



RESEARCH ARTICLE

EVOLUTIONARY TREND OF TIBIAL SSEP IN TRAUMATIC INCOMPLETE SPINAL CORD INJURY

*Parneet Kaur Bedi and Narkeesh Arumugam

Department of Physiotherapy, Punjabi University Patiala, Punjab

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 22nd February, 2017
Received in revised form
16th March, 2017
Accepted 19th April, 2017
Published online 23rd May, 2017

Key words:

Somatosensory evoked potential,
Spinal Cord Injury,
Locomotion Recovery case report,
Activity Based Therapy,
Surface Spinal Stimulation,
CNS plasticity.

ABSTRACT

Background & Purpose: Neuro-rehabilitative and restorative therapies have emerged as a promising tool in recovery of spinal cord injuries. Comprehensive program can modulate the spinal excitability and help in yielding desired results. A handful of studies on humans has surged the interest in this field. Other than the expensive tools available for tapping plasticity changes in CNS some other tools like evoked potential have been found to be equally satisfactory. Evoked potentials could be useful prognostic, longitudinal monitoring and CNS remodelling tools.

Case description: This case study is an attempt to determine the progression of sensory evoked potential in traumatic SCI patient.

Intervention: Patient underwent a specifically designed protocol of 24 weeks (Activity Based Therapy & Surface Spinal Stimulation) for enhancing function and locomotion in patient with incomplete SCI.

Outcome measures: ASIA motor scores for lower extremity, light touch and pin prick as neurological, SSEP as tool for CNS plasticity changes and SCI-FAI as a tool for functional outcome measure were used.

Discussion: During the 24 weeks neuro-rehabilitative program the scores of ASIA, WISCI-II and SCI-FAI changed along with changes in t SSEP.

Copyright©2017, Parneet Kaur Bedi and Narkeesh Arumugam. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Parneet Kaur Bedi and Narkeesh Arumugam, 2017. "Evolutionary trend of tibial ssep in traumatic incomplete spinal cord injury", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (05), 50552-50555.

INTRODUCTION

When the human spinal cord is damaged, surviving neural circuitry caudal to the lesion takes on functional characteristics that result from and represent the degree and distribution of their disconnection from supra spinal control (Dimitrijevic *et al.*, 2016). The surviving neural circuitry can be tapped again for regaining functions. Once thought as an ailment not possible to be treated, Spinal cord injury is now prime area of research and concern amongst the clinicians, scientists and neuro-physiotherapists across the world. Centuries of research is being translated into clinical practice and it is building hopes for restoring functional abilities amongst the people with SCI. Walking /ambulation is one of the "most discussed" goal for a patient as well as treating physician and neuro-physiotherapist. Advancements and promising findings in neuro-rehabilitative and neuro regenerative procedures for impaired spinal cord function has increased the demand for extensive neurophysiological evaluation. Electrophysiological recordings have been used in the management and care of SCI patients since 1970 but are routinely performed only in a few SCI centers (Jones *et al.*, 2012). These techniques supplement clinical and neuro-radiological examinations and allow the

differentiation between lesions of the spinal (ie ascending and descending fibres tracts) and the peripheral nervous system (eg: radicular lesions, plexus, and peripheral nerves). Besides being quantitative in nature measures of evoked potentials complement existing SCI recovery assessments, such as the ASIA sensory and motor scores. Both somatosensory and motor evoked potentials have been shown to generate new lateral axonal sprouts following SCI, which supports CNS plasticity and functional repair. To date, few investigations of somatosensory preservation following SCI have been presented, possibly due to a perceived lack of clinical applications. However, an eye over the preserved subclinical somatosensory function may shed light into the unexplained aspects in patients with SCI.

Aim

The present research work determines the variation in somatosensory evoked potential in a person with incomplete SCI undergoing "specifically designed intervention".

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participation of human subject was approved by an institutional review board before the initiation of the study.

The present work strictly compiled to the ICMR guidelines. Patient was explained in detail about the purpose and methods of this study. Informed consent was obtained from the participant. A 35 year old, male with incomplete spinal cord injury, ASIA D participated in 24 week duration protocol designed to improve the walking/ambulatory status. The participant underwent a thrice weekly sessions of activity based therapy (3 hours) and Surface Spinal Stimulation (45 minutes). Both of these interventions were delivered on the same day. Protocol also included loco motor training using Body weight support treadmill training (BWSTT) for 30 minutes in each ABT session.

ABT has following principles (Jones *et al.*, 2012)

- Phase I/II:** Reactivation/Reorganisation and development/ stabilization Phase. Stimulates the nervous system with active assisted exercises and use developmental sequencing to develop joint stabilization
- Phase III:** Strength: Initiate eccentric and concentric muscle contractions through positional movement or stimulation.
- Phase IV:** Function and co-ordination-Improve co-ordinated movement through all planes of movement and motion. Most exercises are performed in load bearing position. Mainly free standing.
- Phase V:** Gait training- Focus on proper gait mechanics and the ability to move over ground in multiple planes of motion.

