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ABSTRACT

Cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma of uterus is an unusual variant of uterine leiomyoma that presents with an alarming gross appearance. We report a case of cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma in a 36 year old, 35 weeks pregnant lady who presented with lower abdominal pain. On caesarian section, two fungating polypoidal masses (mistaken for placental tissue), arising from the anterior and anterolateral surfaces of uterus, were excised. Macroscopy revealed multiple bulbous congested nodules connected by fibrous tissue. Microscopy showed benign smooth muscle cells arranged as micronodes with prominent perinodular hydropic degeneration and rich vasculature. There was no cellular atypia, increased mitosis or necrosis. It is important to recognize this rare variant of leiomyoma to prevent overtreatment.

INTRODUCTION

Leiomyomas of the uterus are the most common benign smooth muscle tumours that exhibit a wide variety of variants. One rare variant of uterine leiomyoma which is histologically benign and grossly resembles ‘placental cotyledons’ is called cotyledonoid leiomyoma.(Roth et al.,1996) An accurate diagnosis of cotyldenoid leiomyoma is difficult before surgery due to its exophytic growth with resemblance to placental tissue or uterine sarcoma. (Aggarwal et al., 2011; Cheuk et al., 2002; Rocha et al., 2018) Majority of the patients are diagnosed during surgery, many requiring frozen section examination. (Blake et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2002) This report describes a case of cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma in a pregnant lady along with its gross and microscopic findings.

CASE REPORT

A 36 year old lady who was 35 weeks and 5 days pregnant- gravid 5, parity 2, live births 2, abortions 2, presented with complaints of lower abdominal pain. The patient was on regular antenatal checkups. She had previous two caesarian sections. All her routine investigations showed no abnormalities. The patient was taken up for caesarian section. A preterm baby was delivered. The placenta and membranes were retrieved completely. Peroperatively the surgeon noticed two fungating polypoidal masses arising from the anterior surface of body of uterus towards the fundal aspect measuring 6 X 5 cm and the other in the anterolateral surface near the round ligament measuring 3 X 3 cm. Both masses (mistaken for placental tissue) were excised. The specimen received for histopathological examination consisted of multiple pieces of bulbous nodules that were congested, rubbery and solid, aggregate measuring 7 X 4 X 0.6cm. Nodules were connected by thin strands of fibrous tissue. Microscopically, the tumour consisted of many micronodes of benign smooth muscle cells with prominent perinodular hydropic degeneration. The bland smooth muscle cells within the nodules were arranged in interlacing fascicles with no cellular atypia, increase in mitosis or necrosis. The intervening stroma was edematous with rich vasculature. The patient was discharged from the hospital without complications 5 days following surgery. Her postoperative period was uneventful. There is no evidence of recurrence for the past two years of follow up.
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DISCUSSION

This unusual variant of leiomyoma is also known as ‘Sternberg tumour’ after Dr William H Sternberg who first studied this tumour as ‘a red seaweed lesion’ (Sternberg et al., 1979). This tumor was first described and reported by Roth et al. in 1996. This rare type of leiomyoma with dissecting growth within myometrium and extraterine extension was introduced to the WHO classification of Tumours of the Female Reproductive Organs in 2003 (Tavassoli and Devilee, 2003). Less than 50 cases of cotyledonoid leiomyomas are reported in the English literature and our case is unique as it was incidentally detected during caesarian section. These tumours are commonly seen in the reproductive age group (Roth et al., 1996). The tumour arises in the lateral aspect of subserosal uterine myometrium and extends into the broad ligament and pelvic cavity. This tumour has two growth patterns: an exophytic component with spongy bulbous protuberances, congested over uterine surface resembling placenta and an intramural component with an irregular dissecting pattern of growth between the fascicles of myometrium (Weissferdt et al., 2007). Roth et al described a case of cotyledonoid leiomyoma without intrauterine component and they described it to be different from cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma (Roth et al., 2000). Kim et al warrants that it is mandatory to combine the two terms under a single lesion named as cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma (Kim et al., 2002). Another similar tumour showing features of cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma with intravenous luminal growth is termed as cotyledonoid intravenous hydropic leiomyomatosis (Jordan et al., 2002; Shelekhova et al., 2007). The alarming gross appearance is often mistaken for placental tissue or malignant uterine lesion, which may result in inappropriate treatment. For patients in the reproductive age group who may want to preserve their fertility, myomectomy is the treatment of choice (Saeki et al., 2015; Buckshee et al., 2017). There is a report of myomectomy done in a 14 weeks pregnant lady and pregnancy continued to term (Mathew et al., 2007). In post menopausal women, total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salphingo-oopherectomy is the best choice. (Xu et al., 2016) Histologically these tumours are bland looking leiomyomas with no evidence of atypia or increase in mitosis. Areas of edema and perinodular hydropic degeneration are noted in these tumours. This tumour is also highly vascularised. It has a benign course. Immunohistochemistry and ultrastructural studies are not useful in diagnosis of this
tumour. (Kim et al., 2002; Menolascino-Brrata et al., 1999) But these techniques will confirm the smooth muscle origin of the tumour. Recurrences are rare with only one documented case following conservative treatment which must have been regrowth from incomplete excision of the tumour. (Smith et al., 2012; Roth et al., 2013)

Conclusion

This case represents an extremely rare variant of leiomyoma with an aggressive gross appearance and a benign nature. Hence awareness of this entity amongst pathologists and surgeons is of paramount importance to avoid overtreatment.
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