

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 17, Issue, 03, pp.32201-32203, March, 2025 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.48300.03.2025 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

# **REVIEW ARTICLE**

### **GROWTH RESPONSE OF GOAT (CAPRA HIRCUS) FED WITH LEGUMINOUS- TREE LEAVES**

Menor, S.M. G., Nayga, J.N., Balbin, A.J.M\* and Marcos, M.J.L.

Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Isabela State University, Echague 3309, Isabela, Philippines

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2024 Received in revised form 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 Accepted 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2025 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

#### Key words:

Goat, Forage Ration, Leguminous Trees.

\*Corresponding author: Balbin, A.J.M The growth response of goats fed with fresh leguminous tree leaves was studied in a 30-day feeding trial. Twelve mature goats were distributed equally in four treatments:  $T_1 - 100\%$  *Brachiaria mutica*+ 200g concentrate mix,  $T_2 - 60\%$  *B. mutica*+ 40% *Leucaena leucocephala* leaves + 200g concentrate mix,  $T_3 - 60\%$  *B. mutica*+ 40% *Gliricidia sepium* leaves + 200g concentrate mix, and  $T_4 - 60\%$  *B. mutica*+ 40% *Indigofera sp.* leaves + 200g concentrate mix. The study was laid-out in Completely Randomized Design and the data was analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). At the end of the feeding trial, the final weight of the animals showed a significant difference (p<0.05) among treatments, in which animals fed with  $T_2$  gained the highest at 26.67 kg; however no significant differences were noted gain in weight (4.33 kg to 5.33 kg) and computed FCR (6.19-6.94) and FCE (12.52-20.08). It is therefore concluded that the experimental animals best responded to Treatment 2 or the ration of combined *B. mutica* and *L. leucocephala*.

*Copyright*©2025, *Menor et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Citation: Menor, S.M. G., Nayga, J.N., Balbin, A.J.M and Marcos, M.J.L.* 2025. "Growth response of goat (Capra hircus) fed with leguminous- tree leaves". *International Journal of Current Research, 17, (03), 32201-32203.* 

# **INTRODUCTION**

Goat raising is one of the important components of farming activity in the developing countries (Mazinani and Rude, 2020). The animal is considered as source of emergency cash and secondary income of families living in the rural areas (Monteiro et al., 2017). Although considered as rural-based livestock production, there are gaps in the management practices that often lead to low productivity. Among the identified problems include poor feeding management, uncontrolled breeding, and absence of diseases control and prevention and treatment program. These problems are associated with low input of poor-quality pastures that contribute to inadequate feeding and nutrition. Tethering is common in areas where land and forage production are limiting factors. Improving feeding and nutrition, and maximizing the use of the available feed resources should be the main target to consider when aiming to enhance goat productivity (Kumar et.al., 2014). The incorporation of leguminous tree leaves into the forage ration provided to goat has been practiced in goat producing countries (Adegun and Aye, 2013). Among the abundant leguminous trees in the Philippines are Leucaena leucocephala, Gliricidia sepium and Indigofera. L. leucocephala is considered as highly nutritious forage. When fed as a supplement to livestock, it can increase animal productivity that will result to a much higher meat and milk yield (Anis et al., 2020). It can also lower the amount of methane produced by the animal per kilogram of meat and milk output. It is an essential foliage crop particularly on

resource-limited farms in tropical countries, that is rich in protein- for ruminants (Mangesho*et al.*, 2017). Moreover, *Indigofera sp.* contains a relatively high crude protein. The utilization of *Indigofera* as a feed supplement or as a sole forage have been shown to give a good growth rate for goats. Lastly, *Gliricidia sepium* is known to contain high nutritional value such as crude protein and amino acids especially valine, glutamine, leucine, glycine and lysine as well as neutral dietary fiber (Smith and Staines, 2021). A feeding trial was conducted to determine the response of goat when fed with these leguminous trees.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at the Production Farm of Cagayan Valley Small Ruminant Research Center, Isabela State University, Echague, Isabela, Philippines. A 30-day feeding trial was conducted to evaluate the response of 12 grower goats fed with the following grass-legume ration:

