



ISSN: 0975-833X

Available online at <http://www.journalcra.com>

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 11, Issue, 01, pp.454-456, January, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.34178.01.2019>

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF CURRENT RESEARCH**

RESEARCH ARTICLE

STUDY OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND AMPHIBIA FAUNA (ANURANS) POPULATION OF BHOPAL LAKE AND PONDS

***Dr. Mukesh Kumar Napit**

Department of Zoology, Govt. Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Science and Commerce P.G. College
(Purana Benazeer), Bhopal

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 10th October, 2018
Received in revised form
20th November, 2018
Accepted 29th December, 2018
Published online 31st January, 2019

Key Words:

Amphibian fauna,
Lakes, Ponds.

Copyright © 2019, Mukesh Kumar Napit. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Mukesh Kumar Napit. 2019. "Study of physico-chemical parameters and amphibia fauna (anurans) population of bhopal lake and ponds", *International Journal of Current Research*, 11, (01), 454-456.

ABSTRACT

All the 18 lakes and ponds in Bhopal District, were studied. The pollutants and drastic environmental variation have also adversely effected and changed water qualities i.e. color, hardness, turbidity, alkalinity, PH, COD, BOD and TDS etc. Aquatic life, thus, also is affected. Changes in morphology of amphibian, like- color, pigmentation, length, weight mass, etc. may occur. This can not be ignored that the afore-mention variation may be responsible to develop new varieties or sub species. Unfortunately, negligible work is done in relation to amphibian fauna of the area in recent-past. Though, appreciable limnology work is done, yet the amphibian fauna remained unexplored. The fauna study is of tremendous significance in determining population density and calculating sub specific diversity and conservation of ecosystem in Bhopal District.

INTRODUCTION

Bhopal is known as city of lakes. as it is embedded with 18 different lakes and ponds. These wet lands are of absolute importance as they are the good and useful sources to mankind in different ways. Some are used for irrigation, some for potable water supply, raw water supply, recreation, habitat for different amphibians etc. With rapid urbanization, constant changes in demographic structure especially during second half of last century, all these water bodies have been subjected to great environmental stress. This resulted in deterioration of water quality through inflow of sewage, solid waste dumping, other anthropogenic activities thus affecting the biodiversity (Mishra, 2007) The entire surrounding of the water bodies is covered by deciduous forest. A sparsely bushy Jungle also exists at the basin of he reservoirs. Although, the district is rich in having natural water bodies, like lake, Ponds ,reservoir and rivers. Very scanty work is available on the fresh water, amphibian fauna. These water bodies are main source of water supply, which is utilized for drinking, bathing, washing etc. But now a days, these water bodies are highly polluted due to the Industrial effluents , insecticides, herbicides, weedicides, fungicides and other human activities, Nitrate, Calcium chloride and non soluble Phosphate have increased to alarming level and decomposition of excessive bloom releases the methane and ammonia gases in water.

***Corresponding author: Dr. Mukesh Kumar Napit,**
Department of Zoology, Govt. Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Science and Commerce P.G. College (Purana Benazeer), Bhopal.

Study of biodiversity of amphibian fauna and their identification, is one of the interesting field of biological research, which gives us an idea about the morphological variation and population diversity of fauna in polluted and non polluted site of any particular habitat.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The water samples were collected during July 2011 to June 2012. The Method of water analysis would be adopted as per APHA standard method. Eleven Physico-chemical parameters were analyzed and Amphibian were grouped accordingly. Amphibian, collected seasonally, from all polluted and non polluted selected sites by hand picking or fishing nets and would be preserved in 5-10% formaldehyde in glass or plastic bottle. Authentic keys for identification and classification of amphibian, would be used. The key for identification of amphibian is available in ZSI Jabalpur and Calcutta would be taken. Boulenger; G.A. (1990), the amphibian fauna of British India. Annandale; N. (1918); S.K. Dutta; (1997); etc would be sought for amphibian identification.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present investigation is planned to emphasize of physico-chemical component with fresh water amphibian fauna of Bhopal. Efforts would be made to find out the factors relating with the decline or increase in the biodiversity, for

