



ISSN: 0975-833X

Available online at <http://www.journalcra.com>

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 10, Issue, 11, pp.75024-75035, November, 2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.32742.11.2018>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

UTILIZING OF FRACTIONAL PROGRAMMING FOR MULTI- OBJECTIVE MULTI- ITEM SOLID TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS IN FUZZY ENVIRONMENT

^{1,*}Khalifa, H. A. and ²Al- Shabi, M.

¹Operations Research Department, Institute of Statistical Studies and Research, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

²Department of Management Information System, College of Business Administration, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 06th August, 2018
Received in revised form
19th September, 2018
Accepted 24th October, 2018
Published online 29th November, 2018

Key Words:

Multi-Objective Multi-Item solid
Transportation Problem; Fractional
Programming; $L - R$ Fuzzy
Numbers

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a fully fuzzymulti - objective linear fractional programming is applied for multi- item solid transportation (FFMOMISTP) problem. To minimize the problem, the order relations which represent the decision maker's performance between fuzzy costs, supply, demand and conveyances are defined by $L - R$ flat fuzzy numbers. Using the fuzzy number comparison introduced by Rouben's method, 1996, the problem is converted into the corresponding crisp FMOMISTP problem. Then the MOMISTP is transformed into the single objective linear programming using the proposed method of Guzel, 2013, and hence software programming is applied for solving the problem. Finally, a numerical example is given to the utility of our proposed method.

Copyright © 2018, Khalifa and Al- Shabi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Khalifa, H. A. and Al- Shabi, M. 2018. "Utilizing of fractional programming for multi- objective multi- item solid transportation problems in fuzzy environment", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (11), 75024-75035.

INTRODUCTION

The solid transportation problem (STP) is a generalization of the well- known transportation problem (TP) in which three-dimensional properties are taken into consideration in the objective and constraint set instead of source and destination (Kundu et al. 2013). The STP was first stated by Shell, 1955. Haley, 1962 introduced a solution procedure for solving STP which is an extension of the modified distribution method. As known, fuzzy set theory was introduced by Zadeh, 1965 to deal with fuzziness. Up to now, fuzzy set theory has been applied to broad fields. Fuzzy numerical data can be represented by means of fuzzy subsets of the real line, known as fuzzy numbers). For the fuzzy set theory development, we may refer to the papers of Kaufmann, 1975, and Dubois and Prade, 1980, they extended the use of algebraic operations of real numbers to fuzzy numbers by the use of a fuzzification principle. Fuzzy linear constraints with fuzzy numbers were studied by Dubois and Prade, 1980. Bellman and Zadeh, 1970 introduced the concept of a maximizing decision making. Ammar and Khalifa, 2014 introduced multi- objective STP with fuzzy parameters both in the objective functions and constraints and determined the stability set of the first kind corresponding to the obtained solution. Ammar and Khalifa, 2015 presented multi- objective to the obtained solution. Ammar and Khalifa, 2015 presented multi- objective multi- item STP with fuzzy numbers in the supplies, demands, capacity of conveyances, and costs. Ida et al. 1995 studied fuzzy multi- criteria STP. Using general fuzzy cost and time, Ojha et al. 2009 studied entropy based STP. Under stochastic environment, Yang and Yuan, 2007 investigated a bicriteria STP. Under various uncertain environments, Kundu et al. 2014 investigated multi- objective STP. Rani and Gulati, 2015 applied fuzzy programming approach fully fuzzy multi- objective multi- item STP. Kumar and Dutta, 2015 applied fuzzy goal programming for fuzzy multi- objective STP. Under some restriction on transported amount, Baidya et al. 2016 introduced different types of transportation models. Jimenez and Verdegay, 1999 solved fuzzy STP by applying an evolutionary algorithm based on parametric approach. Nagarajan et al. 2014 introduced a solution procedure for multi-objective interval STP under a stochastic environment. Cui and Sheng, 2012 introduced an expected constrained programming for an uncertain STP problem. Pramanik et al. 2018 formulated and solved a multi- objective STP for damageable item.

*Corresponding author: Khalifa, H. A.,

Operations Research Department, Institute of Statistical Studies and Research, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

