



RESEARCH ARTICLE

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FERTILITY HORMONES AND GLYCATED HAEMOGLOBIN IN TYPE 2 DIABETIC SUBJECTS

*¹Oluboyo A. O., ²Njoku, J. G. and ¹Oluboyo, B. O.

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

²Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, College of Health Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 10th May, 2017
Received in revised form
19th June, 2017
Accepted 07th July, 2017
Published online 31st August, 2017

Key words:

Type 2 DM,
HBA1c,
Fertility hormones.

ABSTRACT

Diabetes Mellitus is a metabolic disorder and may result into hormonal imbalance which may lead to infertility. In the recent time, female infertility has become one of the chronic effects of Diabetes Mellitus. The study aimed at evaluating the fertility hormones and glycated haemoglobin in type 2 diabetic females. A total of one hundred and eighty subjects (90 Type 2 diabetic females and 90 apparently healthy females) within age 20 -50 years were investigated. Blood specimen was collected from the subjects for the determination of fasting plasma glucose, glycated haemoglobin (HBA1c) and fertility hormones on the 3rd day of the menstrual cycle. Fasting plasma glucose was determined spectrophotometrically using glucose oxidase method; HBA1c was determined using automated boronate affinity assay method while the fertility hormones were determined using Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method. The results showed significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in the levels of FSH, LH, prolactin in the type 2 DM subjects compared with the control subjects while HBA1c and Estradiol showed significant increase ($p < 0.05$). There were significant negative relationships between HBA1c and fertility hormones in type 2 DM. The findings suggest that uncontrolled and persistent hyperglycaemia can predispose Type 2 diabetic females to fertility hormone imbalance.

Copyright©2017, Oluboyo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Oluboyo A.O., Njoku J.G. and Oluboyo, B.O., 2017. "Relationship between fertility hormones and glycated haemoglobin in type 2 diabetic subjects", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (08), 55865-55867.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder and may result into hormonal imbalance which may lead to infertility. The prevalence rate of diabetes in Africa has been shown to be approximately 14.7 million adults in 2010, with a regional prevalence of 3.8%. Nigeria has the largest number in Africa which is approximately 1.7 million and the figure is expected to increase to 4.8 million by the year 2030 (WHO, 2010). Infertility risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus include hormonal imbalance, menstrual abnormalities, sexual dysfunctions, and shortening of reproductive period (Anna, 2009). Type 2 DM can be regarded as a disorder of both insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency (Kumar, 2005 and Wendy, 2007). This class of diabetes mellitus has been shown to comprise approximately 90% of all cases of DM (Carl, 2010). Female infertility has become one of the chronic effects of DM in the recent time.

*Corresponding author: Oluboyo A.O.

Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

It has been shown that there is an association between type 2 diabetes and fertility, alterations in the length of the menstrual cycle and the menopause age of onset. Furthermore, insulin resistance, obesity and diabetes mellitus has been shown to strongly correlate with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) (Van Der et al., 2007). This association has not been investigated in the study area. Therefore, the study evaluated whether there is significant relationship between the levels of Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH), Luteinizing Hormone (LH), Estradiol (E2), Prolactin, Glycated Haemoglobin (HBA1c) and Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) levels on day 3 (follicular phase) of the menstrual cycle since fertility in female is dependent on normal phases of the menstrual cycle. The study also looked at some vital signs such as body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure since they have been shown to be risk factors in DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study involved 180 subjects; Type 2 diabetic female subjects and 90 apparently healthy non-diabetic females which

served as control. The subjects were randomly recruited for the study. The subjects were on metformin (500mg-2.5g daily) and glibenclamide (5mg-20mg daily). Anthropometric measurements were taken using standard instrument. Height and weight were taken by one observer. Body mass index (BMI in Kg/m²) was calculated by dividing the weight in kilogram by the square of height. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures (mmHg) were also taken while subjects were at rest. Blood specimen was collected from the subjects for the determination of fasting plasma glucose concentration which was analyzed using glucose oxidase method (Trinder, 1969).

RESULTS

The report on Table 1 shows significant increase in the levels of BMI, SBP and DBP between the diabetic and control subjects. There was no significant difference in the ages of the diabetic subjects compared with the control subjects. Table 2 showed significant decrease in the mean levels of FSH (miu/ml), LH (miu/ml) and prolactin (ng/ml) but a significant increase in Estradiol (pg/ml) in type 2 DM compared with control subjects. In table 3, there was a significant negative correlation between BMI and FSH, LH, prolactin and estradiol levels ($P < 0.05$) in diabetic subjects.

