



International Journal of Current Research Vol. 6, Issue, 09, pp.8489-8491, September, 2014

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# HEPARIN INDUCED THROMBOCYTOPENIA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN SUDAN: UNFRACTIONATED HEPARIN VERSUS LMW HEPARIN

## <sup>1</sup>Sami S. Hemadi and <sup>2\*</sup> Mahdi H. A. Abdalla

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Alneelain University, Sudan <sup>2</sup>Department of Haematology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Omdurman Ahlia University, Sudan

## ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 Received in revised form 05<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 Accepted 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2014 Published online 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2014

#### Key words:

Heparin induced thrombocytopenia, Pregnant women, Sudan

## **ABSTRACT**

While anticoagulants are useful in many circumstances, their use during pregnancy increases the risk of hemorrhage and other adverse effects, including heparin induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This study aimed to determine the frequency of HIT among pregnant women receiving either unfractionated heparin (UFH) or low molecular weight heparin (L.W.W.H) in Sudan. The study included one hundred sixty five pregnant ladies who were received either UFH or low molecular weight heparin L.W.W.H for different indication, and have a normal platelets count (> 150 X 10<sup>9</sup>/l) before the administration of heparin; who were admitted to Al-Dayat labour hospital, Sudan. Platelets count was performed in day 7 from heparin administration. Thrombocytopenia, with a platelets count < 100 X 10<sup>9</sup>/l, was observed among 10.3% (17/165) of the study group, all of them belong to UFH-related group, thus have HIT (type I or type II). We highlighted a high prevalence of HIT, regardless to the HIT type among the pregnant women who were received UFH in Sudan; therefore, it is important to recommend a routine monitoring of platelet counts and prompt investigation for the HIT antibody whenever there is a suspicion of HIT among such group in Sudan.

Copyright © 2014 Sami S. Hemadi and Mahdi H. A. Abdalla. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### INTRODUCTION

The use of anticoagulants and thrombolytics in pregnancy is an important consideration. Normal pregnancy is associated with a hypercoagulable state which is, at least partly, due to increased serum levels of procoagulants such as fibrinogen and factors II, VII, VIII, X, and XII. In addition, decreased protein S levels and increased resistance to activated protein C is observed in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (Bates et al., 2012). Concomitantly, serum plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and placental PAI-2 increase with pregnancy, which leads to a decreased fibrinolytic state (Bates et al., 2014; Chan et al., 2000). Anticoagulant therapy is indicated in pregnancy for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolic events (VTE), and as thromboprophylaxis for patients with a history of thrombosis or at significant risk of thrombosis (Kupferminc et al., 1999; Robertson et al., 2006; Sarig et al., 2002; Bates et al., 2008). Unfractionated heparin (UFH), previously used as a standard anticoagulant during pregnancy, may cause significant side effects, such as osteoporosis, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), allergy and bleeding complications (Bates et al., 2008; Greer, 2006). Low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH) has replaced UFH as it is considered safer and easier to use.

\*Corresponding author: Mahdi H. A. Abdalla

Department of Haematology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Omdurman Ahlia University, Sudan Neither UFH nor LMWH cross the placenta, and they are not secreted in breast milk (Greer & Nelson-Piercy, 2005). While anticoagulants are useful in many circumstances, their use during pregnancy increases the risk of haemorrhage and other adverse effects, including HIT which is a serious and potentially life-threatening condition (Warkentin, 2003). HIT is defined as a decrease in platelet count during or shortly following exposure to heparin (Warkentin, 2004). It may develop in two distinct forms. HIT type I is a nonimmunologic response to heparin treatment, mediated by a direct interaction between heparin and circulating platelets causing platelet clumping or sequestration, and is characterized by a mild and transient thrombocytopenia. HIT type II is an immune mediated and associated with a risk of thrombosis (Ahmed et al., 2007). It has been proposed that the term "HIT type I" be changed to "non-immune heparin associated thrombocytopenia" and that the term "HIT type II" be changed to "HIT" to avoid confusion between the two syndromes (Rice, 2004). Recent data show that up to 8% of heparinized patients will develop an antibody associated with HIT (Warkentin et al., 1995) and that approximately 1-5% of patients on heparin will progress to develop immune-mediated HIT with thrombocytopenia (Kelton, 2002; Baglin, 1997). At least onethird of them suffering from venous and/or arterial thrombosis (Comunale and van, 2004; Gernsheimer et al., 2013). While LMWH has replaced UFH, as it is considered safer, UFH is still widely been used in Sudan without an obvious monitoring policy for its side effects. This study aimed to determine the

