xi p \Big] P_r(0) + \left( \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(r - c + 1)} \right) P_{r-1}(0) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r + 1 - c)\xi p] P_{r+1}(0) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r + 1 - c)\xi p] P_{r+1}(0) = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 2)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n - c)\xi p\right]P_n(0) + \left(\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 1)}\right)P_{n-1}(0) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n + 1 - c)\xi p]P_{n+1}(0) = 0, \quad (r + 1 \leq n \leq R - 2) \quad (3.5)$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(R - c + 1)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R - 1 - c)\xi p\right]P_{R-1}(0) + \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(R - c)}P_{R-2}(0) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 3)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r + 1 - c)\xi p\right]P_{r+1}(1) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r + 2 - c)\xi p]P_{r+2}(1) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 2)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n - c)\xi p\right]P_n(1) + \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 1)}\right)P_{n-1}(1) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n + 1 - c)\xi p]P_{n+1}(1) = 0, \quad (r + 2 \leq n \leq R - 1) \quad (3.8)$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(R - c + 2)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R - c)\xi p\right]P_R(1) + \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(R - c + 1)}\right)P_{R-1}(1) + \left[\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{(R - c + 1)}\right]P_{R-1}(0) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R + 1 - c)\xi p]P_{R+1}(1) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

$$-\left[\left[\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 2)}\right] + c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n - c)\xi p\right]P_n(1) + \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(n - c + 1)}\right)P_{n-1}(1) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n + 1 - c)\xi p]P_{n+1}(1) = 0, \quad (R + 1 \leq n \leq K - 1) \quad (3.10)$$

$$\left[\frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(K - c + 1)}\right]P_{K-1}(1) + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (K - c)\xi p]P_K(1) = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$P_n(0) = \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^n}{n!(\mu - \epsilon)^n} P_0(0) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c - 1 \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.3), we get

$$P_n(0) = \frac{1}{(n - c + 1)!} \prod_{l=c+1}^n \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l - c)\xi p]} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} P_0(0) \quad n = c, c + 1, \dots, r \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.4) we get

$$P_{r+1}(0) = \frac{1}{(r - c + 2)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{r+1} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l - c)\xi p]} P_0(0) - P_{r+1}(1)$$

Using the above result and (3.13) in (3.5), we get

$$P_n(0) = \frac{1}{(n-c+1)} \prod_{l=c+1}^n \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c(\mu - \epsilon)^c} P_0(0) \tag{3.14}$$

$$- \frac{P_{r+1}(1)}{\prod_{l=r+2}^n [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \left[ \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{n-r-1}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{n-r-2}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \right. \\ \left. + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n-1-c)\xi p] \right]$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.14) we get

$$P_{r+1}(1) = \frac{\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{r+1} \left[ \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} P_0(0) \right]}{\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{R-r-1}}{(R-c+1)P_{R-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{R-r-2}}{(R-c+1)P_{R-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \\ + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R-1-c)\xi p]} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we recursively derive

$$P_n(1) = \frac{P_{r+1}(1)}{\prod_{l=r+2}^n [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \left[ \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{n-r-1}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r}} + \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{n-r-2}}{(n+1-c)P_n} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + r+1-c)\xi p] \right. \\ \left. + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n-1-c)\xi p] \right] \tag{3.16}$$

where  $P_{r+1}(1)$  is given by (3.15)

From (3.9), we get

$$P_{R+1}(1) = \frac{P_{r+1}(1)}{\prod_{l=r+2}^{R+1} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \left[ \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{R-r}}{(R+2-c)P_{R-r}} + \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{R-r-1}}{(R+2-c)P_{R-r-1}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \right. \\ \left. + \dots + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)}{(R+2-c)P_1} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R-1-c)\xi p] \right]$$

Using the above Result in (3.10) and (3.11), we recursively derive

$$P_n(1) = \frac{P_{r+1}(1)}{\prod_{l=r+2}^K [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \left[ \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{K-r-1}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-r}} + \frac{[\lambda_1 - \epsilon]^{K-r-2}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \right. \\ \left. + \dots + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{K-R}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-r}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R-1-c)\xi p] \right]$$

where  $p_{r+1}(1)$  is given by (3.15)

All the steady state probabilities are expressed in terms of  $P_0(0)$  from (3.12) to (3.17).

**Characteristics of the model**

The following system characteristics are considered and their analytical results are derived in this section.