Dalman and Sivri, 2017 present some approaches to find the compromised optimal solution for uncertain multi- objective ST. Pandian and Anuradha, 2010 proposed a new method for solving STP based on the principle of zero point method introduced by Pandian and Natarajan, 2010. Fractional Programming (FP) is a decision problem arises to optimize the ratio subject to constraints. In real world decision situations, MOLFP programming arises very frequently. As, for instance, the ratio between inventory & sales, actual cost & standard cost, output & employee, measuring relative efficiency of decision making unit in the public/ or nonprofit sectors, Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) & many other areas of economics, non- economics and indirect applications. Charnes and Cooper, 1962 studied a linear fractional programming (LFP) problem and showed that it can be optimized by solving two linear programs. Ammar and Khalifa, 2009 studied LFP problem with fuzzy parameters. Ammar and Khalifa, 2004 introduced aparametric approach for solving multi-criteria LFP problem. Luhandjula, 1984 applied fuzzy programming approach for solving MOLFP problem. Nykowski and Zolkiewski, 1985 solved the MOLFP problem by converting it into the multi- objective linear programming (MOLP) problem. Gupta and Chakraborty, 1999 introduced a methodology for a restricted class of MOLFP problem in the sense that there exists some values of decision variables for which the numerator is positive and the denominator is positive for all values of decision variables in the feasible region, and then applied fuzzy approach for solving the problem by defining a linear membership function. Moumita and De, 2014 suggested a novel approach for solving MOLFP. Using the complementary development method introduced by Dheyab, 2012, Jain, 2014 extended this work for the MOLFP and fuzzy MOLFP problems. Porchelvi et al. 2014 proposed a n approach for solving the MOLFP. The rest of the paper is as follows: In section 2; some preliminaries need in the paper are presented. In section 3, a multi- objective linear fractional programming for multi- item solid transportation problem with fuzzy costs, supply, demand is introduced as specific definition and properties. In section 4, a solution procedure for solving the problem is given. In section 5, a numerical example is given for illustration. Finally some concluding remarks are reported in section 6.

Preliminaries: In order discuss our problem conveniently, we introduce fuzzy numbers and some of the results of applying fuzzy arithmetic on them and also comparison of fuzzy numbers by Robubens's method (Kauffmann and Gupta, 1988; Fotteps and Roubens, 1996).

Definition1. (Kauffmann and Gupta, 1988). Let R be the set of real numbers, the fuzzy number \tilde{a} is a mapping $\mu_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$, with the following properties:

- (i) $\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x)$ is an upper semi- continuous membership function;
- (ii) \tilde{a} is a convex fuzzy set, i. e., $\mu_{\tilde{a}}(\lambda x^1 + (1 - \lambda)x^2) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x^1), \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x^2) \}$, for all $x^1, x^2 \in R, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$;
- (iii) \tilde{a} is normal, i. e., $\exists x_0 \in R$ for which $\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x_0) = 1$;
- (iv) $\text{Supp}(\tilde{a}) = \{x \in R : \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) > 0\}$ is the support of the \tilde{a} , and its closure $cl(\text{supp}(\tilde{a}))$ is compact set.

It is assumed that $F_0(R)$ is the set of all fuzzy numbers.

Definition2. The α - level set of the fuzzy number $\tilde{a} \in F_0(R), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, denoted by $(\tilde{a})_\alpha$ and is defined as the ordinary set:

$$(\tilde{a})_\alpha = \begin{cases} \{x \in R : \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) \geq \alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \\ cl(\text{sup } p(\tilde{a})), & \alpha = 0 \end{cases}$$

A function, usually denoted by " L " or " R ", is a reference function of a fuzzy number if and only if

1. $L(x) = L(-x)$,
2. $L(0) = 1$,
3. L is non increasing on $[0, -\infty[$.

A convenient representation of fuzzy numbers in the $L - R$ flat fuzzy number which is defined as

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} L((A^- - x)\eta), & \text{if } x \leq A^-, \eta > 0, \\ R((x - A^+)\beta), & \text{if } x \geq A^+, \beta > 0, \\ 1, & \text{elseswhere} \end{cases}$$

Where, $A^- < A^+$, $[A^-, A^+]$ is the core of \tilde{A} , $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1; \forall x \in [A^-, A^+]$, A^-, A^+ are the lower and upper modal values of \tilde{A} , and $\eta > 0, \beta > 0$ are the left- hand and right- hand spreads (Roubens, 1991).

Remark1. A flat fuzzy number is denoted by $\tilde{A} = (A^-, A^+, \eta, \beta)_{LR}$

Among the various type of $L - R$ fuzzy numberz, trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, denoted by $\tilde{A} = (A^-, A^+, \eta, \beta)$, are the greatest importance (Roubens, 1991). Let $\tilde{p} = (p^-, p^+, \eta, \beta)$, and $\tilde{q} = (q^-, q^+, \gamma, \delta)$ both trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, the formulas for the addition, subtraction, and scalar multiplication are as follow:

Addition:

$$\tilde{p} \oplus \tilde{q} = (p^- + q^-, p^+ + q^+, \eta + \gamma, \beta + \delta).$$

Subtraction:

$$\tilde{p}(-)\tilde{q} = (p^- - q^+, p^+ - q^-, \eta + \delta, \beta + \gamma).$$

Scalar multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} x > 0, \quad x \in R : x \otimes \tilde{p} &= (xp^-, xp^+, x\eta, x\beta), \\ x < 0, \quad x \in R : x \otimes \tilde{p} &= (xp^+, xp^-, -x\beta, -x\eta). \end{aligned} \quad \text{Division:}$$

$$\tilde{p}(/)\tilde{q} = \left(\frac{p^-}{q^+}, \frac{p^+}{q^-}, \frac{p^-}{q^-} - \frac{p^- - \eta}{q^- - \gamma}, \frac{p^+ + \beta}{q^+ + \delta} - \frac{p^+}{q^+} \right), \tilde{p} > 0; \tilde{q} > 0.$$