frequency of HIT among pregnant women receiving either UFH or LMWH in Sudan.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective study included one hundred sixty five pregnant ladies who were received heparin for different indication: one hundred and fifteen pregnant ladies were received unfractionated heparin (UFH) (UFH- related group) and fifty pregnant ladies were received low molecular weight heparin (L.W.W.H) (LMWH-related group), and have normal platelets count (> 150 X 10<sup>9</sup>/l) before the administration of heparin; who were admitted to Al-Dayat labour hospital. Sudan. Informed consent was obtained from each subject before enrollment in the study. Five ml of venous blood was collected from each subject in day 7 from heparin administration: 2.5 ml in 3.8% trisodium citrate (9:1 vol/vol), kept on ice until centrifugation at 2500g for 30 minutes at 4°C, plasma samples were immediately frozen and stored at - 80°C for subsequent coagulation analysis; and 2.5 ml in EDTA for platelets count. Laboratory analysis was performed at the Department of Haematology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Alneelain. Prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) were measured using coagulometer (Sysmex CA 50) which rely on scattered light detection method. Platelets cell count was performed by automated cell counter (Sysmex KX-21N). Statistical analysis was performed using statistical package for social science (SPSS) software. Evaluation of patient's data was performed using the t-test. Results with p value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

The median age of pregnant ladies was 30 year, with minimum age of 18 and maximum of 41 years. Table 1 showed the results of platelet count, PT and APTT. Mean platelets counts results were as follows:  $129.4 \pm 25.2 \times 10^9$ /l UFH-related group,  $158.9 \pm 13.3 \times 10^9$ /l LMWH-related group and  $294.0 \pm 76.1 \times 10^9$ /l for pregnant ladies before receiving heparin. 10.3% (17/165) of the study group have a platelets count<  $100 \times 10^9$ /l, all of them from UFH- related group and none of the ladies who were received LMWH has a platelets counts <  $100 \times 10^9$ /l. Mean platelets count was significantly lower among UFH-related group than LMWH- related group (p 0.000). Mean PT and APTT were significantly higher among pregnant ladies before receiving heparin (p 0.000 for each parameter). None of the ladies has PT or APTT shorter than the reference value.

Table 1. Results of the PT, APTT and platelets count

	UFH-related group	LMWH-related group	P value
Number	115	50	
PT mean±SD (seconds)	19.01±3.12	18.03±1.25	0.004
APTT mean±SD (seconds)	61.57±8.65	48.48±3.17	0.000
Platelets count	129.40±25.23	158.96±13.37	0.000

### DISCUSSION

Heparin is widely used as a thromboprophylaxis or as a treatment in many clinical situations during gravidness.