Treatment 1- pure grass *Brachiaria mutica* Treatment 2- 60% *B. mutica* + 40% *L. leucocephala* leaves Treatment 3- 60% *B. mutica* + 40% *G. sepium* leaves Treatment 4- 60% *B. mutica* + 40% *Indigofera sp.* leaves

The animals were raised in an individual cage. The forage ration of 5 kgs was supplied to the animals daily. Water was

also provided to the animals. The initial, weekly and final weight of the animals, and feed consumption data were recorded. Using the data, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and feed conversion efficiency (FCE) were computed. The experiment was laid-out in Complete Randomized Design (CRD) and the data was analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

## RESULTS

Initial, weekly and final weight of the animals: The initial weight of the experimental animals does not vary significantly among treatments. The weight of the animals' ranges from 15.00 kg to 21.33 kg. After 1 week of feeding trial, a significant difference among treatments was recorded. The heaviest weight of the experimental animals was recorded from T<sub>2</sub> with an average of 23.33 kilograms and the least were recorded from  $T_4$  with an average of 16.33 kilograms. Likewise on the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of the study, a significant difference among treatments was also observed. The heaviest weight of the experimental animals was recorded from T<sub>2</sub> with an average of 24.50 kilograms and the least was recorded from T<sub>3</sub> with an average of 17.33 kilograms. However, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of the study, the body weight of the animals varies insignificant among the treatment mean, which ranges from 18.67 kg to 24.67 kg. On the final body weight of the goat, the record shows that there is a significant difference among treatments. The heaviest weight of the experimental animal was recorded from T<sub>2</sub> with an average of 26.67 kilograms and the least was recorded from T<sub>3</sub> with an average of 19.33 kilograms.

**Gain in Weight.** Table 2 shows the average gain in weight of the experimental animals fed with fresh leguminous tree leaves after 30 days of feeding trial. The gain in weight of experimental animals do not have significant differences. The animal gained weight from 4.33 kg ( $T_3$ ) to 5.33 kilograms ( $T_2$  and  $T_4$ ).

**Feed Conversion Ratio and Feed Conversion Efficiency.** Table 3 also shows that there were no significant differences in terms of the computed FCR of the experimental animal ranges from 6.16 to 6.94. Likewise, the computed FCE of the goats fed with fresh leguminous tree leaves does not reveal significant differences. The computed FCE of the experimental animals which ranges from 12.52 to 20.08.

## DISCUSSION

Among the treatments studied, animal fed with Leucaena leucocephala obtained the industry standard weight at 25 kgs. The utilization of Leucaena leucocephala has long been recommended as an alternative source or cheaper substitute for conventional protein feed supplements which are often expensive or unavailable. The data revealed that the chemical composition of Leucaena is superior than other leguminous feeds, primarily due to 27.5% crude protein content and lower neutral detergent fiber (NDF) at 24.4%. Furthermore, it is also known as a good source of carotene and vitamins. On the average, a goat can obtain an additional 115 g of weight daily or at least 3.4 kg/month. Optimizing forage consumption and overall goat performance and health requires balancing nutritional demands, palatability, and availability of highquality forages. Because of the minimal expected growth, the use of high-quality forage and supplementation of concentrate is recommended. In this study, with the supplementation of different legumes and with concentrate supplementation, the animals were able to reach its potential growth. Technically, goat production heavily relies on forage, thus, provision of high-quality forage is important to maintain productivity among animals (Gurung, 2020). Animals that have lower FCR are regarded the best performing since they effectively convert feed to meat. For goat and sheep fed with high concentrate feed, the FCR can reach 4.5; while for animals fed with good quality forage, it can reach up to 5.5 (Tarigan et al., 2018).