The main concept of comparison of fuzzy numbers is based on the compensation of areas determined by the membership functions(Baldwin and Guild, 1979; Nakamura, 1986). Let \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} be fuzzy and numbers and $S_L(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}), S_R(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ be the areas determined by their membership functions according to

$$\begin{aligned} S_L(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) &= \int_{I(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})} (\inf \tilde{p}_\alpha - \inf \tilde{q}_\alpha) d\alpha, \text{ and} \\ S_R(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) &= \int_{S(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})} (\sup \tilde{p}_\alpha - \sup \tilde{q}_\alpha) d\alpha, \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$I(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \{ \alpha : \inf \tilde{p}_\alpha \geq \inf \tilde{q}_\alpha \} \subset [\theta, 1], \theta > 0, \text{ and } S(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \{ \alpha : \sup \tilde{p}_\alpha \geq \sup \tilde{q}_\alpha \} \subset [\theta, 1], \theta > 0.$$

The degree to which $\tilde{p} \geq \tilde{q}$ is defined (Roubens, 1991) as

$$C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = S_L(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) - S_L(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) + S_R(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) - S_R(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}).$$

Here, let us consider that $\tilde{p} \geq \tilde{q}$ when $C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \geq 0$.

Proposition1. (Roubens, 1991). Let \tilde{p} , and \tilde{q} be $L - R$ fuzzy numbers with parameters $(p^-, p^+, \eta, \beta), (q^-, q^+, \gamma, \delta)$ and reference functions $(L_{\tilde{p}}, R_{\tilde{p}}), (L_{\tilde{q}}, R_{\tilde{q}})$, where all reference functions are invertible. Then $\tilde{p} (\geq) \tilde{q}$ if and only if

$$\sup \tilde{p}_{\alpha_{\tilde{p}, R}} + \inf \tilde{p}_{\alpha_{\tilde{p}, L}} \geq \sup \tilde{q}_{\alpha_{\tilde{q}, R}} + \inf \tilde{q}_{\alpha_{\tilde{q}, L}}.$$

If $k = \tilde{p} \otimes \tilde{q}$. Then

$$\alpha_{k, R} = R_k \left(\int_0^1 R_k^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha \right), \alpha_{k, L} = L_k \left(\int_0^1 L_k^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha \right).$$

Remark2. $\tilde{p} \geq \tilde{q}$ if and only if $p^- + p^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \eta) \geq q^- + q^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\delta - \gamma)$. (1)

Notation. The associated real number p corresponding to $\tilde{p} = (p^-, p^+, \eta, \beta)$ is $\hat{p} = p^- + p^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \eta)$.

Let $F(R)$ be the set of all trapezoidal fuzzy numbers.

Problem formulation and solution concepts: A multi- objective multi- item solid transportation problem under fuzzy environment in fractional programming form is formulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \min \tilde{Z}_r &= \frac{\tilde{N}_r(x)}{\tilde{M}_r(x)} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{c}_{ijk}^{rp} x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{d}_{ijk}^{rp} x_{ijk}^p} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((c_{ijk}^{rp})^-, (c_{ijk}^{rp})^+, \alpha_{ijk}^{rp}, \beta_{ijk}^{rp} \right) x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((d_{ijk}^{rp})^-, (d_{ijk}^{rp})^+, \delta_{ijk}^{rp}, \gamma_{ijk}^{rp} \right) x_{ijk}^p}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, S \end{aligned}$$

Subject to (2)

$$\tilde{X} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ijk}^p \leq \tilde{a}_i^p = \left((a_i^p)^-, (a_i^p)^+, \alpha_i^p, \beta_i^p \right) = \tilde{A}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ijk}^p \geq \tilde{b}_j^p = \left((b_j^p)^-, (b_j^p)^+, \chi_j^p, \delta_j^p \right) = \tilde{B}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ &\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ijk}^p \leq \tilde{e}_k = \left((e_k)^-, (e_k)^+, \phi_k, \varphi_k \right) = \tilde{E}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K, \\ &x_{ijk}^{rp} \geq 0; \quad \forall i, j, k, p. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Where, p products can be transported from m origins \tilde{A}_i to n destination \tilde{B}_j by means of \tilde{E}_k conveyances, and r objectives are to be minimized. \tilde{c}_{ijk}^{rp} represent fuzzy unit transportation penalty from i - th origin to j - th destination by k - th conveyance for p - th item. $\tilde{a}_i^p, \tilde{b}_j^p$, and \tilde{e}_k represent total fuzzy supply, total fuzzy demand, and total fuzzy capacity. Also, $\tilde{c}_{ijk}^{rp}, \tilde{d}_{ijk}^{rp}, \tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_j$, and $\tilde{E}_k \in F_0(R)$.