Table 1. Physical Features (mean value)

S. No	Name of the Water Body	Water temperature (°C)	Colour (Pt. Co. Unit)	Turbidity (FAU)	TDS (mg/l)
1	Upper Lake	20.8	12	7	128
2	Lower Lake	19.8	38	12	139
3	Shahpura Lake	20.6	52	22	156
4	Ayodhya Naqar Pond	21.1	58	21	188.6
5	Char Imil Pond	21.7	54	18	178.6
6	Damkheda Village	20.8	28	12	128.6
7	Hataikheda Reservoir	21.7	26	10	124.6
8	Halati Reservoir	22.7	28	12	112.8
9	Kaliasote	21.3	24	12	144.6
10	Kerwa Reservoir	21.5	12	6	124.6
11	Kolar Reservoir	22.3	8	4	110.6
12	Lendiya Talab	20.8	58	31	168.7
13	Lahorpur Reservoir	22.6	138	36	210.4
14	Munshi Hussain Khan	21.8	32	15	196.5
15	Motia Tank	21.3	42	18	178.9
16	Neelbad Tank	20.6	12	6	132.8
17	Siddiqui Hussain Tank	22.1	27	12	188.5
18	Saranqpani	20.9	64	17	156.8

Table 2. Chemical Features (mean value)

S. No	Name of the Water Body	DO (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	COD (mg/l)	Total Alkalinity (mg/l)	pH	Nitrate (mg/l)	Orthophosphate (mg/l)
1	Upper Lake	8.8	12	42	122	8.2	1.234	0.78
2	Lower Lake	16.4	12	102	132	7.8	2.778	6.45
3	Shahpura Lake	12.4	28	112	148	7.1	5.664	16.56
4	Ayodhya Naqar Pond	10.6	12.8	44	178	8.1	3.1.12	8.89
5	Char Imil Pond	10.2	22.8	78	164	8.4	4.114	7.89
6	Damkheda Village	12.8	12.5	56	168	8.2	2.217	5.45
7	Hataikheda Reservoir	7.6	24	88	122	8.1	0.778	7.12
8	Halati Reservoir	7.2	12	46	112	8.2	0.332	0.78
9	Kaliasote	8.2	10	38	122	7.6	3.212	7.64
10	Kerwa Reservoir	7.4	6	24	102	7.9	0.217	0.32
11	Kolar Reservoir	6.8	4.8	20.4	88	7.6	0.127	0.11
12	Lendiya Talab	6.4	56	178	188	8.5	8.154	8.12
13	Lahorpur Reservoir	6.4	56	144	168	7.1	5.654	6.55
14	Munshi Hussain Khan	8.8	54	178	178	7.2	7.114	5.64
15	Motia Tank	9.6	34	132	176	6.8	8.213	1.8
16	Neelbad Tank	6.8	16.4	64	172	7.4	1.884	4.3
17	Siddiqui Hussain Tank	8.4	38	188	156	6.9	5.563	8.42
18	Saranqpani	8.6	42	82	156	8.9	4.556	7.21

Table 3. Biological Features

S. No	Name of the Water Body	Dominant amphibia fauna (anurans)	AB	Status IUCN-1990	Causes of threatened
1	Upper Lake	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> <i>Rana esculenta</i> <i>E. cyaanophlycties</i> <i>F. limnocharis</i> <i>P. rufescens</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -13)	C Vc Vc Vc C C	LRnt Vu LRnt Vu Vu LRnt	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.
2	Lower Lake	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> <i>Rana esculenta</i> <i>E. cyaanophlycties</i> <i>F. limnocharis</i> <i>P. rufescens</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -08)	C Vc Vc Vc C C	LRnt Vu LRnt Vu Vu LRnt	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.
3	Shahpura Lake	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> <i>Rana esculenta</i> <i>E. cyaanophlycties</i> <i>F. limnocharis</i> <i>P. rufescens</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -06)	C Vc Vc Vc C C	LRnt Vu LRnt Vu Vu LRnt	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.
4	Ayodhya Naqar Pond	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.
5	Char Imil Pond	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.

