



ISSN: 0975-833X

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

### INVITRO AND IN VIVO EVALUATION OF BOTANICALS, BIOAGENTS AND FUNGICIDE AGAINST LEAF SPOT OF SAFFLOWER

\*<sup>1</sup>Amrutha Gayathri, D., <sup>1</sup>Krishna Rao, V. and <sup>1</sup>Rajeswari, B.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article History:

Received 07<sup>th</sup> September, 2013  
Received in revised form  
24<sup>th</sup> October, 2013  
Accepted 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2013  
Published online 26<sup>th</sup> January, 2014

##### Key words:

Botanicals, Bioagents,  
Safflower, Leaf spot/blight.

#### ABSTRACT

The efficacy of two botanicals viz., neem oil and castor oil, one fungicide i.e., carbendazim and three bioagents were tested *in vitro* and *in vivo* against *Alternaria carthami* inciting leaf spot safflower leaf spot/blight. *In vitro* efficacy of botanicals and fungicide was evaluated by poison food technique against *Alternaria carthami*. *In vitro* efficacy of bioagents was evaluated by dual culture technique against *Alternaria carthami*. In *in vitro* evaluation of fungicide and botanicals carbendazim found to be most effective and showed maximum inhibition of mycelial growth (43.33%) followed by neem oil (30.53%). Among the bioagents maximum inhibition of radial growth of the test pathogen was noticed in *P. fluorescens* (87.36 per cent) which was found on par with *T. viride* (86.22 per cent). Mycelial growth of test pathogen was inhibited to an extent of 81.08 per cent in *T. harzianum*. In *in vivo* evaluation, combined seed treatment with of *P. fluorescens* (10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) + carbendazim (2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) + neem oil (10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) was effective in controlling *Alternaria* leaf spot/blight.

Copyright © Amrutha Gayathri, D. et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is one of the important oilseed crops of the world. It is popular not only for seed and oil, but also for its brightly coloured petals, high levels of linoleic acid (75-80%) in oil and amino acids (Nagaraj, 2009). *Alternaria* leaf spot/blight is one of the most serious diseases of safflower reducing yield by 50%. Infected seed also often smaller with reduced oil content. It mostly infects leaves, stems, heads and seeds. Plant extracts are known to possess antifungal properties (Nene and Thapliyal, 1993). The presence of antifungal compounds in higher plants is well recognized and considered valuable for plant disease control. Neem has attracted special interest of scientists due to presence of variety of bioactive compounds. *Trichoderma* sp. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* are commercially applied as biocontrol agents against many fungal pathogens. So keeping in view the present study undertaken to know the efficacy of Two botanicals and three bioagents for the control of *Alternaria carthami* inciting leaf spot safflower leaf spot/blight.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two botanicals viz., neem oil, castor oil one fungicide i.e., carbendazim were evaluated by poisoned food technique with

three replications on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for seven days. The fungal and bacterial antagonists were evaluated against the test pathogen *Alternaria carthami* in laboratory by dual culture technique. Petri dishes (90 mm) containing PDA was inoculated with 5 mm diameter mycelia disc of 7 days old culture of *Alternaria carthami* and fungal/bacterial antagonists at equal distance from periphery. Inoculated plates were at 28 ± 2 °C. Each treatment was replicated four times. After required period of incubation i.e., in the control plate growth reached 90 mm diameter, the radial growth of pathogen was measured. Per cent inhibition over control was assessed.

$$R = \{(C - T)/C\} \times 100$$

Where, R= Per cent inhibition

C = Radial growth of pathogen colony in control

T = Radial growth of pathogen colony in treatments

Under glass house studies the healthy seeds of safflower (cv.Nira) were surface sterilized and artificially inoculated with the test pathogen by rolling the seeds in 10 days old sporulating culture grown on PDA. The inoculated seeds were kept for 8 h in Petri plates having moistened blotter papers. After incubation, inoculated seeds were treated separately by coating with potential botanicals and bioagents by imposing different treatments. Seeds from each treatment were then sown in pots (20 cm diameter) filled with sterilized soil @ five seeds per pot. Observations on pre emergence mortality, post emergence mortality, per cent seedling emergence were recorded after 35 days.

\*Corresponding author: Amrutha Gayathri, D. Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030, Andhra Pradesh, India.

## Treatment Details

Design: CRD

Replications: 4

Treatments: 8

T1- Seed inoculation with test pathogen followed by seed treatment (10 g kg<sup>-1</sup>seed) with potential bioagent

T2- Seed inoculation with test pathogen followed by carbendazim seed treatment @ 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>seed.

T3- Seed inoculation with test pathogen followed by seed treatment with neem oil @ 10ml kg<sup>-1</sup>seed

T4= T1+T2

T5= T2+T3

T6= T1+T3

T7 = T1+T2+T3

T8= Inoculated control

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In *in vitro* evaluation, out of two botanicals tested neem oil was found to be effective in inhibiting the mycelia growth (30.53%).

