

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 10, Issue, 09, pp.73552-73557, September, 2018 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.30560.09.2018 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE SKILLS: A TOOL FOR ARGUMENTATIVE VIOLENCE REDUCTION IN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES

*Dr. Abdullah Benahnia

Chairperson of English Language Center, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT				
Article History: Received 29 th June, 2018 Received in revised form 20 th July, 2018 Accepted 15 th August, 2018 Published online 30 th September, 2018	Background: Verbal conflicts among staff in healthcare settings are a serious issue that requires intervention at different levels. On a day-to-day basis, the issues they are dealing with are multi-faceted covering physical, emotional, cultural, etc., the magnitude of the conflict arising out of it is also quite intense and serious. The objective of this study was to assess the cross-cultural violence, and explore intercultural competence perception and awareness among healthcare staff. Methodology: A quantitative survey was conducted at King Fahad Medical City (KFMC) using the				
<i>Key Words:</i> Intercultural Competence, Environmental factors, Cultural, conflict	 stratified random sample technique covering seven departments in the institution. A total number of 105 staff selected randomly from each stratum were asked to complete the questionnaire covering Intercultural Competence (ICC) items and 100 individuals responded to the survey. Result: With regard to the working environment, employees are aware of the consequences of these factors, Saudis and non-Saudis were unsatisfied with the present environment. Even though English is frequently used as an official language, the general communication among the employees remains as an obstacle. With regard to awareness about the intercultural competence, even though they are aware of it, they find it difficult to accommodate or fully accept other cultures. Conclusion: The environmental factors have a driving influence for employees in the acquisition of intercultural competence skills for the sake of work stability and survival. It is imperative for institutions to initiate strategies that will inspire the employees' intercultural competence and enhance cross-cultural understanding. 				

Copyright © 2018, Abdullah Benahnia. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Abdullah Benahnia, 2018. "Intercultural competence skills: A tool for argumentative violence reduction in healthcare facilities", International Journal of Current Research, 10, (09), 73552-73557.

INTRODUCTION

The shortage of Saudi national staff in the health care profession is a root cause of immigrant employment in Saudi Arabia. It is also viewed that the expansion of healthcare facilities, due to high demand for patient services, put the Ministry of Health (MoH) in pressure to hire international health care providers, escalating multicultural diversity in the in the country (Tumulty, 2001). This situation underlines the need for institutions to initiate strategies to improve intercultural competency (ICC). There are studies that suggest learning about the beliefs and sociocultural aspects of patients' lives is vital for a quality healthcare to a diverse, multicultural community (Anand and Lahiri, 2009).

Cultural diversity at work

The enormity of the healthcare community and its diverse nature necessitates the expansion of ICC and socio-cultural awareness.

*Corresponding author: Dr. Abdullah Benahnia,

In the last two decades, the number of workers and clients from culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) backgrounds has increased dramatically in the Gulf region (Findlow, 2006). There are studies explaining lack of exposure to other cultures and cross-cultural misunderstanding can lead to cultural clashes like argumentative violence among co-workers in healthcare facilities (Dumessa and Godesso, 2014). At the same time, it has been observed that the abundance of western tutors and their influence in Saudi healthcare institutions resulting in a lesser prerequisite for cultural competency training. This has implications on the successful integration of foreign healthcare professionals in the Saudi institutions intercultural competence and healthcare (Crandall et al., 2003; Chamberlain, 2005). Culture has been defined as the 'shared and learned behaviors that exist within the same cultural group', which are adopted by the individual through the process of socialization. But at this point, the 'cultural blindness' of individuals leads to a lack of awareness on others beliefs and behaviors (Alsaleh, 2009). This lack of awareness contributes to a poor understanding of other cultures (Fleckman et al., 2015). Further to this, Tumulty defines the 'intercultural competence' (ICC) as a set of congruent behaviors, attitudes, and policies that enable professionals to

Chairperson of English Language Center, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

work effectively in cross-cultural situations. This includes the ability to value diversity, capacity for cultural self-assessment, awareness of the dynamics when cultures interact and the acquisition of institutionalized cultural knowledge, resulting in the adaptation of service delivery to reflect the cultural diversity within the organization (Camilleri, 2002). In order to improve service delivery and healthcare outcomes for culturally diverse patients, healthcare institutions should include these considerations in all aspects of their policy making, administration, practice, and achievement of key performance indicators (Betancourt *et al.*, 2003).