TREATMENTS	Initial (kg)	Weekly Body Weights (kg)			
IKEAIMENIS		1 <sup>st</sup> Week	2 <sup>nd</sup> Week	3 <sup>rd</sup> Week	4 <sup>th</sup> Week
T <sub>1</sub> - pure grass Brachiaria mutica	19.50	20.83 <sup>ab</sup>	21.67 ab	23.33	<u>24.00<sup>ab</sup></u>
$T_2$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% L. leucocephala	21.33	23.33 <sup>a</sup>	24.50 <sup>a</sup>	24.67	<u>26.67</u> <sup>a</sup>
$T_3$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% G. sepium	15.00	16.50 <sup>b</sup>	17.33 <sup>b</sup>	18.67	<u>19.33</u> <sup>b</sup>
$T_4$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% Indigofera sp.	15.33	16.33 <sup>b</sup>	18.33 <sup>b</sup>	19.83	20.67 <sup>b</sup>

 Table 1. Initial and Weekly Body Weights of Experimental Goats (kg)

ns= not significant\*=significant at 5% level note: Means with the same letter are not significantly different using LSD.

Table 2. Gain	Weight of the E	Experimental Goat (kg)
---------------	-----------------	------------------------

TREATMENTS	Gain Weight (kg)
T <sub>1</sub> - pure grass <i>Brachiaria mutica</i>	4.50
$T_2$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% L. leucocephala	5.33
$T_{3}$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% G. sepium	4.33
T <sub>4</sub> - $60\%$ B. mutica + $40\%$ Indigofera sp.	5.33

#### Table 3. Feed Conversion Ratio and Feed Conversion Efficiency

TREATMENTS	FCR	FCE
T <sub>1</sub> - pure grass <i>Brachiaria mutica</i>	<u>6.94</u>	12.52
$T_2$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% L. leucocephala	<u>6.84</u>	13.42
$T_{3}$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% G. sepium	<u>6.16</u>	16.25
$T_4$ - 60% B. mutica + 40% Indigofera sp.	<u>6.49</u>	20.08

Although the computed FCR is not in accordance with industry standard, the study was able to present that with inclusion of high-quality forages such as grasses and legumes, the performance of the animals will improve. In general, highquality forages are highly palatable, therefore, the higher the intake or consumption, the higher growth performance is expected.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the College of Agriculture- Isabela State University for allowing this study to be conducted.

## REFERENCES

- Adegun MK and PA Aye. (2013). Growth performance and economic analysis of West African Dwarf Rams fed *Moringa oleifera* and cotton seed cake as protein supplements to *Panicum maximum*. Am J Food Nutr. 3:58– 63.
- Anis, S.D., C.L. Kaunang, M.M. Telleng and A. Rumambi. (2020). Improving diets of fattening goats with leaves of fast-growing leguminous tress. Livestock Research for Rural Development.
- Gurung, N. (2020). Nutrition al Requirements of Different Classes of Meat Goats, Professional Agricultural Workers Journal, Vol. 6, No. 3

\*\*\*\*\*\*

- Kumar, A., B. Roy, G.P. Lakhaniand A. Jain. (2014). Evaluation of dried bread waste as feedstuff for growing crossbred pigs. Vet. World, 7 (9): 698-701
- Mangesho, W.E., L.R.Kurwijila and D.D. Shirima.(2017). Leguminous fodder trees as protein source and carbon sink in smallholder dairy production systems in Muheza District, Tanga Region, Tanzania
- Mazinani, M. and B. Rude. (2020). Population, world production and quality of sheep and goat products. *Am. J. Anim. Vet. Sci 2020*, 15, 291-299
- Monteiro, A., J.M. Costa and M.J. Lima. (2017). Goat system productions: Advantages and disadvantages to the animal, environment and farmer. In Goat Science: IntechOpen: London, UK; pp.351-366
- Smith, O.B. and M. Staines. (2021). The feeding value of *Gliricidia sepium*: a review. World Review of Animal Production.
- Tarigan, A, S.P. Ginting, I.I. Arief, D.A. Astutiand L. Abdullah. (2018). Body Weight Gain, Nutrients Degradability and Fermentation Rumen Characteristics of Boerka Goat Supplemented Green Concentrate