- The probability  $P(0)$  that the system is in faster rate of arrivals with retention of renege customers
- The probability  $P(1)$  that the system is in slower rate of arrivals with retention of renege customers.
- The probability  $P_0(0)$  that the system is empty.
- The expected number of customer in the system  $L_{s0}$  when the system is in the faster rate of arrivals with retention of renege customers
- The expected number of customer in the system  $L_{s1}$  when the system is in the slower rate of arrivals with retention of renege customers
- The expected waiting time of the customer in the system  $W_s$

The Probability that the system is in faster rate of arrivals is

$$P(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} P_n(0) + \sum_{n=c}^r P_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_n(0) \tag{4.1}$$

From (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17), we get

$$P(0) = P_0(0) + \sum_{n=1}^c \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^n}{(\mu - \epsilon)^n} P_0(0) + \sum_{n=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{1}{(n-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_0(0)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} - \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \frac{[A]}{B} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} P_0(0) \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$A = \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{n-r-1}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{n-r-2}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n-1-c)\xi p]$$

$$B = \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{R-r-1}}{(R-c+1)P_{R-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^{R-r-2}}{(R-c+1)P_{R-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] + \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R+1-c)\xi p]$$

The probability that the system is in slower rate of arrivals is

$$P(1) = \sum_{n=r+1}^R P_n(1) + \sum_{n=R+1}^K P_n(1) \tag{4.3}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17) we get

$$P(1) = \frac{\left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^R C \right]}{B} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{n=K-1} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} P_0(0) + \frac{\left[ \sum_{n=R+1}^K D \right]}{B} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{n=K} \left[ \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} \right] P_0(0) \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$C = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{n-r-1}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{n-r-2}}{(n+1-c)P_{n-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p]$$

$$+ \dots + [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (n-1-c)\xi p]$$

$$D = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{K-r-1}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-r-1}} + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{K-r-2}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-r-2}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] +$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)^{K-R}}{(K-c+1)P_{K-R}} [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (r+1-c)\xi p] \dots [c(\mu - \epsilon) + (R-1-c)\xi p]$$

and B is given by (4.2)

The probability (P<sub>0</sub>(0)) that the system is empty can be calculated from the normalizing condition

$$P(0) + P(1) = 1 \tag{4.5}$$

$$P_0(0) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^c \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^n}{(\mu - \epsilon)^n} + \sum_{n=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{1}{(n-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{(\lambda_0 - c)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l+c)\xi p]} - \frac{\left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} A \right] (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)R}{B (R-c+1)!}$$

$$\frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} + \sum_{n=r+1}^R \frac{C}{B} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c}$$

$$\prod_{l=c+1}^{K-1} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]} + \frac{\sum_{n=R+1}^K D}{B} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R}{(R-c+1)!} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{c!(\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^K \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]}$$

where A, B, C, D is given by (4.2) and (4.4)

The average number of customers in the system is given by

$$L_s = L_{s_0} + L_{s_1} \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$L_{s_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=c}^r nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} nP_n(0) \tag{4.7}$$

$$L_{s_1} = \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} nP_n(1) + \sum_{n=R}^K nP_n(1) \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.2) and (4.4) we get

$$L_{s_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{n (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^n}{n! (\mu - \epsilon)^n} + \sum_{n=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{n (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{(n-c+1)! c! (\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{R-1} \frac{(\lambda_0 - c)}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]}$$

$$- \frac{\left( \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} A \right)}{B} n \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{(R-c+1)! c! (\mu - \epsilon)^c} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]}$$

$$L_{s_1} = n \sum_{n=r+1}^R \frac{C (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{B (R-c+1)! c! (\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^{K-1} \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]}$$

$$- \frac{n \sum_{n=R+1}^{R-1} D (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^R (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)^c}{B (R-c+1)! c! (\mu - \epsilon)^c} \prod_{l=c+1}^K \frac{1}{[c(\mu - \epsilon) + (l-c)\xi p]}$$

Using Little’s formula  $W_s = \frac{L_s}{\lambda}$  where,  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0 P(0) + \lambda_1 P(1)$

the expected waiting time of the customer in the system can be calculated.

This model includes the models studied earlier as particular cases. For example, when  $c = 1$ , this model reduces to  $M/M/1/K$  queuing model with discouraged arrivals and retention of renege customer with controllable arrival rates.