ICC training

When cultural diversity among patients continues to rise, ICC becomes a necessary component of any healthcare provider expertise. The ICC in healthcare can be very complex and challenging due to the nature of the service's offered. The service can often touch the core of patients' and providers' culturally influenced values, beliefs, and attitudes (Deardorff, 2009). However, in organizations with diverse cultures, employees may be unaware of their own cultural identity, stereotyping and prejudices can come with resulting in a lack of cultural understanding when intuitions fail to organize standardized cross-cultural awareness training programs that help to enhance collaborative input (Fantini, 2000). However, the abundance of funds for training programs for healthcare workers did not help much in improving their skills, and deliver adequate improvements to ICC and intercultural communication over this period. The training programseven miserably failedin imparting knowledge and improving essential skills for developing proficiency in communication and cross-cultural understanding (Rogers et al., 2014). If an effective ICC training model is introduced at all levels within healthcare organizations, employees will develop cultural knowledge and understanding of their own attitudes which will lead to an increase in providers' awareness and an improvement in service provision for patients from culturally diverse backgrounds (Pedersen, 1988) .Based on previous researches, it is hypothesized that workers with poor ICC skills will be less aware of intercultural conflicts within the workplace. It is also predicted that workers with good ICC skills will have a more positive attitude towards cultural diversity and will also acknowledge a great level of cultural diversity within the workplace. It is also expected that non-Saudi workers will experience a greater level of cross-cultural misunderstanding, as they face greater challenges when working in a Saudi healthcare setting (Mercedes et al., 2007).

Study objectives

The aim of this study is to assess the understanding of healthcare workers' attitudes towards cultural diversity and determine whether ICC and intercultural awareness influence these attitudes and to come up with a recommendation of a working strategy to improve ICC understanding in both Saudi and non-Saudi workers' and to create a new perspective on the intercultural conflict in the workplace.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at King Fahad Medical City (KFMC). A quantitative survey was done from June 2016 to Feb 2017. Stratified random sample technique was used to select the participants. Seven departments in the institution

were stratified and 15 employees were selected randomly from each stratum. The level of "significant" was 0.05 ($\alpha = 0.05$), and estimated standard division was1.55 with power 0.8 or 80% and effect size 0.8. The sample size from each department was limited to a minimum 15 participants from seven departments. The questionnaire was comprised of 32 direct items of which 31 items were classified into seven sections and their measurement for ICC items was done on five-point Likert-scale. The seventh section had only one item, which was included in section six. A total number of 105 staff were selected randomly from the institution and were asked to complete the questionnaire covering Intercultural Competence (ICC) items and 100 individuals responded to the survey. The ethnic background of participants was 39 Saudis (39%), 60 non-Saudi (60%) employees and 1 (1%) participant opted for a neutral position without selecting from neither of the options. Participants consisted of 69 females (69%) 28 males (28%) with three participants selecting neither option. About 63 (63%) respondents were full-time employees, 5 (5%) parttime workers and 32(32%) participants did not respond to either of the options. The degree of responses in some axes of the questionnaire was determined as follows- The responses (5) refers to "strongly agree", (4) refers to "agree", (3) refers to 'agree to some extent", (2) refers to "disagree" and (1) refers to "strongly disagree". The degree of the response to each statement or axis was calculated the average score and according to the result much to the following average score category as Lukart score that from 1.80 to 2.60 strongly disagree, from 2.60 to 3.40 disagree, from 3.40 to 4.20 agree and from 4.20 to 5 strongly agree.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using sing SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software package. Descriptive statistics of study participants were reported as mean (SD) for continuous variables and counts (percentage) for categorical variables. Between group comparisons were done by Student's independent t-test, ANOVA or chi-square test, as appropriate. The Scheffe Test was used to identify the significant pair among the multiple comparisons. A p-value of 0.05 was considered significant. The reliability analysis of the ICC items was possible for 74 completed surveys. The Chronbach's alpha for the 32 questions was .827, indicating good reliability.

