



RESEARCH ARTICLE

rwμ-COMPACTNESS AND rwμ-LINDELÖFNESS IN GENERALIZED TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

*¹Uma Maheswari, O., ²Vadivel, A. and ³Sivakumar, D.

¹Department of Mathematics, J. J, College of Arts and Science, Pudukkottai-622 422

²Department of Mathematics (FEAT), Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu-608 002

³Department of Mathematics (DDE), Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 18th October, 2016

Received in revised form

23rd November, 2016

Accepted 09th December, 2016

Published online 31st January, 2017

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the concepts of rwμ -Lindelöf spaces in generalized topological spaces and study some of their properties and characterizations.

Key words:

rwμ-open sets, rwμ-compact spaces,
rwμ-Lindelöf spaces, AMS (2000)
subject classification: 54A05, 54D10,
54D15, 54D30.

Copyright©2017, Uma Maheswari et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Uma Maheswari, O., Vadivel, A. and Sivakumar, D. 2017. "rwμ-compactness and rwμ-lindelöfness in generalized topological spaces", International Journal of Current Research, 9, (01), 44616-44618.

INTRODUCTION

In (A. Császár, 1997; A. Császár, 2000; A. Császár, 2002; A. Császár, 2003; A. Császár, 2004; A. Császár, 2005; A. Császár, 2006; A. Császár, 2007; A. Császár, 2008; A. Császár, 2008; A. Császár, 2008; A. Császár, 2009), A. Császár introduced the concepts of generalized neighborhood systems and generalized topological spaces. He also introduced the concepts of continuous functions and associated interior and closure operators on generalized neighborhood systems and generalized topological spaces. In particular, he investigated characterizations for the generalized continuous function by using a closure operator defined on generalized neighborhood systems. In (Al-Omari and Noiri, 2012), A. Al-Omari and Noiri introduced the notions of contra-(μ, λ) - continuity, contra-(α, λ)-continuity, contra-(σ, λ) - continuity, contra-(π, λ) - continuity and contra-(β, λ) - continuity on generalized topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce the concepts of rwμ-Lindelöf spaces in generalized topological spaces and study some of their properties and characterizations. We recall some basic definitions and notations. Let X be a set and denote exp X the power set of X. A subset μ of exp X is said to be a generalized topology

(A. Császár, 2002) (briefly GT) on X if φ ∈ μ and the arbitrary union of elements of μ belongs to μ. A set X with a GT μ on it is called a generalized topological space and is denoted by (X, μ). Let μ be a GT on X, the elements of μ are called μ-open sets and the complements of μ-open sets are called μ-closed sets. If A ⊆ X, then i_μ(A) denotes the union of all μ-open sets contained in A and c_μ(A) is the intersection of all μ-closed sets containing A (A. Császár, 2005). According to (A. Császár, 2007), for A ⊆ X and x ∈ X, we have x ∈ c_μ(A) if and only if x ∈ M ∈ μ implies M ∩ A ≠ ∅.

Definition 1.1. A subset A of a space (X, μ) is called

- (i) regular open (Stone, 1937) if A = int(cl(A)).
- (ii) regular closed (Stone, 1937) if A = cl(int(A)).
- (iii) regular semiopen (Cameron, 1978) if there is a regular open set U such that U ⊆ A ⊆ cl(U).

Definition 1.2. A subset A of a space (X, μ) is said to be rwμ-closed (Vadivel, 2010) if c_μ(A) ⊆ U whenever A ⊆ U and U is regular semiopen in X. The complement of the above mentioned closed sets are respective open sets.

Definition 1.3. (A. Császár, 2002) Let (X, μ) and (Y, μ') be generalized topological spaces. A function f : (X, μ) → (Y, μ') is said to be (μ, μ') - continuous if M' ∈ μ' implies f⁻¹(M') ∈ μ.

*Corresponding author: Uma Maheswari, O.

Department of Mathematics, J. J, College of Arts and Science, Pudukkottai-622 422

Definition 1.4. (Al-Omari and Noiri, 2012) Let (X, μ) and (Y, μ') be generalized topological spaces. A function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$ is said to be contra- (μ, μ') -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $rw\mu$ -closed in X for each μ' -open set V of Y .

Definition 1.5. (Jyothis Thomas and Sunil Jacob John, 2012) Let (X, μ) be a GTS. A collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is said to be a μ -cover of X if the union of the elements of \mathcal{U} is equal to X .

Definition 1.6. (Jyothis Thomas and Sunil Jacob John, 2012) Let (X, μ) be a GTS. A μ -sub cover of a μ -cover \mathcal{U} is a sub collection G of \mathcal{U} which itself is a μ -cover.

Definition 1.7. (Uma Maheswari et al., 2015) Let (X, μ) be a GTS. A μ -cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is said to be a $rw\mu$ -open cover if the elements of \mathcal{U} are $rw\mu$ -open subsets of X .