Table 1. Anthropometric data in Type 2 DM and Control subjects

Parameters	Diabetic subjects	Control	t value	P value
Age (years)	38.92±5.54	38.30±6.05	0.7190	0.4730
BMI (kg/m ²)	33.41±4.87	26.53±1.89	12.4920	0.0000*
SBP (mmHg)	129.81±10.95	123.87±5.51	4.6010	0.0000*
DBP (mmHg)	82.44±7.65	78.72±5.00	3.8620	0.0000*

n=90

* significant at $P < 0.05$

Table 2. FPG, HBA1c and fertility hormones in Type 2 DM and control subjects

Parameter	Diabetic subjects	Control	t value	P value
FPG (mmol/l)	7.50±1.83	4.58±0.42	14.7620	0.0000*
HBA1c (%)	7.44±0.48	4.80±0.62	31.8350	0.0000*
FSH (miu/ml)	4.63±1.62	10.40±2.19	-20.1120	0.0000*
LH (miu/ml)	7.53±1.87	17.08±3.77	-21.5060	0.0000*
Prolactin (ng/ml)	8.12±1.35	13.53±3.98	-12.2100	0.0000*
Estradiol (pg/ml)	41.73±6.88	38.43±4.85	3.7180	0.0000*

n=90

* significant at $P < 0.05$

Table 3. Relationship between fertility hormones and anthropometric data in Type 2 DM and control subjects

Parameters		Age (years)		BMI (kg/m ²)		SBP (mmHg)		DBP (mmHg)	
		R	P	R	P	R	P	R	P
		FSH	Diabetic	-0.16	0.12	-0.71	0.00*	-0.19	0.07
	Control	-0.02	0.88	0.06	0.60	-0.02	0.87	0.01	0.95
LH	Diabetic	-0.15	0.15	-0.59	0.00*	-0.05	0.65	-0.08	0.45
	Control	0.03	0.75	0.09	0.38	-0.02	0.89	0.05	0.67
Prolactin	Diabetic	0.11	0.29	-0.28	0.01*	-0.10	0.34	-0.06	0.59
	Control	-0.17	0.11	-0.12	0.25	0.12	0.88	0.07	0.51
Estradiol	Diabetic	-0.21	0.05*	-0.42	0.00*	0.06	0.59	0.13	0.22
	Control	-0.02	0.82	-0.11	0.32	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.14

n=90

* significant at $P < 0.05$

Table 4. Relationship between Fertility hormones, FPG and HBA1c in Type 2 DM and control subjects

Parameters		FPG (mmol/l)		HBA1c (%)	
		R	P	R	P
		FSH	Diabetic	-0.74	0.00*
	Control	0.02	0.88	-0.00	0.98
LH	Diabetic	-0.58	0.00*	-0.43	0.00*
	Control	0.01	0.91	0.01	0.94
Prolactin	Diabetic	-0.28	0.01*	-0.10	0.34
	Control	-0.00	0.97	-0.06	0.55
Estradiol	Diabetic	-0.35	0.00*	-0.26	0.02*
	Control	-0.19	0.08	0.03	0.79

n=90

* significant at $P < 0.05$

The hormones were analyzed using ELISA technique [follicle stimulating hormone (Marshall, 1975), luteinizing hormone (Uotilam 1981), estradiol (Tsang, 1989) were analyzed using ELISA technique while glycated haemoglobin was analyzed using boronate affinity assay (Nathan, 1984). Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0 was used for the analysis of the results obtained. Data collected was subjected to statistical analysis using student t-test and correlation (r). Values were deemed significant at $p < 0.05$.

In table 4; FSH, LH, prolactin and Estradiol had significant negative correlations with FPG while FSH, LH and estradiol have significant negative correlation with HBA1c ($P < 0.05$) respectively in the diabetic subjects while the controls had none.

DISCUSSION

Evaluation of fertility hormones (FSH, LH, prolactin, estradiol), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycated

haemoglobin (HBA1c) were carried out in type 2 DM and control female subjects on day 3 of their menstrual cycle. Increased levels of fasting plasma glucose and glycated haemoglobin were observed in the type 2 DM subjects compared with control. Both FPG and HBA1c can significantly affect the female fertility hormone balance. Body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were also monitored in the subjects. In this study, BMI and blood pressure in Type 2 DM subjects were significantly increased compared with that of the control subjects. This is in line with a work which showed that BMI was significantly higher in women with type 2 DM than in controls (Mazzilli, 2015). The reason for the increase may be because increased BMI and blood pressure have been shown to be risk factors for the development and complications of many diseases including diabetic mellitus. The levels of FSH, LH and prolactin were significantly decreased in DM subjects compared with controls. This agreed with an earlier study carried out on evaluation of ovarian reserve function based on hormonal parameters in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (Isik, 2012). Several authors have investigated sexual dysfunction in diabetic females and concluded that type 2 DM negatively affects female sexuality (Erol, 2002; Olarinoye, 2008; Ogbera, 2009). In these diabetic subjects, there were significant negative correlations (inverse relationship) between the levels of FPG and FSH, HBA1c and FSH, BMI and FSH which are in line with earlier works (Anna, 2009; Isik, 2012; Jensen, 1999; Norman, 2001; Codner, 2012). Also, there were significant negative correlations between the levels of FPG and LH, HBA1c and LH, BMI and LH. Furthermore, there were significant negative correlations between the levels of FPG and prolactin, BMI and prolactin whereas there was no significant correlation between the levels of HBA1c and prolactin, SBP and prolactin, DBP and prolactin, age and prolactin. This finding also support the study which stated that there is an association between type 2 diabetes and alterations in the length of reproductive age (Van Der, 2007). There were significant correlations between the levels of FPG and estradiol, HBA1c and estradiol, BMI and estradiol, age and estradiol in diabetic subjects. The findings of significant correlations as observed in this study are also in line with other works (Anna, 2009; Isik, 2012). The negative correlations (relationship) as observed in this study means that as the FPG and HBA1c are increasing, the fertility hormones are affected and as a result there is decrease in the secretion of the hormones. However, there was no significant correlation between age and the fertility hormones in both the diabetic subjects and controls except estradiol which showed negative correlation with age in diabetic subject.