However, it can cause serious adverse effects, including heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). In this study we compare the effect of UFH versus LMWH on the platelets count. The study included 115 pregnant ladies receiving UFH and 50 pregnant ladies receiving LMWH. None of the ladies has PT or APTT shorter than the normal, this result may indicate that, none of the ladies at risk of a thrombotic tendency. Mean platelets count was significantly lower among UFH-related group than LMWH-related Thrombocytopenia with a platelets count  $< 100 \text{ X } 10^9/\text{l}$  was observed among 10.3% (17/165) of the study group; all of them belong to UFH-related group, thus have HIT (type I or type II). Franchini M. reported that HIT type I affects up to 10% of patients receiving heparin (Franchini, 2005). None of the LMWH-related group has a platelets counts  $< 100 \text{ X } 10^9/\text{l}$ , this finding suggest that, pregnant women receiving UFH at a high risk of developing HIT and with high frequency of HIT than those receiving LMWH. We couldn't determine the prevalence of the Immune-related HIT (HIT type II), which needs a confirmation of the presence of HIT- antibodies, for a financial reason. However, we highlighted a high prevalence of HIT, regardless to the HIT type, among the pregnant women who were received UFH in Sudan, therefore, it is important to recommend a routine monitoring of platelet counts and prompt investigation for the HIT antibody whenever there is a suspicion of HIT among such group in Sudan.

# Acknowledgement

Special thanks to the Staff of Haematology Department, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Alneelain University and the staff of Al-Dayat labour hospital.

#### **Authors contributions**

Sami S. Hemadi and Mahdi H.A. Abdalla conceived the idea of the study, collected and analyzed samples and data and wrote the manuscript.

# **REFERENCES**

Ahmed I, Majeed A, Powell R. 2007. Heparin induced thrombocytopenia: diagnosis and management update. *Postgrad Med J.*, 83: 575–582.

Baglin TP. 1997. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia/ thrombosis syndrome (HIT): diagnosis and treatment. Platelets, 8:72-82.

Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S, *et al.* 2012. VTE, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest., 14:e691S-736S.

Bates SM, Greer IA, Pabinger I, Sofaer S, Hirsh J, American College of Chest Physicians. 2008. Venous thromboembolism, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest., 133:844S–86S.

Chan WS, Anand S, Ginsberg JS.2000. Anticoagulation of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Intern Med.*, 160:191-6.

- Comunale ME, van Cott EM: Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 2004, 42:27-43.
- Franchini M. 2005. Heparin induced thrombocytopenia: an update. *Thrombosis Journal*, 3:14–20.
- Gernsheimer T, James AH, Stasi R. 2013. How I treat thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. Blood, 121(1):38-47.
- Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. 2005. Low-molecular-weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. Blood. 106:401–7.
- Greer IA. 2006. Anticoagulants in pregnancy. *Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis*, 21:57–65.
- Kelton JG. 2002. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: an overview. Blood Rev., 16:77-80.
- Kupferminc MJ, Eldor A, Steinman N, et al. 1999. Increased frequency of geneticthrombophilia in women with complications of pregnancy. New England Journal of Medicine, 340:9–13.
- Philipp CS, Faiz AS, Beckman MG, et al. 2014. Differences in thrombotic risk factors in black and white women with adverse pregnancy outcome. *Thromb Res.*, 133:108-11.
- purpura of adults and children: report from an international working group. *Blood*.2009; 113(11):2386-2393.

- Rice L. 2004. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: myths and misconceptions (that will cause trouble for you and your patient). Arch Intern Med., 164:1961-1964.
- Robertson L, Wu O, Langhorne P, et al. 2006. Thrombophilia in pregnancy: a systematic review. British Journal of Haematology, 132:171–96.
- Sarig G, Younis JS, Hoffman R, Lanir N, Blumenfeld Z, Brenner B. 2002. Thrombophiliais common in women with idiopathic pregnancy loss and is associated with late pregnancy wastage. *Fertility and Sterility*, 77:342–7.
- Warkentin TE, Levine MN, Hirsh J, *et al.* 1995. Heparininduced thrombocytopenia in patients treated with low-molecular-weight heparin or unfractionated heparin. *N Engl J Med.*, 332:1330-1335.
- Warkentin TE. 2003. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: pathogenesis and management. Br J Haematol., 121:535-555
- Warkentin TE. 2004. An overview of the heparin-induced thrombocytopenia syndrome. Semin Thromb Hemost., 30:273-283.

\*\*\*\*\*