Definition 1.8. (Uma Maheswari et al., 2015) Let (X, μ) be a GTS. Then X is said to be $rw\mu$ -compact space iff each $rw\mu$ -open cover of X has a finite $rw\mu$ -open subcover.

2 $rw\mu$ -Compact and $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of Spaces

Definition 2.1. A generalized topological space (X, μ) is called $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of if every $rw\mu$ -open cover of X has a countable subcover.

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and thus omitted.

Theorem 2.1. If X is finite (resp. countable) then (X, μ) is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) for any generalized topology μ on X .

Definition 2.2. A subset B of a generalized topological space (X, μ) is said to be $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X if, for every collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $rw\mu$ -open subsets of X such that $B \subseteq \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Notice that if (X, μ) is a generalized topological space and $A \subseteq X$ then $\mu_A = \{U \cap A : U \in \mu\}$ is a generalized topology on A . (A, μ_A) is called a generalized subspace of (X, μ) .

Definition 2.3. A subset B of a generalized topological space (X, μ) is said to be $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) if B is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) as a generalized subspace of X . The proof of the following theorem is straightforward, and thus omitted.

Theorem 2.2. The finite (resp. countable) union of subsets of X which are $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X .

Theorem 2.3. Let A and B be two subsets of a generalized topological space X with $A \subseteq B$. If A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X , then A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to B .

Proof. We will show the case when A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , the other case is similar. Suppose that $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A by $rw\mu$ -open sets in B . Then $\bigcup U_\alpha = S_\alpha \cap B$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, where S_α is $rw\mu$ -open in X for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus $\tilde{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A by $rw\mu$ -open sets in X , but A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , so there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of

Δ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$, and thus $A \subseteq \bigcup\{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Hence A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to B .

Corollary 2.1. Let A be a subset of a generalized topological space X . If A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X , then A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of).

Theorem 2.4. Let A and B be two subsets of a generalized topological space X with $A \subseteq B$. Then A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X if and only if A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to B .

Proof. Necessity: Follows from Theorem 2.3. Sufficiency: We will show the case when A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to B , the other case is similar. Suppose that $\tilde{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A by $rw\mu$ -open sets in X . Then $\tilde{U} = \{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A . Since S_α is $rw\mu$ -open in X for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, it follows that $S_\alpha \cap B$ is $rw\mu$ -open in B for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, but A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to B , so there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup\{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \bigcup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Hence A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X .

Corollary 2.2. A subset A of a generalized topological space X is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) if and only if A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X .

Theorem 2.5. If a subset A of X is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X and B is a $rw\mu$ -closed subset of X , then $A \cap B$ is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X . In particular, a $rw\mu$ -closed subset of a $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) space X is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of) relative to X .

Proof. We will show the case when A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , the other case is similar. Let $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a cover of $A \cap B$ by $rw\mu$ -open subsets of X . Then $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U} \cap (X - B)$ is a cover of A by $rw\mu$ -open sets in X , but A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , so there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq (\bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}) \cup (X - B)$. Thus $A \cap B \subseteq \bigcup\{U_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Hence $A \cap B$ is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X .

Definition 2.4. A subset F of a space X is called $rw\mu$ - F_σ -set if $F = \bigcup\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ where F_i is a $rw\mu$ -closed subset of X for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Theorem 2.6. A $rw\mu$ - F_σ -subset F of a $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of space X is $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of relative to X .

Proof. Let $F = \bigcup\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ where F_i is a $rw\mu$ -closed subset of X for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let \tilde{U} be a cover of F by $rw\mu$ -open sets in X , then \tilde{U} is a cover of F_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ by $rw\mu$ -open subsets of X . Since F_i is $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of relative to X , \tilde{U} has a countable subcover $\tilde{U}_i = \{\tilde{U}_{i1}, \tilde{U}_{i2}, \dots\}$ for F_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Now $\tilde{U} = \bigcup\{\tilde{U}_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{U_{in} : i, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a countable subcover of \tilde{U} for F . So F is $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of relative to X .

Theorem 2.7. Every generalized subspace of a generalized topological space (X, μ) is $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of relative to X if and only if every $rw\mu$ -open generalized subspace of X is $rw\mu$ -Lindel'of relative to X .

Proof. \Rightarrow Is clear.

Let Y be a generalized subspace of X and let $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a cover of Y by $rw\mu$ -open sets in X . Now, let $V = \cup \tilde{U}$ then V is a $rw\mu$ -open subset of X , so it is $rw\mu$ -Lindelöf relative to X . But \tilde{U} is a cover of V so \tilde{U} has a countable subcover \tilde{U}' for V . Then $V \subseteq \cup \tilde{U}'$ and therefore $Y \subseteq V \subseteq \cup \tilde{U}'$. So \tilde{U}' is a countable subcover of \tilde{U} for Y . Then Y is $rw\mu$ -Lindelöf relative to X . The proofs of the following two theorems are straightforward, and thus omitted.

Theorem 2.8. A generalized topological space (X, μ) is $rw\mu$ -compact if and only if every $rw\mu$ -closed family of subsets of X with empty intersection, has a finite subfamily with empty intersection.