To solve the problem(2), the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^m \left((a_i^p)^-, (a_i^p)^+, \alpha_i^p, \beta_i^p \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \left((b_j^p)^-, (b_j^p)^+, \chi_j^p, \delta_j^p \right) \text{ if and only if} \\ &\sum_{i=1}^m \left((a_i^p)^- + (a_i^p)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i^p - \alpha_i^p) \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \left((b_j^p)^- + (b_j^p)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_j^p - \chi_j^p) \right), \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, l, \text{ and} \\ &\sum_{k=1}^K \left((e_k)^-, (e_k)^+, \phi_k, \varphi_k \right) \geq \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^n \left((b_j^p)^-, (b_j^p)^+, \chi_j^p, \delta_j^p \right) \text{ if and only if} \\ &\sum_{k=1}^K \left((e_k)^- + (e_k)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_k - \phi_k) \right) \geq \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^n \left((b_j^p)^- + (b_j^p)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_j^p - \chi_j^p) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Definition3(fuzzy efficient solution).A point $x^\circ \in \tilde{X}(\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_j, \tilde{E}_k)$ is said to be fuzzy efficient solution to the problem(2) if and only if there does not exist another $x \in \tilde{X}(\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_j, \tilde{E}_k)$, such that: $\tilde{Z}_r(x) \leq \tilde{Z}_r(x^\circ)$, and $\tilde{Z}_r(x) < \tilde{Z}_r(x^\circ)$ for at least one r . Problem(2) is converted into the corresponding crisp problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \min Z_r &= \frac{N_r(x)}{M_r(x)} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K c_{ijk}^{rp} x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K d_{ijk}^{rp} x_{ijk}^p} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((c_{ijk}^{rp})^- + (c_{ijk}^{rp})^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{ijk}^{rp} - \alpha_{ijk}^{rp}) \right) x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((d_{ijk}^{rp})^- + (d_{ijk}^{rp})^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{ijk}^{rp} - \delta_{ijk}^{rp}) \right) x_{ijk}^p} \end{aligned}$$

Subject to (3)

$$X = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ijk}^p &\leq \left((a_i^p)^- + (a_i^p)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i^p - \alpha_i^p) \right) = \tilde{A}_i, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ijk}^p &\geq \left((b_j^p)^- + (b_j^p)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_j^p - \chi_j^p) \right) = \tilde{B}_j, \\ \sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ijk}^p &\leq \left((e_k)^- + (e_k)^+ + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_k - \phi_k) \right) = \tilde{C}_k, \\ x_{ijk}^{rp} &\geq 0, \forall i, j, k, p. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Definition4 (Efficient solution). A point $x^* \in X(A_i, B_j, E_k)$ is said to be fuzzy efficient solution to the problem(3) if and only if there does not exist another $x \in X(A_i, B_j, E_k)$, such that: $Z_r(x) \leq Z_r(x^*)$, and $Z_r(x) < Z_r(x^*)$ for at least one r .

Theorem1.(Dinkelbach, 1967). $Z^* = \frac{N(x^*)}{M(x^*)} = \max \left\{ \frac{N(x)}{M(x)} : x \in X \right\}$ if and only if

$$f(z^*) = f(z^*, x^*) = \max \{ N(x) - z^* M(x) : x \in X \}.$$

According to Guzel (2013), problem (3) becomes

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^S (N_r(x) - Z_r^* M_r(x)) \right\}$$

Subject to

$$x \in X.$$

$$\text{Where, } Z_r^* = \frac{N_r(x^*)}{M_r(x^*)} = \min \left\{ \frac{N_r(x)}{M_r(x)} : x \in X, r = 1, 2, \dots, S \right\}.$$

It is clear that Z_r^* may be calculated using any of the following proposed method(Bajaliov, 2003; Charnes& cooper, 1962; and Swarup, 1965).

Solution procedure

In this section, a solution procedure to solve FFMOMISTP problem can be summarized as in the following steps:

Step1: Formulate the problem (2)

Step2: Convert the (2) problem into the corresponding crisp problem (3) using the method of Roubens, 1991

Step3: Solve each objective function subject to the given constraints of problem(3) to obtain individual minimum value

$$Z_r^*, R = 1, 2, \dots, S$$

Step4: Formulate the equivalent linear programming(LP) based on the method introduced by Guzel, 2013

Step5: Solve the LP programming to obtain the optimal fuzzy solution which is the efficient fuzzy solution for the FFMOMISTP problem.

Numerical example

Consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } Z_1 &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left((c_{ijk}^{1p})^-, (c_{ijk}^{1p})^+, \alpha_{ijk}^{1p}, \beta_{ijk}^{1p} \right) x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((d_{ijk}^{1p})^-, (d_{ijk}^{1p})^+, \delta_{ijk}^{1p}, \gamma_{ijk}^{1p} \right) x_{ijk}^p}, \\ \text{Min } Z_2 &= \frac{\sum_{p=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left((c_{ijk}^{2p})^-, (c_{ijk}^{2p})^+, \alpha_{ijk}^{2p}, \beta_{ijk}^{2p} \right) x_{ijk}^p}{\sum_{p=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left((d_{ijk}^{2p})^-, (d_{ijk}^{2p})^+, \delta_{ijk}^{2p}, \gamma_{ijk}^{2p} \right) x_{ijk}^p}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Subject to: $x \in \tilde{X}$.