RESULTS

Working environment: Participants mean score was 2.269 with SD =0.6861. These Values demonstrate the divergent views of the study sample regarding those statements. It can be explained by saying there are some factors that cause employees less willing to accommodate cultural differences and integrate in healthcare services. Although employees are aware of the consequences of these factors, Saudis and non-Saudis were unsatisfied with the environment pv=0.019, Saudi mean score 1.95 + 0.61 and non-Saudi mean 2.40 + 0.733. It shows that employees are concerned with their individual culture. Under these circumstances, the institution lacking the standardized culture. Communication and language barrier: In the overall response for this item, both Saudis' and Non-Saudis' mean score was 2.82 with SD 0.537. Even though English is frequently used as an official language for communication at the institution, communication among the employees remains as an obstacle. Communication and language barrier was statistically significance (P 0.000)

between Saudi and Non-Saudi participants. In another word, looking at the Saudis' Mean scores 2.6 + 0.098 and Non-Saudi Mean 2.9, + 0.073, it is evident that the Saudis need to embrace English in their study curriculum in tertiary education through high level education.

Intercultural competence: The 'Perception' in this section, reflecting mean scores 2.90+0.041. Even though participants seem to be aware of intercultural competence, yet they are arguably uneasy to accommodate or fully accept other cultures. The intercultural competence across nationality differ significantly with p 0 .046 the mean score regarding for Saudi's was 2.6 + 0.122 vs. Non-Saudi's 2.9+0.0191. It demonstrates the divergent views of the participants regarding intercultural competence and cross-cultural understanding at work which is insufficient to some extent. There are some levels of cultural differences among the employees. Also, years of experience 7-11 years was statistically significant p 0.042, it might be associated with task delegation. In other words, at a certain level of responsibility, it requires proficient communication for precise task performance

Team work and clashes: The participants were neither "agree" nor "disagree" with a mean score of 3.36 + 0.637. In occasional situations, employees noticed insufficient team work and clashes at work. Team work and clashes were a statistically significance among staff members in regard to collaboration p= 0.042 Saudis 3.1 + 0.119, and Non-Saudis 3.4 + 0.09. Therefore, intercultural competence is being regarded as a supportive way to arouse disputes among them.

Culture exposure: The response from the participants were neutral, 3.02 +0.585, reflecting the fact that participants were exposed to different cultures and were aware of other cultures, a convinced acceptance of "culture exposure" was observed from participants with 4-10 years of experience with p 0.121 and arithmetic mean 3.4 + 0.23. This may be attributed to the importance of intimacy and cordiality among the workers due to the sustained period working together. They can facilitate the flow of work and help in enhancing the spirit of understanding intercultural competence. On the contrary, gender indicator was neutral towards exposure to cultures. In other words, Male employees perceived the statements with significantly pv = 0.045 and lower mean 2.8 + 0.11 against Female employees who attained the mean score of 3.0+0.08. It might be due Saudi culture. Hence difference in gender has limited gender inequality.

Respect and trust: The perception of discipline, respect, and trust is found to be unsatisfactory within the institution. Participants disagree with the statements with a mean score of 2.24 and SD + 0.855. The results found insignificant, while comparing Saudis vs. non-Saudis.

DISCUSSION

Some of the inferences we derive from the study showthat the employees are not fully satisfied with the present working environment. It indicates that the employees are concerned with their individual culture. Employees should focus not on "what culture is", but on "what it does" with regards to people's ways of making sense of the world. This includes their perceptions of themselves and others (O'Neil, 2006). Regarding the communication among the employees, although English is recognized as an official language for communication among the employees, it has not served the purpose fully due to their different linguistic backgrounds. Employees themselves are found to be doubting their capability to communicate with each other. Even though the participants are aware of intercultural competence, they are not comfortable to accommodate or fully accept each other's culture and the intercultural competence was statically significant on Nationality. This demonstrates the divergent views of the participants regarding intercultural competence and cross-cultural understanding at work which is insufficient to some extent (Ronson). The level of cultural differences that are manifested in various forms of behaviors such as stereotyping, prejudices, racism, ethnocentrism, cultural imposition, cultural conflict and cultural shock are found to be creating barriers for a better cultural understanding and coexistence among the employees (Caligiuri and Lundby, 2015). Employees find cross-cultural concepts are not very well fitting in the realm of their institution. Since the goal of cultural competence in health care institutions is to provide the highest quality of care to every patient regardless of their race, ethnicity, cultural background, language proficiency or literacy, the challenge is to find appropriate training technique to enhance the employees' intercultural competence and crosscultural understanding (Lafayette, Robert, 1988). Even if the team work and clashes are statistically significant among staff members due to the individuals' preference for team work based on their intercultural or cross-cultural relationship, when it comes to creating overall output of the institution the intercultural competence, is regarded as a supportive factor among staff (Benahnia, 2012; Benahnia, 2014). A convincing result from participants accepting culture exposure was observed from participants with 4-10 years of experience.