Theorem 2.9. A generalized topological space (X, μ) is $rw\mu$ -compact if and only if every $rw\mu$ -closed family of subsets of X having the finite intersection property, has a nonempty intersection.

Theorem 2.10. Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$ be a (μ, μ') -continuous function. Then, if A is $rw\mu$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu$ -Lindelöf) relative to X , then $f(A)$ is $rw\mu'$ -compact (resp. $rw\mu'$ -Lindelöf) relative to Y .

Proof. We will show the case when A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , the other case is similar. Suppose that $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of $f(A)$ by $rw\mu'$ -open subsets of Y . Then $\tilde{U}' = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A by $rw\mu$ -open subsets of X . Since A is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq \cup \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Thus $f(A) \subseteq \cup \{f(f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$. Hence $f(A)$ is $rw\mu'$ -compact relative to Y .

Theorem 2.11. For a function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) f is contra- (μ, μ') -continuous.
- (b) For every μ' -closed subset F of Y , $f^{-1}(F)$ is $rw\mu$ -open in X .
- (c) For each $x \in X$ and each μ' -closed subset F of Y with $f(x) \in F$, there exists a $rw\mu$ -open subset U of X with $x \in U$ such that $f(U) \subseteq F$.

Proof. The implications (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) and (b) \Rightarrow (c) are obvious. (c) \Rightarrow (b). Let F be any μ' -closed subset of Y . If $x \in f^{-1}(F)$ then $f(x) \in F$ and there exists a $rw\mu$ -open subset U_x of X with $x \in U_x$ such that $f(U_x) \subseteq F$. Therefore, we obtain $f^{-1}(F) = \cup \{U_x : x \in f^{-1}(F)\}$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F)$ is $rw\mu$ -open.

Definition 2.5. A generalized topological space (X, μ) is said to be strongly $rw\mu$ -closed if every $rw\mu$ -closed cover of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem 2.12. If $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$ is contra- (μ, μ') -continuous and K is $rw\mu$ -compact relative to X , then $f(K)$ is strongly $rw\mu'$ -closed in Y .

Proof. Let $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of $f(K)$ by $rw\mu'$ -closed subsets of $f(K)$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there exists a $rw\mu$ -closed set

F_α of Y such that $C_\alpha = F_\alpha \cap f(K)$. For each $x \in K$, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $f(x) \in F_\alpha$. Now by Theorem, there exists a $rw\mu$ -open set U_x of X with $x \in U_x$ such that $f(U_x) \subseteq F_\alpha$. Since the family $\{U_x : x \in K\}$ is a $rw\mu$ -open cover of K by sets $rw\mu$ -open in X , there exists a finite subset K_0 of K such that $K \subseteq \cup \{U_x : x \in K_0\}$. Therefore we obtain $f(K) \subseteq \cup \{f(U_x) : x \in K_0\}$ which is a subset of $\cup \{F_\alpha : x \in K_0\}$. Thus $f(K) \subseteq \cup \{C_\alpha : x \in K_0\}$ and hence $f(K)$ is strongly $rw\mu'$ -closed.

Corollary 2.3. If $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$ is contra- (μ, μ') -continuous surjection and X is $rw\mu$ -compact, then Y is strongly $rw\mu'$ -closed.

REFERENCES

1. A. Császár, 1997. Generalized open sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 75, 65-87.

2. A. Császár, 2000. γ -compact spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 87, 99-107.

3. A. Császár, 2002. Generalized topology, generalized continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96, 351-357.

4. A. Császár, 2003. γ -connected sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 101, 273-279.

5. A. Császár, 2004. Separation axioms for generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 104, 63-69.

6. A. Császár, 2005. Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 106, 53-66.

7. A. Császár, 2006. Further remarks on the formula for γ -interior, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 113, 325-332.

8. A. Császár, 2007. Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 115, 29-36.

9. A. Császár, 2008. Enlargements and generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 120, 351-354.

10. A. Császár, 2008. Remarks on quasi topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 119, 197-200.

11. A. Császár, 2008. δ and θ - modifications of generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 120, 275-279.

12. A. Császár, 2009. Products of generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 123, 127-132.

13. A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, 2012. A unified theory of contra (μ, λ) -continuous functions in generalized topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 135, 31-41

14. Cameron, D. E. 1978. Properties of s -closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72, 581-586.

15. Jyothis Thomas and Sunil Jacob John, 2012. μ -compactness in generalized topological spaces, *JAST*, Vol. 3, No.3, 18-22.

16. Stone, M. H. 1937. Application of the theory of boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 41, 374-481.

17. Uma Maheswari, O., A. Vadivel and D. Sivakumar, 2015. Regular weakly μ -compactness in topological spaces, submitted, Ph.D., Thesis, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University

18. Vadivel, A. 2010. Regular weakly μ -closed sets, almost weakly μ -regular, almost weakly μ -normal and mildly weakly μ -normal in topological spaces, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, Vol. 1, No.2, 37-44.