

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 6, Issue, 11, pp.10228-10231, November, 2014 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE *IN-VITRO* EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT MARKETED FORMULATIONS OF CETIRIZINE HCL TABLETS (10MG) AVAILABLE IN LOCAL MARKET

^{1,*}Swatantra Bahadur Singh, ¹Shweta Singh, ²Alok Tiwari and ³Shiv Shanker Pandey

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, HIPER, Lucknow-226020, Uttar Pradesh, India ²Seemanta Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jharpokharia, Mayurbhanj, Orissa, India ³Institute of Technology and Management, GIDA, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<i>Article History:</i> Received 10 th August, 2014 Received in revised form 23 rd September, 2014 Accepted 08 th October, 2014 Published online 30 th November, 2014	Five Indian brands (coded as A, B, C, D, E) of 10 mg cetirizine hydrochloride (CTZ) tablets were evaluated for various <i>in-vitro</i> parameters, i.e. size and shape, uniformity of weight, hardness, friability, disintegration time and dissolution profile. The hardness of all the brands was found to be in the range of 4.2-4.4 kg, while friability was less than 1 %. The disintegration time of all brands were found to be in the range of 52 sec to 9 min 21 sec. All brands comply with the I.P weight variation tests while brands A, B, C, D and E comply with the I.P dissolution test.
Key words:	additives in the tablet, physical form of the drug used in the tablet and manufacturing process vary from manufacturer to manufacturer, responsible for the variation in the observed dissolution profiles.
Cetirizine hydrochloride (CTZ), Comparative evaluation,	The main purpose of the study was to minimize health risk factors while maximizing the safety of the people of Lucknow to maintain and improve their health. The study is concerned to investigate and

Copyright © 2014 Swatantra Bahadur Singh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Physiochemical property.

Cetirizine hydrochloride (CTZ), chemically [2-[4-[(4chlorophenyl) phenyl methyl] -1-piperazinyl] ethoxy] acetic acid (Fig. 1), belongs to the group of second generations antagonists of H1-receptors, inhibits the allergic reaction mediated by histamine. It is a non-sedative antihistamine, used in the treatment of seasonal rhinitis, hay fever, running nose, control sneezing of allergic origin. The tablet is defined as a compressed solid dosage form containing medicaments with or without occupants. According to the Indian Pharmacopoeia pharmaceutical tablets are solid, flat or biconvex dishes, unit dosage form, prepared by compressing a drug or a mixture of drugs, with or without diluents. They vary in shape and differ greatly in size and weight, depending on amount of medicinal substances and the intended mode of administration. It is the most popular dosage form and 70% of the total medicines are dispensed in the form of tablet. All medicaments are available in the tablet form, except where it is difficult to formulate or administer (The Indian Pharmacopoeia, Government of India, Ministry of health and family welfare, Controller of publication, 2007).





MATERIALS AND METHODS

compare the physicochemical equivalence and efficacy of different brands of tablets containing

Cetirizine prepared by various pharmaceutical industries under different trade names.

Instrumentation

For the analysis of cetirizine hydrochloride (CTZ), content in their dosage form a Lab India UV-3200 double beam spectrophotometer is utilized. Spectrophotometer system was integrated via Labindia model to PIII computer loaded with UV-Vis analyst software for data acquisition and mathematical calculations. Analytical balance, dissolution test apparatus, disintegration test apparatus, sonicator, pH meter and micropipette.

Materials and reagents

Reference cetirizine hydrochloride (CTZ) was a kind gift sample from pharmaceutical industry. Five different brands of

^{*}Corresponding author: Swatantra Bahadur Singh, Department of Pharmaceutics, HIPER, Lucknow-226020, Uttar Pradesh, India.

cetirizine HCl were obtained from different retail pharmacies of Lucknow (India) market. Distilled water was prepared freshly to prepare different dilution.

Spectrophotometric condition

Baseline was adjusted to zero by using blank solvent (buffer solution). Standard and test sample was analyzed (the result is based on three average readings).