Where,

$$\tilde{X} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{1jk}^1 \leq (24, 26, 2, 4), \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{2jk}^1 \leq (32, 35, 1, 1), \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{1jk}^2 \leq (34, 37, 2, 6), \\ &\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{2jk}^2 \leq (28, 30, 1, 3), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i1k}^1 \geq (16, 19, 2, 4), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i1k}^2 \geq (23, 25, 1, 3), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i2k}^1 \geq (20, 22, 2, 2), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i2k}^2 \geq (18, 19, 2, 4), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i3k}^1 \geq (15, 18, 2, 4), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i3k}^2 \geq (17, 19, 1, 1), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij1}^1 \leq (55, 60, 3, 5), \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij1}^2 \leq (58, 65, 2, 4) \\ &x_{ijk}^{rp} \geq 0; \forall i, j, k, p. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Where, the unit transportation penalties are given in Tables 1-8 as follow:

Table1. Penalties/ costs c_{ijk}^{11}

<i>i</i>						
	<i>j</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>j</i>	1	2	3
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(7, 9, 2, 4)	(6, 9, 3, 5)	(12, 14, 1, 1)	(11, 13, 2, 4)	(8, 10, 2, 4)	(8, 12, 1, 1)

2	(10, 12, 3, 5)	(7, 9, 2, 4)	(13, 15, 2, 6)	(11, 13, 1,1)	(8, 10, 2, 4)	(16, 18, 3, 5)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table2. Penalties/ costs d_{ijk}^{11}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(2, 4, 2, 4)	(1,3,3, 5)	(4, 6, 2, 4)	(5, 7, 3, 5)	(4, 8, 3, 5)	(7, 9, 2, 6)
2	(3, 5, 1, 1)	(7, 9, 4, 8)	(11, 13, 4, 6)	(8, 12, 3, 7)	(6, 10, 2, 4)	(16, 18, 3, 5)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table3. Penalties/ costs c_{ijk}^{12}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(10, 12, 4, 6)	(8, 10, 1, 1)	(10, 12, 2, 4)	(12, 14, 3, 5)	(6, 10, 2, 4)	(9, 11, 3, 5)
2	(12, 14, 2, 6)	(8, 12, 3, 5)	(14, 16, 2, 4)	(16, 18, 2, 4)	(10, 12, 2, 6)	(13, 15, 3, 5)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table 4. Penalties/ costs d_{ijk}^{12}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(7, 9, 2, 4)	(7, 9, 3, 5)	(12, 14, 2, 6)	(11, 13, 1, 1)	(8, 10, 2, 4)	(8, 12, 2, 6)
2	(10, 14, 3, 5)	(7, 9, 1, 3)	(13, 15, 3, 5)	(11, 13, 2, 4)	(8, 10, 3, 5)	(16, 18, 2,6)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table 5. Penalties/ costs c_{ijk}^{21}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(5, 7, 1, 3)	(4, 6, 2, 6)	(8, 10, 2, 4)	(4, 8, 2, 6)	(5, 7, 1, 3)	(7, 9, 1, 5)
2	(7, 9, 2, 4)	(5, 7, 1, 3)	(7, 9, 1, 3)	(6, 8, 2, 4)	(9, 11, 1, 5)	(9, 11, 2, 4)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table 6. Penalties/ costs d_{ijk}^{21}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(7, 9, 1, 1)	(5, 9, 2, 4)	(12, 14, 2, 4)	(10, 13, 2, 4)	(8, 10, 1, 3)	(6, 12, 3, 5)
2	(10, 14, 2, 6)	(7, 9, 1, 3)	(13, 15, 1, 5)	(11, 13, 1, 3)	(8, 10, 1, 3)	(16, 18, 2, 4)
<i>k</i>	1			2		

Table 7. Penalties/ costs c_{ijk}^{22}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3

1	(7, 9, 1, 3)	(5, 9, 3, 5)	(12, 14, 2, 4)	(11, 13, 1, 3)	(8, 10, 2, 4)	(8, 12, 3, 5)
2	(9, 13, 2, 4)	(6, 8, 1, 3)	(13, 15, 1, 3)	(12, 14, 1, 3)	(8, 10, 1, 5)	(16, 18, 2, 4)
<i>k</i>	1				2	

Table 8. Penalties/ costs d_{ijk}^{22}

<i>i</i>						
<i>j</i>						
	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	(1, 3, 1, 1)	(2, 6, 1, 3)	(7, 11, 2, 4)	(9, 11, 2, 4)	(8, 12, 3, 5)	(8, 10, 1, 3)
2	(10, 12, 2, 6)	(13, 15, 2, 4)	(7, 11, 1, 3)	(9, 13, 2, 6)	(7, 11, 2, 4)	(13, 17, 2, 4)
<i>k</i>	1				2	