Surface Spinal Stimulation is non-invasive form of electrical stimulation delivered at the T 10 –L1 vertebral level with the adhesive electrodes placed para-vertebrally on each side of spine 5 cm apart. Electrodes are self-adhesive in nature

rectangular 4.5 cm * 9 cm in size. The electrical stimulations had an amplitude modulated Alternating Current (AC), with a carrier frequency of 2500 Hz, modulated to “beat” frequency of 30Hz and stimulation amplitude was raised to elicit sensory stimulation (Wang *et al.*, 2000). SSEP study was done by stimulating Posterior tibial nerve at the ankle on left side. The tibial nerve was stimulated dorsal of the malleolus medialis, and cortical potentials (P37/N45 complex) were recorded at Cz’ (2 cm behind the Cz position of the international 10/20 system) against the reference Fz. Impedance was maintained below 5 kΩ. Square wave electrical stimulus was delivered at 6Hz frequency. The electrical activity was averaged to 1000 responses at least and the test was repeated twice to ensure reproducibility.

RESULTS

Before the beginning of intervention the participant underwent basic examination; ASIA grading. The main focus of this study was to analyze the evolution of tSSEP parameters. However, in order to be able to interpret the functional recovery and CNS plasticity changes in spinal cord, we further analyzed the course of ASIA motor scores for lower extremity, light touch and pin prick as neurological and SCI-FAI as a functional outcome measure. Spinal Cord Injury Functional Ambulation Inventory (SCI- FAI) (Field-Fote *et al.*, 2000), an observational gait assessment that includes 3 key domains of walking functions. The subscales include; gait parameters, assistive devices and temporal parameters. Higher scores denote higher levels of function in each subscale. Somatosensory evoked potential was used for assessing the CNS plasticity and functional repair.

Table 1. Score of ASIA, SCI-FAI, WISCI-II and t SSEP at Day 1, Week 12 and Week 24

Time Frame	ASIA		SCI-FAI (39)				WISCI-II (20)	SSEP		
	LEMS	Pin prick	Light touch	Gait Parameter	Assistive Device	Temporal Parameter	2 min WT	Total Score		
Week0/day 1	31	105	105	19	13	4	184	36	19	3
Week 12	32	108	108	19	14	5	209	38	20	4
Week 24	34	110	110	20	14	5	219	39	20	5

LEMS: Lower extremity motor score
 2 min WT: 2 minute walk test, distance walked in two minutes, measured in feet

SSEP CATEGORY
 (considering N45 complex)

- 0 =absent
- 1= pathological
- 2=delayed
- 3= delayed latency but normal amplitude
- 4=normal latency with delayed amplitude
- 5= normal

Table 2. Trend in values of various waveforms of t SSEP at Day 1, Week 12 and Week 24

Time Frame/ Latencies (ms)	N8	N19	N22	P37	N45
Day1/	8.0	18.2	20.9	59.70	75.2
Month 1					
Month 3	8.1	16.0	19.2	37.4	43.5
Month 6	7.9	16.0	19.0	36.6	42.5

Table 3. Wave forms of t SSEP oat Day 1, Week 12 and Week 24

Time Frame	Wave forms	Wave forms
01 Week	<p>Examination Information Side: Left Nerve: Tibial (P-1) Date: 2016-04-09 No. [redacted] Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair Value 8.0 N8 18.2 N19 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>	<p>In/Out Patient: Out Refer Age: 34 Height: 167 Weight: 66 History: Dept.: Rehabilitation Physician: Dr. [redacted]</p> <p>Examination Information Side: Left Nerve: Tibial (P-2) Date: 2016-04-09 No. [redacted] Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder S</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair Value 19.0 N22 30.0 P37 32.5 N45 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>
12 weeks	<p>Examination Information Side: Right Nerve: Tibial (P-1) Date: 2016-08-06 No. FHL26.47794 Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder Singh</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair 1 Value Value 8.1 N8 16.0 N19 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>	<p>In/Out Patient: Out Refer Age: 35 Height: [redacted] Weight: [redacted] Sex: [redacted] History: Dept.: Rehabilitation Physician: Dr. Alok Jain</p> <p>Examination Information Side: Right Nerve: Tibial (P-2) Date: 2016-08-06 No. FHL26.47794 Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder Singh</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair 1 Value Value 19.0 N22 37.6 P37 44.1 N45 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>
24 weeks	<p>Examination Information Side: Left Nerve: Tibial (P-1) Date: 2016-12-03 No. FHL26.47794 Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder Singh</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair 1 Value Value 7.9 N8 16.0 N19 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>	<p>Examination Information Side: Left Nerve: Tibial (P-2) Date: 2016-12-03 No. FHL26.47794 Comment: [redacted] Examined by: Mr. Dawinder Sing</p> <p>Normative data file: Latency (ms) No. Mark Pair 1 Value Value 19.0 N22 30.0 P37 32.5 N45 Interval Amplitude Interval Amplitude</p>