This is attributed to the importance of intimacy and cordiality among the workers due to the sustained period working together. As they are able to facilitate the flow of work and help in enhancing the spirit of understanding intercultural competence, these values demonstrate the views of the participants regarding intercultural competence, learning and acceptance. As reported by Anand and Lahiri, culture should emerge in learning about the beliefs and socio-cultural aspects of patients and healthcare providers in a more reciprocal respect. In this study, male employees perceived the statement with significant p=0.045. It can be explained by saying that there exist some factors that cause male employees to be less willing to accommodate cultural differences and integrate into healthcare services due to gender inequality, and the traditional roles that are sometimes expected of men and women in various societies. "Discipline, Respect, and Trust" are found to be at an unsatisfactory level within the institution. In other words, employees who are on part-time contracts do not seem to have close relationship or affiliation with the institution. Therefore, they lack trust in permanent employees and might contribute to a lack of respect for them since they do not intend to remain with the institution forever (Thiong'o, 1986). The resistance to accepting and dealing with people from other cultures may impede the process of knowledge and skills acquisition (Kleinman and Benson, 2006).

Conclusion and recommendation

This study found that Saudis and non-Saudis are not fully satisfied with the current working environment. It also revealed that the employees are concerned with their individual culture.

			Nationalit	y of responden	ts			
Sr. No.	. Nationality		Frequency			Percentage		
	1 Saudi				39	39.4		
	2 Non - Sa	udi			60	60.6		
	3 Missing				1	1		
				Gender				
Sr. No.	Gender		Free	uency		Percentage		
	1 Male			28		28.9		
	2 Fema 3		69 3		71.1			
			Job s	pecification				
Job specif	lication		Frequency	т	Percent			
Valid		III Time		63		63.0		
		rt Time		5		5.0		
		otal		68		68.0		
Missing Total	Sy	rstem		32 100		32.0 100.0		
			Years of Ex	perience at KF	МС			
Years			Freque	ency	Percent			
Valid	<1 Y			6		6.0		
	1 - 3		13		13.0			
	4 - 6 years 7 - 9 years			7		7.0		
		10			10.0			
	10- 12 years Total			6 42		6.0		
Missing	Syst	42 58			42.0 58.0			
Total	595	100			100.0			
			Signif	icance Level				
Section	Dependent Variable		Mean	Std. Error			P-value	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	T 1	Full time	2.3	0.098	2.130	2.522	.066	
	Job	Part time	1.7	0.299	1.132	2.335	010	
	Nationality	Saudi Non-Saudi	2.0 2.4	0.131 0.098	1.763 2.221	2.286 2.613	.019	
	rationality	Saudi	2.4	0.098	2.221 2.379	2.769	.009	
2		Non-Saudi	2.0	0.073	2.757	3.049	.007	
	Nationality		/	0.075		0.014		
	Nationality	Saudi	2.6	0.122	2.329	2.814	.046	
	Nationality Nationality		2.6 2.9	0.122 0.091	2.329 2.699	2.814 3.063	.046	
	Nationality	Saudi Non-Saudi Male	2.9 2.6	0.091 0.127	2.699 2.334	3.063 2.843	.046	
	-	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female	2.9 2.6 2.9	0.091 0.127 0.092	2.699 2.334 2.715	3.063 2.843 3.082		
	Nationality	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894	.052	
	Nationality Gender	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369		
	Nationality	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5 4-8	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0 3.2	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195 0.275	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574 2.666	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369 3.791	.052	
	Nationality Gender	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5 4-8 7-11	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0 3.2 2.4	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195 0.275 0.205	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574 2.666 1.994	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369 3.791 2.832	.052	
	Nationality Gender	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5 4-8 7-11 10-14	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0 3.2 2.4 3.1	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195 0.275 0.205 0.275	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574 2.666 1.994 2.581	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369 3.791 2.832 3.705	.052 042	
	Nationality Gender Years of Experience	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5 4-8 7-11 10-14 Saudi	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0 3.2 2.4 3.1 3.1	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195 0.275 0.205 0.275 0.205 0.275 0.119	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574 2.666 1.994 2.581 2.857	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369 3.791 2.832 3.705 3.333	.052	
	Nationality Gender	Saudi Non-Saudi Male Female < 3 1-5 4-8 7-11 10-14	2.9 2.6 2.9 3.4 3.0 3.2 2.4 3.1	0.091 0.127 0.092 0.251 0.195 0.275 0.205 0.275	2.699 2.334 2.715 2.868 2.574 2.666 1.994 2.581	3.063 2.843 3.082 3.894 3.369 3.791 2.832 3.705	.052 042	