From the tables above, the FFMOMISTP problem can be formulated

$$\min (Z_1, Z_2) = \left(\frac{N_1}{M_1}, \frac{N_2}{M_2} \right)$$

Subject to (5)

$$G = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{111}^1 + x_{121}^1 + x_{131}^1 + x_{112}^1 + x_{122}^1 + x_{132}^1 \leq 51; x_{211}^1 + x_{221}^1 + x_{231}^1 + x_{212}^1 + x_{222}^1 + x_{232}^1 \leq 67; \\ x_{111}^2 + x_{121}^2 + x_{131}^2 + x_{112}^2 + x_{122}^2 + x_{132}^2 \leq 73; x_{211}^2 + x_{221}^2 + x_{231}^2 + x_{212}^2 + x_{222}^2 + x_{232}^2 \leq 59; \\ x_{111}^1 + x_{211}^1 + x_{112}^1 + x_{212}^1 \geq 36; x_{111}^2 + x_{211}^2 + x_{112}^2 + x_{212}^2 \geq 49; x_{111}^1 + x_{211}^1 + x_{121}^1 + x_{221}^1 \leq 116; \\ x_{121}^1 + x_{221}^1 + x_{122}^1 + x_{222}^1 \geq 42; x_{121}^2 + x_{221}^2 + x_{122}^2 + x_{222}^2 \geq 38; x_{111}^2 + x_{211}^2 + x_{121}^2 + x_{221}^2 \leq 124; \\ x_{131}^1 + x_{231}^1 + x_{132}^1 + x_{232}^1 \geq 34; x_{131}^2 + x_{231}^2 + x_{132}^2 + x_{232}^2 \geq 36; \\ x_{ijk}^p \geq 0, i = k = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \right.$$

Where,

$$N_1 = \left(\begin{array}{l} 17x_{111}^1 + 16x_{121}^1 + 26x_{131}^1 + 25x_{112}^1 + 19x_{122}^1 + 20x_{132}^1 + 23x_{211}^1 \\ + 17x_{221}^1 + 30x_{231}^1 + 24x_{212}^1 + 19x_{222}^1 + 35x_{232}^1 + 23x_{111}^2 + 18x_{121}^2 \\ + 23x_{131}^2 + 27x_{112}^2 + 17x_{122}^2 + 21x_{132}^2 + 28x_{211}^2 + 21x_{221}^2 + 31x_{231}^2 \\ + 35x_{212}^2 + 24x_{222}^2 + 29x_{232}^2 \end{array} \right),$$

$$N_2 = \left(\begin{array}{l} 13x_{111}^1 + 12x_{121}^1 + 19x_{131}^1 + 16x_{112}^1 + 13x_{122}^1 + 18x_{132}^1 + 17x_{211}^1 \\ + 13x_{221}^1 + 17x_{231}^1 + 15x_{212}^1 + 22x_{222}^1 + 21x_{232}^1 + 17x_{111}^2 + 15x_{121}^2 \\ + 27x_{131}^2 + 25x_{112}^2 + 19x_{122}^2 + 21x_{132}^2 + 22x_{211}^2 + 15x_{221}^2 + 29x_{231}^2 \\ + 27x_{212}^2 + 20x_{222}^2 + 35x_{232}^2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{l} 7x_{111}^1 + 5x_{121}^1 + 11x_{131}^1 + 13x_{112}^1 + 13x_{122}^1 + 18x_{132}^1 + 8x_{211}^1 \\ + 18x_{221}^1 + 25x_{231}^1 + 22x_{212}^1 + 17x_{222}^1 + 35x_{232}^1 + 17x_{111}^2 + 17x_{121}^2 \\ + 28x_{131}^2 + 24x_{112}^2 + 19x_{122}^2 + 22x_{132}^2 + 25x_{211}^2 + 17x_{221}^2 + 29x_{231}^2 \\ + 25x_{212}^2 + 19x_{222}^2 + 36x_{232}^2 \end{array} \right), \text{and}$$

$$M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{l} 16x_{111}^1 + 15x_{121}^1 + 27x_{131}^1 + 24x_{112}^1 + 19x_{122}^1 + 19x_{132}^1 + 26x_{211}^1 \\ + 17x_{221}^1 + 30x_{231}^1 + 25x_{212}^1 + 19x_{222}^1 + 35x_{232}^1 + 4x_{111}^2 + 9x_{121}^2 \\ + 19x_{131}^2 + 21x_{112}^2 + 21x_{122}^2 + 19x_{132}^2 + 24x_{211}^2 + 29x_{221}^2 + 19x_{231}^2 \\ + 23x_{212}^2 + 19x_{222}^2 + 31x_{232}^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Table 9. The optimal solution of Z_1

Variables values	Objectivevalue (optimum value)
$x_{111}^1 = 2$ $x_{121}^1 = 15$ $x_{112}^1 = 34$ $x_{221}^1 = 27$ $x_{111}^2 = 35$ $x_{122}^2 = 38$ $x_{222}^2 = 14$ $x_{131}^1 = x_{122}^1 = x_{132}^1 = x_{211}^1$ $= x_{231}^1 = x_{212}^1 = x_{222}^1 = x_{232}^1$ $= x_{131}^2 = x_{121}^2 = x_{112}^2 = x_{132}^2$ $= x_{211}^2 = x_{221}^2 = x_{231}^2 = x_{212}^2 = x_{232}^2 = 0$	$Z_1^* = 1.2961534$