Physiochemical parameters

Uniformity of thickness

Thickness of tablet can vary without any change in its weight because of differences in the density of the granulation and the pressure applied to the tablets as well as speed of tablet compression. The thickness variation limits allowed were $\pm 5\%$ of the size of the (Table 1) (Remington: The science and practice of pharmacy. Mack publishing company, 2005)

Length and diameter

Introduced a standard for tablet diameter to reduce patient confusion over generic equipment's. The stated diameter can deviate by +5% up to 12.5 mm and by +3% above 15mm (British Pharmacopoeia, licensing division HMSO, London stationary office, 2010)

Hardness

Hardness of cetirizine HCl tablets was determined by using a Pfizer hardness tester. This tester operates on the same principle as that of a pair of pliers. The force required to break the tablet was measured in kilograms and oral tablets normally have a hardness of 4 to 10 kg/cm^2 . The average hardness of tablets was calculated and reported (Table 1) (Aulton, 2007)

Friability test

Friability of cetirizine HCl tablets was determined by using Roche Friability tester (Veego, VFT-2D), Number of tablets to the combined effects of abrasions and shock by utilizing a plastic chamber that revolves at 25 rpm, for 10 revolutions and the percent friability of each brand of tablets is calculated and reported (Table 1).

Uniformity of weight

20 units selected at random were weighed individually in electronic balance (Essae, DS-852G), the average weight and maximum percentage deviation was calculated (Table 1) (Aulton, 2007)

Disintegration

Disintegration is required to break up tablets, capsules and granules into primary powder particles in order to increase the surface area of the drugs exposed to gastrointestinal fluids. The disintegration test was carried out by using Electro lab (ED2SAPO) disintegrator. A 1000 ml beaker was filled with buffer solution (approx. 900ml), equilibrated to $37\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C temparature. Six tablets from each brand were subjected to the test. The time required for the last tablet to disintegrate was recorded (Ansel *et al.*, 2009).

Drug content

Drug content was calculated as per the procedure described in I.P. The solution was filtered through a 0.45μ m nylon disc filter and was analyzed for drug content by measuring UV absorbance at 230 nm on UV-visible spectrophotometer (Lab India UV-3200). Every unit of tablet should contain the amount of drug substance equivalent to its label amount. For the evaluation of content, assay should be performed. The results of the assay of the chemical content of cetirizine HCl tablets (Table 1) showed that the active content of all the brands was between 90% and 110% of the labeled amount specified for cetirizine HCl.

Table 1. in vitro parameters of various brands of cetirizine HCl tablets

		Brand Name (Manufacturer)				
Parameters	Acceptable limits	Cetcip (A)	Cetri-10 (B)	CTZ (C)	Cetzine(D)	CETZ(E)
(Unit)	(I.P)	(Cipla)	(Ikon)	(Syncom)	(GSK)	(Synthiko)
Size						
(Diameter, mm)		10.17 ± 0.014	10.326±0.015	10.72 ± 0.022	10.164±0.017	10.316±0.005
Size						
(Thickness, mm)		2.656 ± 0.069	2.646 ± 0.021	3.214 ± 0.005	2.850 ± 0.010	2.938 ± 0.008
Uniformity of						
weight (mg, n=20)	1%	0.122 ± 2.78	0.120 ± 2.34	0.157±2.09	0.115 ± 0.970	0.147±1.710
Friability						
(% of core tablet)	Not more than 1%	0.418 ± 0.004	0.421 ± 0.003	0.31 ± 0.002	0.424 ± 0.002	0.685 ± 0.003
Hardness						
$(Kg/cm^2, n=10)$		3.50 ± 0.35	4.20±0.27	3.70±0.27	3.662±0.141	3.383 ± 0.095
Disintegration Time						
(min)	Less than 15 min	4.95 ± 0.40	9.21±1.03	0.52 ± 0.015	4.405±0.280	2.268 ± 0.487
Dissolution test	N.L.T 75%	100.5 ± 2.160	82.45±3.201	78.58 ± 2.848	100.58±1.894	87.89±1.976
	(30 min)					
	N.L.T 95.0% -					
Assay	N.M.T100.5%	99.83±0.43	97.24±2.10	95.30±1.26	98.88±0.81	96.88±2.78