**Numerical Illustration**

**Table 5.1**

C	R	R	K	$\lambda_0$	$\lambda_1$	$\mu$	$\epsilon$	$\xi$	P	$P_0(0)$	P(0)	P(1)
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	1	1	1	0.5631	0.9993	0.00003
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	1	1	1	0.4910	0.9994	0.00005
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	0.5	1	1	0.5511	0.9987	0.00006
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	0.5	1	1	0.4791	0.9995	0.00009
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	0	1	1	0.5409	0.9997	0.000060
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	0	1	1	0.4698	1.000	0.00016
1	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	1	1	0.6046	0.998	0.00001
2	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	1	1	0.5429	0.9999	0.00004
1	4	6	10	4	3	8	0.5	1	1	0.6794	0.999	5.20*10 <sup>-7</sup>
2	4	6	10	4	3	8	0.5	1	1	0.6344	0.9998	9.59*10 <sup>-6</sup>
1	4	6	10	4	4	5	0.5	1	1	0.5511	0.9999	3.72*10 <sup>-5</sup>
2	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.4791	0.9999	0.00010
1	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.5409	1.000	0.00006
2	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.4698	1.000	0.00017
1	4	6	10	6	5	4	0.5	1	1	0.2920	0.998	0.00110
2	4	6	10	6	5	4	0.5	1	1	0.2500	1.002	0.00671
1	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.4655	0.9992	0.00024
2	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.3960	1.0006	0.00085
2	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.3928	1.0072	0.00697
1	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	0	1	0.3677	0.9998	0.00309
1	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	0	0	0.4978	0.9982	0.00005
2	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	2	1	0.5433	1.0012	0.000019
1	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	2	1	0.3256	0.9990	0.000820
2	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	2	1	0.4260	0.9997	0.0000916
1	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	3	1	0.3271	0.9994	0.000394
2	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	3	1	0.4369	0.9997	0.000026

Table 5.2

C	R	R	K	$\lambda_0$	$\lambda_1$	$\mu$	$\in$	$\xi$	P	$L_s$	$W_s$
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	1	1	1	0.45765663	0.114491
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	1	1	1	0.65487546	0.163810
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	0.5	1	1	0.47784013	0.119610
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	0.5	1	1	0.67642846	0.169180
1	4	6	10	4	3	5	0	1	1	0.49701504	0.124285
2	4	6	10	4	3	5	0	1	1	0.69451554	0.173608
1	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	1	1	0.41035225	0.102792
2	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	1	1	0.57171604	0.142939
1	4	6	10	4	3	8	0.5	1	1	0.3255318	0.081464
2	4	6	10	4	3	8	0.5	1	1	0.4359169	0.109000
1	4	6	10	4	4	5	0.5	1	1	0.47784013	0.119467
2	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.67642846	0.169182
1	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.49701504	0.124248
2	4	6	10	4	4	5	0	1	1	0.69451554	0.173621
1	4	6	10	6	5	4	0.5	1	1	1.08553789	0.1811190
2	4	6	10	6	5	4	0.5	1	1	1.36149434	0.225206
1	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.63667779	0.127406
2	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.84986276	0.169726
2	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	1	1	0.92565447	0.1825442
1	4	6	10	5	5	5	0	0	0	0.54705654	0.109603
2	4	6	10	4	3	6	0.5	2	1	0.56963379	0.245207
1	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	2	1	0.98201939	0.163721
2	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	2	1	0.63369095	0.105638
1	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	3	1	0.95883693	0.159849
2	4	6	10	6	5	5	1	3	1	0.58695886	0.0978537

## Conclusion

It is observed from the Table 5.1 and 5.2 that when the mean dependence rate increases and the other parameters are kept fixed  $L_s$  and  $W_s$  decrease. When the service rate increases and the other parameters are kept fixed,  $P_0(0)$  and  $P(0)$  increase,  $P(1)$ ,  $L_s$  and  $W_s$  decrease.

## REFERENCES

- Abou-EL-Ata, M.O. and Hariri A.M.A. 1992. The M/M/C/N queue with balking and emerging computers operations research, 19:713-716.
- Choudhury, A. and Medhi, P. 2010. A simple analysis of customers impatience in multi server queues. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Methods*, 5: 182-198
- Kapodistria, S. 2011. The M/M/1 queue with Synchronized abandonments Queueing systems, 68:79-109.
- Kumar, R. and Sharma S.K. 2012. An M/M/1/N queueing system with retention of renege customers. *Pakistan Journal of statistics and operations Research*, 8(4): 859-866
- Srinivasa Rao, K. Shobha, T. and Srinivasa Rao, P. 2000. The M/M/1/ $\infty$  interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival Rates *opresearch*, 37, no-1 14-24.
- Srinivasan, A. and Thiagarajan, M. 2006. The M/M/1/K interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates, *International journal of Management and systems*, 22, no-1 23-24
- Srinivasan, A. and Thiagarajan, M. 2007. The M/M/c/K/N interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates balking reneging and spares, *Journal of Statistics and Applications*, 2, no-1-2 56-65.

\*\*\*\*\*