Table 10. The optimal solution of Z_2

Variables values	Objective value (optimum value)
$x_{111}^1 = 2$ $x_{121}^1 = 15$ $x_{112}^1 = 34$ $x_{221}^1 = 27$ $x_{111}^2 = 13$ $x_{222}^2 = 36$ $x_{121}^2 = 38$ $x_{131}^1 = x_{122}^1 = x_{132}^1 = x_{211}^1$ $= x_{231}^1 = x_{212}^1 = x_{222}^1 = x_{232}^1$ $= x_{131}^2 = x_{112}^2 = x_{132}^2 = x_{232}^2$ $= x_{211}^2 = x_{221}^2 = x_{231}^2 = x_{212}^2 = 0$	$Z_2^* = 1.00077$

According to the Guzel (2013), the linear programming equivalent to the problem (5) is

$$\min F = \begin{pmatrix} 4.912x_{111}^1 + 6.505x_{121}^1 + 3.717x_{131}^1 + 0.128x_{112}^1 - 3.867x_{122}^1 - 4.347x_{132}^1 \\ + 3.606x_{211}^1 - 10.345x_{221}^1 - 15.43x_{231}^1 - 14.537x_{212}^1 - 0.051x_{222}^1 - 24.395x_{232}^1 \\ + 13.964x_{111}^2 + 1.959x_{121}^2 - 5.307x_{131}^2 - 0.125x_{112}^2 - 9.645x_{122}^2 - 5.531x_{132}^2 \\ - 6.424x_{211}^2 - 15.061x_{221}^2 + 3.397x_{231}^2 + 6.577x_{212}^2 + 0.357x_{222}^2 - 13.687x_{232}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Subject to

$$x_{ijk}^p \in G.$$

Table 11. The optimal solution of F^* , and the fuzzy efficient solution

Variables values	Objective value (optimum value)
$x_{112}^1 = 9$	$F^* = -2699.0320$
$x_{221}^1 = 27$	$Z_1^\circ = (1.2922, 1.3543, 1.0437, 1.1967)$
$x_{111}^2 = 13$	$Z_2^\circ = (0.6268, 1.1163, 0.987333, 0.90733)$
$x_{121}^2 = 38$	
$x_{212}^1 = 27$	
$x_{232}^1 = 40$	
$x_{122}^2 = 73$	
$x_{211}^2 = 49$	
$x_{221}^2 = 10$	
$x_{131}^1 = x_{122}^1 = x_{132}^1 = x_{211}^1 = x_{111}^1$	
$= x_{231}^1 = x_{222}^1 = x_{221}^1 = x_{111}^1 = x_{222}^2$	
$= x_{131}^2 = x_{112}^2 = x_{132}^2 = x_{232}^2 = x_{121}^1$	
$= x_{231}^2 = x_{212}^2 = 0$	

Concluding Remarks

In this paper, a MOMISTP problem with fuzzy costs, supply, demand, and conveyances has been investigated based on fractional fuzzy programming approach. The advantages are that the problem with fuzzy numbers allows the decision maker(DM) to deal with a situation realistically. To deal with the minimization problem, the order relations who represents the DM performance between fuzzy costs, supply, demand and conveyances has been defined by the $L - R$ trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Using the fuzzy number comparison introduced by Rouben's method, 1996, the FMOMISTP has been converted into the corresponding crisp MOMISTP. Then the MOMISTP has been transformed into the single objective linear programming using the proposed method of Guzel, 2013, and hence software programming is applied for solving the problem.

REFERENCES

Ammar, E.E. and Khalifa, H. A. 2004. A parametric approach for solving the multicriteria linear fractional programming problem. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 12(3): 527-535.
 Ammar, E.E. and Khalifa, H. A. 2009. On fuzzy parametric linear fractional programming problem. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 17(3): 555-568.
 Ammar, E.E. and Khalifa, H.A. 2014. Study on multi- objective solid transportation problem with fuzzy numbers. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, (125): 9- 16.
 Ammar, E.E. and Khalifa, H.A. 2015. On fuzzy multi- objective multi- item solid transportation problems. *International Journal of Computer & Organization Trends*, 17(1): 1- 19.
 Baidya, A., Bera, U. and Maiti, M. 2016. Uncertain multi- objective restricted solution. *Bull., Series*, 78(1): 161- 174.