Fig. 2. Price fluctuation among different brands of cetirizine HCl tablets



Fig. 3. Weight difference in different brands of cetirizine HCl tablets



Fig. 4. Mean disintegration time for different brands of Cetirizine HCl tablets



Fig. 5. Mean thickness for different brands of Cetirizine HCl tablets

Table 2. Optical characteristics of cetirizine HCl

Optical Characteristics	Cetirizine hydrochloride		
Wavelength (nm)	230		
Beer Lambert's law limit (µg/ml)	2-20		
Regression equation (y=mx+c)	y = 0.032x + 0.006		
Slop (m)	0.032		
Intercept (c)	0.006		
Correlation coefficient (R ²)	$R^2 = 0.999$		



Fig. 6. Standard calibration curve of cetirizine HCl at 238 nm in 0.1 N HCl solutions



Fig. 7. Percent drug release of different brands of cetirizine HCl tablets in 0.1 N HCl solution

The results indicated that although different manufacturer formulates the different brands by different methods of formulation but all are under the I.P specification (The Indian Pharmacopoeia, Government of India, Ministry of health and family welfare, Controller of publication, 2007).

Dissolution

In vitro dissolution studies of tablet formulations were performed in 900 ml of dissolution medium, 0.1 M hydrochloric acid using an Apparatus No. 1 (I.P), dissolution rate test apparatus (Electro lab, TDT 08L) at a speed of 100 rpm for 45 minutes with the temperature of $37\pm1^{\circ}$ C are used in each test. A 5ml aliquot was withdrawn at different time intervals and filtered using a 0.45µm nylon disc filter; each sample was replaced with 5 ml of fresh dissolution medium. The filtered samples were suitably diluted, if necessary, and assayed by measuring the absorbance at 230 nm for cetirizine HCl. UV detection at 230 nm based on the peak height ratios, Beer's law was obeyed in a concentration range of 2-20 μ g/ml and the regression line equation was derived with a correlation coefficient of 0.999 (Table 1, Fig. 6). Drug not less than 75 per cent of the stated amount of C₂₁H2₅C₁N₂O₃.2HCl (The Indian Pharmacopoeia, Government of India, Ministry of health and family welfare, Controller of publication, 2007; United States Pharmacopeia, United States Pharmacopeia / National Formulary (USP25/NF20, 2002).

RESULTS

The assessment included the evaluation of physical parameters i.e. weight variation, thickness, hardness, friability, disintegration time, as well as dissolution test. All brands showed the general appearance as white color. All brands had capsule shape. All the brands exhibited good hardness strength, required for safe handling and transportation. The hardness was found to be in the range of 3.4-4.2 kg (Table 1). All brands had friability of less than 1%, brand A, B, C, D, and E had friability respectively 0.41%, 0.42%, 0.31%, 0.42, and 0.68. All brands had acceptable limits of friability and hardness, showing good mechanical strengths (Table 1). The content of cetirizine in each tablet brands complies as described in I.P.

DISCUSSION

All the brands of tablet passed the weight variation test as prescribed by I.P. All brands of tablets passed the I.P disintegration time indicating that they would rapidly disintegrate in gastrointestinal tract fluid on oral administration. However, there was large variation in disintegration time from brand to brand. Formulation C showed a minimum disintegration time of only 52 Sec while formulation B showed maximum disintegration time of 9 min and 21 Sec (Table 1). Brands A, B, C, D and E fulfill the I.P dissolution test (Table 1).

Conclusion

Pharmaceutical quality control and quality assurance depend on monitoring the composition and uniformity of the drug substance during processing and in the final product. Compendia tests have traditionally been used to determine identity, strength, quality, and purity. Implementation of these approaches can reduce the time and cost required for manufacturing, while improving quality control.

REFERENCES

- Ansel HC, Popovich N G and Allen LV: Pharmaceutical dosage forms and drug delivery systems. Wolters kluwer/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 9th edition, 2009, 234-237.
- Aulton ME: Pharmaceutics- the design and manufacture of medicine. Churchill Livingstone, third edition; 2007, 404-418.
- British Pharmacopoeia, licensing division HMSO, London stationary office, 6th edition volume-II, 2010, 1512
- Remington: The science and practice of pharmacy. Mack publishing company, 21st edition 2005, 916-918.
- The Indian Pharmacopoeia, Government of India, Ministry of health and family welfare, Controller of publication, New Delhi, Volume 2, 2007, 892-894.
- United States Pharmacopeia, United States Pharmacopeia / National Formulary (USP25/NF20). 2002, Rockville, MD: United States Pharmacopeia Convention Inc.