Continue.....

6	Damkheda Village	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
7	Hataikheda Reservoir	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
8	Halali Reservoir	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
9	Kaliasote	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
10	Kerwa Reservoir	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
11	Kolar Reservoir	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
12	Lendiya Talab	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
13	Lahorpur Reservoir	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
14	Munshi Hussain Khan	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
15	Motia Tank	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
16	Neelbad Tank	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
17	Siddiqui Hussain Tank	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i> (Total No. of reported amphibia species -02)	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd. .
18	Saranqpani	<i>Rana tigrinus</i> <i>Bufo bufo</i>	C Vc	LRnt Vu	F, Cult, Ur, Pl, Hd. F, S, Cult, Ur, Pl, Ind, Hd.

AB- Abundance, C- Common, Vc- Very Common, LRnt- Lower Risk near threatened, LRlc- Lower Risk least concern, Vu- Vulnerable, EN- Endangered, CR- Critically endangered. F- Food, S- Sport, Cult.- Cultivable, Ur- Urbanization, Pl- Pollution, Ind- Industrialization, Hd- Habitat destruction.

morphological variations and populations density, Because of pollution, human invasion and production of selective many species of amphibian has fallen to alarming level, because of this also the biodiversity of this region has become unaffordable. The study will provide information of water pollution and morphological variation with population density of amphibian fauna. The population density of amphibian, may help to know about the species which may be endangered, or at the verge of extinction in the locality. The decline in amphibian population is a major concern throughout the world. The causes of catastrophic decline vary and include diseases, increased exposure to UV-B radiation, impact of urbanization, habitat destruction, pollution and specimen hunting. As amphibian inhabit both terrestrial and aquatic habitats, a change in either or both the ecosystem can lead to a catastrophic effect in amphibian diversity. Thus, the widespread approach of surveys and preparation of checklist should be combined with quantitative estimates so as to devise potential conservation measures. As a result of the extensive survey of the study area since from July 2011 to June 2012. I documented the presence of 13 species of frogs belonging to 15 genera and 5 families Family Ranidae was the most dominant with 61% of the total anuran species. Microhylidae is the next contributing 26%, while Bufonidae, Hylidae and Rahacophoridae, contributed to 9%, 2% and 2% respectively. Though Ranidae contributes only 61% of the total anuran species, its abundance is high.

REFERENCES

- APWA; AWWA AND WPCF 1995. Standard Methods for the examination of water and waste water XIX Edition, Washington DC, USA.
- Annandale; N. 1918. Decline of a tropical mountain amphibian fauna, *Conservation Biology*. 12(1) pp106-117.
- Boulenger, G.A. 1990. Amphibia in India, Record of Amphibia Zoological Survey of India 83(1-2); pp123-127.
- Dutta S.K. 1997. Amphibian of India and Sri Lanka (Checklist and Bibliography) odyssey Publishing House, Bhubaneshwar
- Bharathi, S.G. and Hosmani, S.P. 1980. Limnological studies in ponds and lakes of Dharwar, Comparative phytoplankton ecology of four water bodies . *Phykos*, 19 (1) : 27-43
- Bisaria, R.K. 2009. Sewage Treatment System of Bhopal, M.Sc. Dissertation submitted to Sikkim Manipal University, India. 90, Pp. 2009.
- Kodarkar, M.S. 2009. Bhoj Wetland of Bhopal. In Brain Storming Session on ILBM, Pp. 60-62, 2009.
- Mishra, S.M. 2007. Conservation and Management of Bhoj Wetland of Bhopal, India, In World Lake Vision Action Report (ILEC), Pp. 168-180, 2007.