Conclusion

In this study, it was observed that uncontrolled and elevated fasting blood glucose can significantly affect the female fertility hormone balance as evidenced in the decreased levels of FSH, LH and prolactin whereas there were significant increases in the levels of HBA1c and estradiol. Thus, significant negative correlation (inverse relationship) exists between HBA1c and fertility hormones in type 2 DM under study.

REFERENCES

Anna L. and Daniel S. 2009. *Women's Health*. 5 (6): 701-707.

- Carl A., Edward R. and David A. 2010. *Female Reproductive Biology* In Tietz Fundamentals of Clinical chemistry. (6th ed.) Elsevier, a division Reed Elsevier India Private limited, New Delhi. Pp 786-801.
- Codner, E., Merino, P.M., Tena-Sempere, M. 2012. Female reproduction and type 1 diabetes: from mechanisms to clinical findings. *Hum Reprod Update*, 18:568-85.
- Erol, B., Tefekli, A., Ozbey, I., Salman, F., Dincag, N., Kadioglu, A. 2002. Sexual dysfunction type II diabetic females: A comparative study. *J Sex Marital Ther.*, 28 (1):55-62.
- Isik Serhat, Hatice Nursun Ozcan, Ufuk Ozuguz, Yasemin Ates, Tutuncu, Dilek Berker, Ayse Gul Alimli, Gulhan Akpaba, Mehmet Karademir and Serdar Gular. 2012. Evaluation of Ovarian reserve based on hormonal parameters in women with Type 2 Diabetes mellitus. *The Journal of Clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 97(1):261-269
- Jensen T., Scheike T., Keiding N., Schaumburg I. and Gradjean P. 1999. Fecundability in relation to body mass and menstrual cycle patterns. *Epidemiology.*, 10,422-428.
- Kumar, V., Fausto, N., Abbas, A., Cotran, R. Robbins, S. 2005. In: Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease. (7th Edition). Philadelphia, Pa : Saunders. Pp 1194-1195.
- Marshal J. 1975. *Clinic in Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 4: 545.
- Mazzilli, R., Imbrogno, N., Elia, J., Delfino, M., Bitterman, O., Napoli, A. and Mazzilli, F. 2015. Sexual dysfunction in diabetic women: prevalence and differences in type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 8: 97-101.
- Nathan, D., Singer D., Hurxthal K and Goodson J. The Clinical Information Value of the Glycosylated Hemoglobin Assay. *New English Journal of Medicine*. 1984; 310: 341-346.
- Norman, R., Masters, L., Milner, C., Wang, J. and Davies, M. 2001. Relative risk of conversion from normoglycemia to impaired glucose tolerance or non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Human Reproduction.*, 16, 1995-1998.
- Ogbera, A.O., Chinenye, S., Akinlade, A., Eregie, A., Awobusuyi, J. 2009. Frequency and correlates of sexual dysfunction in women with diabetes mellitus. *J Sex Med*. 6(12):3401-3406.
- Olarinoye, J., Olarinoye, A. 2008. Determinants of sexual function among women with type 2 diabetes in a Nigerian population. *J Sex Med.*, 5(4):878-886.
- Trinder, P. 1969. Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen acceptor. *Ann Clin Biochem.*, 6: 24-7.
- Tsang, B., Armstrong, D. and Whitefield, J. 1980. Steroid biosynthesis by isolated human ovarian follicular cells in vitro. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 51: 1407-1411.
- Uotila, M., Ruoslahti, E. and Engvall, E. 1981. *Journal of Immunology Methods*. 42: 11-15.
- Van Der, S., Steures, P. and Eijkemans, M. 2007. Obesity affects spontaneous pregnancy chances in sub fertile ovulatory women. *Human Reproduction*. 23, 324-328.
- Wendy, A. and Vicki, F. 2007. Diabetes and other carbohydrate disorders in clinical chemistry: a laboratory perspective. Pp.147 -170.
- World Health Organization. 2010. Prevention of diabetes mellitus. Report of WHO study on Global Diabetes Statistics., Series No. 90-1205.