- Bajalinov, E. B. 2003. Linear- Fractional Programming: Theory, Methods, Applications, and Software. Kluwer Academic, Boston.
- Baldwin, F. F. and Guild, N. C. F. 1979. Comparison of fuzzy sets on the same decision space. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (2): 213- 231.
- Bellman, R. E. and Zadeh, L. A. 1970. Decision making in a fuzzy environment. *Management Science*, 17, 141-164.
- Charnes, A. and Cooper, W. W. 1962. Programming with linear fractional functional. *Naval Research Logistic Quarterly*, (9): 181- 186.
- Cui, Q. and Sheng, Y. 2012. Uncertain programming model for solid transportation problem. *Information*, 15(12): 342-348.
- Dalman, H. and Sivri, M. 2017. Multi- objective solid transportation problem in uncertain Environment. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction A, Science*, 41(4). DOI:10.1007/s40995- 017-0254-5.
- Dheyab, A.N. 2012. Finding the optimal solution for fractional linear programming problems with fuzzy numbers. *Journal of Kerbala University*, 10(3): 105- 110.
- Dinkelbach, W. 1967. On nonlinear fractional programming. *Management Science*, 13(7): 492- 498.
- Dubois, D. and Prade, H. 1980. Possibility Theory: An Approach to Computerized Processing of Uncertainty, Plenum, New York.
- Fottemps, D. and Roubens, M. 1996. Ranking and defuzzification methods based on area compensation. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (82): 314- 330.
- Gupta, S. and Chakraborty, M. 1999. Fuzzy programming approach for a class of multiple objective linear fractional programming problem. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 7(1): 29- 34.
- Guzel, N. 2013. A proposal to the solution of multiobjective linear fractional programming problem. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2013. Hindawi Publishing Comparison.
- Haley, B.K. 1962. The solid transportation problem. *Operational Research*, (11): 446- 448.
- Ida, K., Gen, M. and Li, Y. 1995. Solving multicriteria solid transportation problem with fuzzy numbers by genetic algorithms. European Congress on Intelligent Techniques and Soft Computing (EUFIT' 95), Aachen, Germany, 434- 441.
- Jain, S. 2014. Modeling of Gauss elimination technique multi- objective fractional programming problem. *South Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 4(3): 148- 153.
- Jimenez, F. and Verdegay, L. J. 1999. Solving fuzzy solid transportation problem by an evolutionary algorithm based on parametric approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, (117): 485- 510.
- Kaufmann, A. 1975. Introduction to the Theory of Fuzz Subsets, Vol. I. Academic Press, New York.
- Kaufmann, A. and Gupta, M. M. 1988. Fuzzy Mathematical Models in Engineering and Management Science. Elsevier Science Publishing Company INC, New York.
- Kumar, N. G. and Dutta, D. 2015. Solving multi- objective fuzzy solid transportation problem based on expected value and the goal programming approach. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 11(2): 88- 96.
- Kundu, P., Kar, S. and Maiti, M. 2013. Multi- objective multi- item solid transportation problem in fuzzy environment. *Applied Mathematical Modeling*, (37): 2028- 2038.
- Kundu, P., Kar, S. and Maiti, M. 2014. Multi- objective solid transportation problems with budget constraint in uncertain environment. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 45(8): 1668- 1682.
- Luhandjula, K. M. 1984. Fuzzy approaches for multiple objective linear fractional optimization. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (13): 11- 23.
- Moumita, D. and De, P. K. 2014. A note on fuzzy multi- objective linear fractional programming problem. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network*, 3(6): 568- 572.
- Nagarajan, A., Jeyaraman, K. and Prabha Krishna, S. 2014. Multi- objective solid transportation problem with interval cost in source and demand parameters. *International Journal of Computer & Organization Trends*, 8(1): 33- 41.
- Nakamura, K. 1986. Preference relations on a set of cost in source and fuzzy utilities. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (20): 147- 162.
- Nykowski, I. and Zoliewski, Z. 1985. A compromise procedure for the multiple objective linear fractional programming problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, (19): 91- 97.
- Ojha, A., Das, B., Mondal, S. and Maiti, M. 2009. An entropy based solid transportation problem for general fuzzy costs and time with fuzzy equality. *Mathematical Computation Model*, 50(1-2): 166- 178.
- Pandian, P. and Anuradha, G. 2010. A new approach for solving solid transportation problems. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 4(72): 3603- 3610.
- Pandian, P. and Natarajan, G. 2010. A new method for finding an optimal solution for transportation problems. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications*, (4): 59- 65.
- Pramanik, K.S. 2010. A multi- objective solid transportation with reliability for damageable items in random fuzzy environment. *International Journal of Operational Research*, 31(1): 1- 23.
- Porchelvi, R. S., Vasanthi, L. and Hepzibah, R. I. 2014. On solving multi objective fractional linear programming problems. *Global Journal of Current Research*, 6(8): 8095- 8102.
- Rani, D. and Gulati, R.T. 2016. Uncertain multi- objective multi- product solid transportation problems. *Indian Academy of Science*, 41(5): 531- 539.

- Roubens, M. 1991. Inequality constraints between fuzzy numbers and their use in mathematical programming, in: R. Slowinski, J. Teghem (Edt). Stochastic Versus Fuzzy Approach to Multi objective Mathematical Programming Under Uncertainty. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordercht, 321- 330.
- Shell, E. 1955. Distribution of a product by several properties, Directorate of Management Analysis, Proc. 2ndSymp. On Linear Programming, (2): 615- 642,DCS/ Comptroller H.Q.U.S.A.F., Washington, DC. solid transportation problem with fixed charge under stochastic environment Applied Mathematical Modeling, (31): 2668- 2683.
- Swarup, K. 1965. Linear fractional functionals programming. *Operations Research*, 13(6): 1029- 1036.
- Yang, L. and Yuan, F. 2007. A bicriteria solid transportation problem with fixed charge under stochastic environment Applied Mathematical Modeling, (31): 2668- 2683.
- Zadeh, L. A. 1965. Fuzzy sets. *Information Control*, (8) 338- 353.