**Table 4. Effect of botanicals on growth of *A. carthami* *in vitro***

Treatments	* Radial Growth (mm)	Per cent inhibition
Neem oil ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	20.83	30.53 (33.50)
Castor oil ( <i>Ricinus communis</i> )	26.2	12.66 (20.77)
Carbendazim	17	43.33 (41.14)
Control	40	0.00 (0.00)
C.D at 0.05 %		3.696
SE (m)±		1.116

\*Mean of three replications; Figures in parenthesis are angular transformed values

**Table 1 Effect of bioagents on growth of *A. carthami* *in vitro***

Treatments	* Radial Growth (mm)	Per cent inhibition
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	12	86.22 (66.192)
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	15	81.02 (64.20)
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	11.3	87.36 (69.17)
Control	90	0.00 (0.00)
C.D at 0.05 %		1.803
SE (m)±		0.544

\*Mean of three replications; Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

**Table 2 Effect of seed treatment of botanicals/bioagents/fungicide on Pre emergence mortality of safflower cv. Nira against *A. carthami* under glass house conditions**

S.No	Treatment	*Pre emergence mortality (%)	Per cent decrease over control
1	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	13.27 (21.35)	75.62
2	Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	20.20 (26.69)	62.89
3	Neem oil (10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	26.833 (31.17)	50.71
4	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	5.08 (12.97)	90.66
5	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Neem oil (10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	6.19 (14.40)	88.62
6	Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed)+ Neem oil(10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	13.01 (21.12)	76.10
7	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )+ Neem oil (10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	1.20 (6.29)	97.97
8	Control	54.44 (47.54)	-
	C.D at 0.05 %	2.552	
	SE (m)±	0.844	

\*Mean of three replications Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values.

**Table 3 Effect of seed treatment of botanicals/bioagents/fungicide on Post emergence mortality of safflower cv. Nira against *A. carthami* under glass house conditions**

S.No	Treatment	*Post emergence mortality(%)	Per cent decrease over control
1	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	6.637 (14.91)	88.5
2	Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	13.51 (21.55)	76.59
3	Neem oil (10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	19.00 (25.82)	67.08
4	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	6.67 (14.95)	88.44
5	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Neem oil (10 ml kg-1 seed )	6.88 (15.19)	88.20
6	Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed)+ Neem oil(10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	13.30 (21.38)	96.96
7	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed ) + Carbendazim (2 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )+ Neem oil (10 ml kg <sup>-1</sup> seed )	0.753 (4.69)	99.43
8	Control	57.73 (49.44)	-
	C.D at 0.05 %	2.287	
	SE (m)±	0.756	

\*Mean of three replications Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

Similar results were obtained by Ghewande (1989) and Usman *et al.* (1991) who reported the Antifungal properties of neem based products. All the antagonists viz., *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* inhibited mycelia growth of the pathogen. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* inhibited maximum mycelia growth with a mean inhibition of (87.36 per cent) which was found on par with *T. viride* (86.22 per cent). Mycelial growth of test pathogen was inhibited to an extent of 81.08 per cent in *T. harzianum*. Similar results were obtained by Amaresh (2000) who reported that among fungi *T.viride* and *T.harzianum* overgrew and inhibited the growth of *A.helianthi*, while the bacterium *P.fluorescens* produced maximum inhibition zone. all the seed treatments were significantly superior in reducing the pre emergence and post emergence mortality seed treatment with combined treatment of with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) + carbendazim (2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) + neem oil (10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) resulted in high per cent reduction of pre and post emergence mortality (97.97 per cent and 99.43 per cent, respectively) followed by *P.fluorescens* (10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) + carbendazim (2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) when compared to control (54.44 per cent). The beneficial effect of seed treatments with bioagents and fungicides in minimising the pre and post emergence mortality is in accordance with Govindappa *et al.* (2011) in safflower

## REFERENCES

- Amaresh, Y.S. 2000. Epidemiology and management of *Alternaria* leaf blight and rust of Sunflower. *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.
- Ghewande, M.P. 1989. Biological control of late leaf spot (*Phaeoisariopsis personatum*) of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 53: 189-190.
- Govindappa, M, *et al.* 2011. *In vitro* and *in vivo* responses of different treating agents against wilt disease of safflower. *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*. 2: 16-25.
- Nagaraj, G. 2009. Oil seeds – properties, processing, products and procedures New India publications, New Delhi, 43-46.
- Nene and Thaplial. 1993. *Fungicides in Plant Disease Control*, Oxford and IBH Publishing House, New Delhi.163.
- Usman, M.R., *et al.* 1991. Plant disease management on groundnut with naturally occurring plant products. *The Madras Agricultural Journal*. 78: 152-153.

\*\*\*\*\*