DISCUSSION

The major advantage of sensory evoked potentials lies in evaluating the relatively long sensory pathway from peripheral nerve to spinal cord and central cortex. Somatosensory Evoked Potential (SEPs) are elicited with stimulation of large periphery sensory nerves in the arms or legs (Pratt *et al.*, 1998; Starr *et al.*, 1981; Cohen *et al.*, 1985). SEPs can be reproducibly recorded over the spine and scalp, reflect conduction of the afferent volley primarily along the heavily myelinated dorsal column, through lemniscal pathway to the primary

somatosensory cortex. The present study tried to utilise the sensory evoked potentials in determining the effectiveness of activity based therapy and surface spinal stimulation in regenerating the lumbar locomotor pattern generator. After PTN stimulation, a sequence of waveforms occurs in the following order of increased latency: (a) popliteal fossa response (N8) (b) the lumbar N19- N22 (c) the scalp recorded P37 and cortical potential N45 complex. Each waveform has a putative generator; travelling wave evoked after PTN stimulation, dorsal gray matter of spinal cord and the primary sensory cortex. These potential produced by PTN stimulation

arise from the activity in muscle afferents (Burke *et al.*, 1981; Burke *et al.*, 1982). It is believed by the authors that the presence of various waveforms and change in latencies of tibial SEP is an indicator of neural activity in spinal cord circuitry. Also it was observed that during the six months training program the scores of ASIA, WISCI-II and SCI-FAI changed along with changes in t SSEP. Thus, peripheral nerve stimulation in man results in scalp recorded SSEP, which represents a volume conducted potential reflecting a variety of brain and spinal cord generators including the activation of spinal cord generator for locomotion and proprioceptive pathways.

Conflict of Interest: None

REFERENCES

- Burke, D Gandevia, S.C.McKeon and skuse, N.F. 1982. Interactions between cutaneous and muscle afferent projections to cerebral cortex in man. *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol*, 53,349-360.
- Burke, D Skuse, N.F and Lethlean, A.K. 1981. Cutaneous and muscle afferent components of cerebral palsy potentials evoked by electrical stimulation of human peripheral nerves. *Electroenceph.clin.neurophysiol.*, 51,579-88.
- Cohen, L.G., Starr, A. and Pratt, H. 1985. Cerebral somatosensory potentials evoked by muscle stretch, cutaneous taps and electrical stimulation of peripheral nerves in the lower limbs in man. *Brain*, 108: 103-121. Cited in: Lee EK and Seyal M. 1998. Generators of short latency human somatosensory-evoked potentials recorded over the spine and scalp. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 15(3)227-234.
- Curt A. and Dietz V. 1997. Ambulatory capacity in spinal cord injury: significance of somatosensory evoked potentials and ASIA protocol in predicting outcome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 78:39-43.
- Curt, A., Keck, M.E. and Dietz, V. 1998. Functional outcome following spinal cord injury: significance of motor-evoked potentials and ASIA scores. *Arch.Phys.Med.Rehabil.*, 79(1):81-86.
- Dimitrijevic R M, Krenn M, Mayr W, McKay. 2016. Human Spinal Cord Motor control that is partially or completely disconnected from brain. *Am. J. Neuroprotec. Neuroregen.*, Vol. 8(1)
- Field-Fote EC, FluetGG, Schafer SD, Schneider EM, Smith R, Downey PA, Ruhl CD. 2000. The spinal cord injury functional ambulation inventory (SCI-FAI). *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 33(4): 177- 181.
- Jones M.L, Harness E, Denison P, Tefertiller C, Evans N and Larson C. 2012. Activity based Therapies in Spinal Cord Injury: Clinical Focus and empirical evidence in three independent programs. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil*, 18(1):34-42.
- Pratt, H., Amlie, R.N. and Starr, A. 1979. Short latency mechanically evoked somatosensory potentials in humans. *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, 47: 524-531.Cited in: Lee EK and Seyal M. 1998. Generators of short latency human somatosensory-evoked potentials recorded over the spine and scalp. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 15(3)227-234.
- Starr, A., McKeon, B., Skuse, N. and Burke, D. Cerebral potentials evoked by muscle stretch in man. *Brain*, 1981, 104: 149-166. Cited in: Lee EK and Seyal M.Generators of short latency human somatosensory-evoked potentials recorded over the spine and scalp. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 1998.15(3)227-234.
- Wang RY, Chan RC, Tsai MW. 2000. "Effect of thoracolumbar electrical stimulation on knee extensor spasticity of persons who survived cerebrovascular accident (CVA)." *J Rehabil Res Dev.*, 37(1):73-9.