In section 1[Working environment] it is significant that the Full-time employees are having more communication problem than the Part time employees

≻ It is significant that non-Saudis are having more communication problem than the Saudis

In section 2 [Communication and language barrier]

It is significant that Saudis are having more communication and language barriers than non-Saudis

In section 3 [Intercultural competence]

It is significant that the Saudis show more Intercultural competence ۶

- ⊳ It is significant that Male staff shows more Intercultural competence than Female staff
- It is significant that staff with 1-5 years' experience show more Intercultural competence ۶

In section 4 [Team work and clashes]

> It is significant that the Saudis are in a better position in the leading team work In section 5[Culture exposure]

➢ It is significant that the Female staffs have a better culture exposure

The Questionnaire

		Questions
Section 1	1	The adjustment to this new environment at KFMC was convenient for me when I first joined.
	2	I know (some) Arabic and that made it easy for me at work.
	3	I was informed about the obstacles I may face at work via orientation and training programs at KFMC
Section 2	4	The work guidelines and regulations regarding working with people from other nationalities were clear to me when I first started working at KFMC.
	5	My English is good. So, I didn't have any communication problems since I joined KFMC.
	6	Most people in my department have a poor level of English. So, I can't communicate well with them.
	7	People around me do not know about my own culture.
	8	I can easily communicate with people around me because I took some English courses.
	9	People around me prefer not to talk to foreigners.
Section 3	10	I am familiar with the ICC notion (Intercultural Competence).
	11	I am familiar with the CCC notion (Cross-Cultural Competence).
	12	I attended training courses here at KFMC regarding the above mentioned notions about culture.
	13	My department encourages training in ICC and CCC.
	14	I attended seminars, conferences, and training workshops related to cultural clash and cultural issues.
	15	I know exactly what culture means.
	16	I know much about the Saudi life style and culture.
Section 4	17	I have had several cultural clashes with people around me at work.
	18	I prefer working with people from my own culture only.
	19	I prefer to work with Saudis only.
	20	I prefer to work with people from other nationalities only.
	21	I witnessed some cultural clashes that took place at KFMC.
	22	I think most cultural clashes and violence are due to personal issues such as: incompetence, inferiority complex, stereotype, attitude, and competition.
	23	Sometimes I let my personal issues interfere with my work.
Section 5	24	I have travelled abroad and I know about other cultures.
	25	Cultural issues must be explained and exposed to KFMC community in order to avoid cultural clashes.
	26	My foreign co-workers have better opportunities than myself.
	27	I am always challenged by my foreign co-workers and feel I am learning a lot from them.
	28	My Non-Saudi co-workers don't like to help me.
	29	My Non-Saudi co-workers don't like to share anything with me.
Section 6	30	My co-workers are well disciplined and respectful.
	31	I trust my co-workers.
Section 7	Overall	Overall, how satisfied were you with your experience working with people from other nationalities?

For a productive working environment, employees should focus not on what their culture is all about, but on what it does with regards to people's ways of making sense of the world. It is evident from the study that the environmental factors have a driving influence for employees in the acquisition of intercultural competence and skills for the sake of work stability and survival. It is imperative for institutions to initiate strategies that will inspire the employees' intercultural competence and enhance cross-cultural understanding.

REFERENCES

- Alsaleh, S. 2009. Gender inequality in Saudi Arabia: Myth and reality. Department of Health Informatics, School of Public Health and Health Informatics King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
- Anand, R. and Lahiri, I. 2009. Intercultural competence in health care: Developing skills for interculturally competent care. In D.K. Deardorff (Ed). *The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence* (pp.387-402. New York: Sage Publications.
- Benahnia, A. 2012. The Role of Cultural Components in Shaping the L2 Learner's Identity and Intercultural Competence. Available on http://www.ksaalt.org/ conference_proceedings. html.
- Benahnia, A. 2014, May. The Impact of Cultural and Intercultural Competence Awareness Programs on ESL Scholarship Candidates Abroad. In Proceedings of International Academic Conferences (No. 0100324). International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences.
- Caligiuri, P. and Lundby, K. 2015. Developing Cross-Cultural Competencies Through Global Teams. In Leading Global Teams (pp. 123-139. Springer New York.

- Betancourt, J. R., Green, A. R., Carrillo, J. E. and Ananeh-Firempong, O. 2003. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. Public health reports, 118(4), 293-302.
- Camilleri, A. 2002. How Strange! The use of anecdotes in the development of intercultural competence. Strassbourg: Council of Europe Pub.
- Chamberlain, S. P. 2005. Recognizing and responding to cultural differences in the education of culturally and linguistically diverse learners. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 40(4), 195-211.
- Crandall, S. J., George, G., Marion, G. S. and Davis, S. 2003. Applying theory to the design of cultural competency training for medical students: a case study. *Academic Medicine*, 78(6), 588-594.
- Deardorff, D.K. 2009. The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence. New York: Sage Publications.
- Dumessa, M. and Godesso, A. 2014. Explorations of intercultural communication barriers among the students of college of social sciences and law at Jimma University, Oromiya, Ethiopia. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 34.
- Fantini, A.E. 2000. A central concern: Developing intercultural competence'. SIT Occasional Paper Series, 1, 25-42.
- Findlow, S. 2006. Higher education and linguistic dualism in the Arab Gulf. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 27(1), 19-36.
- Fleckman, J. M., Dal Corso, M., Ramirez, S., Begalieva, M. and Johnson, C. C. 2015. Intercultural competency in public health: a call for action to incorporate training into public health education. Frontiers in public health, 3, 210.

- Kleinman, A. and Benson, P. 2006. Anthropology in the clinic: the problem of cultural competency and how to fix it. PLoS Medicine, 3(10), e294.
- Lafayette, Robert C. 1988. Integrating the teaching of culture into the foreign language classroom. In A.J. Singerman (Ed.), Toward a new integration of language and culture. Middlebury, VT: Northeast Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages.
- Mercedes, Martin and Billy Vaughn. Strategic Diversity and Inclusion Management Magazine, pp. 31-36. DTUI Publications Division: San Francisco, CA. 2007. http://diversityofficermagazine.com/ assess on 26/04/2016)
- O'Neil, D. 2006. "What is culture." Retrieved from anthro. palomar. edu/culture/culture 1. htm.

- Pedersen, P. 1988. A handbook for developing multicultural awareness. Virginia: American Association for Counseling and Development.
- Rogers, E. M., Hart, W.B. and Miike, Y. 2004. Edward T. Hall and the history of intercultural communication: The United States and Japan. Keio Communication Review, 24, 3-26
- Ronson, D. n.d.. Cultural barriers to hospice care [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from https://www.care1st.com/media/files/CulturalBarrierstoHospiceCare.pdf
- Thiong'o, N.W. 1986. Decolonising the mind: The politics of language in African literature. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann Publishing.
- Tumulty, G. 2001. Professional development of nursing in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(3), 285-290.
