Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 17, Issue, 04, pp.32527-325234, April, 2025
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.48794.04.2025

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

ISSN: 0975-833X

REVIEW ARTICLE

UNDERSTANDING GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT APPROACHES: A REVIEW

Shashi Singh[1],* and Ashwajeet Chaudhary[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Geography, University of Allahabad, India
[2]Professor, Department of Geography, University of Allahabad, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Received 20th January, 2025
Received in revised form
19th February, 2025
Accepted 26th March, 2025
Published online 26h April, 2025

Key words:

Geo-environmental Changes, Land use Change, Water Quality Depletion, air Pollution, Environmental Vulnerability, Climate Change, Sustainable Management, Urbanization, Biodiversity loss, Environmental policy.

Corresponding author:
Shashi Singh

ABSTRACT

Geo-environment refers to the combined natural and physical features of the Earth's surface, including geological, geographical, and environmental elements. It encompasses the interactions between landforms, soil, rocks, water bodies, climate, vegetation, and human activities. The study of the geo-environment helps in understanding how natural processes and human actions affect the Earth's surface and ecosystems, which is essential for sustainable land use, resource management, and environmental conservation. Geo-environmental changes, driven by both natural processes and human activities, significantly impact ecosystems, human health, and economic stability. Rapid urbanization, deforestation, water pollution, and air quality deterioration contribute to environmental vulnerabilities, necessitating effective management strategies. This review synthesizes existing research on land use and land cover (LULC) changes, water and air quality depletion, and environmental risks. Case studies highlight the consequences of unchecked environmental changes, while policy interventions, technological solutions, and community-based initiatives are explored as mitigation measures. The findings underscore the urgency of integrated and sustainable approaches to environmental management, ensuring resilience against climate change and anthropogenic pressures.

Citation: Shashi Singh and Ashwajeet Chaudhary 2025. *"Understanding geo-environmental changes and effective management approaches: a review". International Journal of Current Research, 17, (04), 32527-325234.*

INTRODUCTION

Geo-environmental changes encompass alterations in the Earth's physical environment, including land use, water quality, air quality, and overall environmental vulnerability. These changes are driven by both natural processes, such as climate variability, and human activities, including urbanization and resource depletion. Understanding and managing these changes are crucial for achieving sustainable development and preserving the planet's ecosystems, as they affect biodiversity, human health, and economic stability. According to recent reports, urbanization is expected to increase, with 68% of the world's population living in urban areas by 2050 (United Nations, 2018). This rapid urban growth contributes to land use changes, pollution, and increased resource consumption, placing significant pressure on environmental systems. Climate change, with its associated variability and extreme events, further complicates these issues, with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2019) reporting that human activities have caused approximately 1.0°C of global warming above pre-industrial levels, with a likely range of 0.8°C to 1.2°C. This warming exacerbates environmental vulnerability, leading to more frequent droughts, floods, and heatwaves. Water quality depletion is another pressing concern, with the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 2016) noting that over 80% of wastewater is discharged untreated into the environment in many regions, affecting freshwater resources and aquatic ecosystems. Air quality remains a major issue, as the World Health Organization (WHO, 2018) estimates that air pollution is responsible for approximately 7 million premature deaths annually, with the majority occurring in low- and middle-income countries. These statistics underscore the urgency of addressing geo-environmental changes to mitigate their impacts on human health and the environment. Recent events further illustrate the gravity of these changes. The Amazon rainforest, a critical carbon sink and biodiversity hotspot, is experiencing accelerated deforestation, threatening global climate stability and ecosystem services. Between 2000 and 2012, global forest loss was approximately 2.3 million square kilometers, with significant portions from tropical rainforests like the Amazon (Hansen *et al*., 2013). In urban areas, Delhi, India, frequently ranks among the most polluted cities, with particulate matter (PM2.5) levels often surpassing 300 µg/m³ in 2022, linked to numerous health issues (CPCB, 2022). The

Flint water crisis in Michigan, USA, demonstrated the severe consequences of water quality depletion, where lead contamination in the water supply affected thousands of residents, leading to long-term health issues (Hanna-Attisha *et al*., 2016). Small island states, such as the Maldives, with an average ground elevation of just 1.5 meters above sea level, are particularly vulnerable to environmental changes, facing threats from sea-level rise and extreme weather events (IPCC, 2014).

These geo-environmental changes have profound impacts across various dimensions:

- **Biodiversity Loss**: Land use changes contribute to a 68% decline in global wildlife populations between 1970 and 2016, driven by habitat loss and fragmentation (WWF, 2020). Water quality depletion disrupts aquatic ecosystems, leading to algal blooms, oxygen depletion, and loss of sensitive species (Dudgeon *et al*., 2006). Air pollution, through the deposition of nitrogen and sulfur compounds, alters soil chemistry and affects plant growth, impacting terrestrial ecosystems (Bobbink *et al*., 2010).
- **Human Health**: Poor air quality is directly linked to respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, with exposure to PM2.5 associated with increased mortality rates, especially in urban areas (Cohen *et al*., 2017). Water quality depletion can lead to waterborne diseases such as cholera and typhoid fever, particularly in regions with inadequate sanitation (Pruss-Ustun *et al*., 2014). Environmental vulnerability, especially to climate change, exacerbates health risks through increased exposure to extreme weather events, vector-borne diseases, and food and water insecurity (WHO, 2014).
- **Economic Costs**: The economic impact of geo-environmental changes is substantial. Land degradation reduces agricultural productivity, leading to decreased food security and increased poverty, with Nkonya *et al*. (2016) estimating that land degradation costs Africa alone $68 billion annually in lost productivity. Water scarcity and pollution disrupt industrial processes and reduce tourism, affecting local economies. The IPCC (2014) projects that the global cost of climate change could reach 2% of GDP per year by 2100 if no action is taken, highlighting the economic burden of environmental vulnerability.

Given these impacts, there is an urgent need for comprehensive research and effective management strategies. This review paper aims to provide a detailed examination of geo-environmental changes, focusing on land use and land cover (LULC) changes, water quality depletion, air quality issues, and environmental vulnerability. By synthesizing recent research, evaluating management strategies, and identifying gaps, this paper seeks to contribute to the development of sustainable solutions that address the complex interactions between human activities and the environment.

The objectives of this review are

- To analyze and understand the key drivers and trends of geo-environmental changes.
- To assess the environmental, infrastructural, and socio-economic impacts of these changes.
- To examine existing management approaches and propose effective, sustainable strategies for mitigating geo-environmental challenges.

By understanding these dynamics, this paper seeks to contribute to the preservation of Ayodhya's legacy while ensuring its resilience against environmental challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Land Use and Land Cover (LULC) Changes: LULC changes refer to modifications in the Earth's surface due to human activities such as deforestation, urbanization, and agricultural expansion. These changes have significant impacts on biodiversity, climate regulation, and ecosystem services. Hansen *et al*. (2013) reported that global forest loss between 2000 and 2012 was approximately 2.3 million square kilometers, primarily driven by commodity production and shifting agriculture (Curtis *et al*., 2018). Urbanization is another major driver, with Seto *et al*. (2012) estimating a 40% increase in urban land cover by 2030, leading to habitat fragmentation and loss of biodiversity (Fahrig, 2017). Remote sensing studies, such as those by Song *et al*. (2018), highlight the rapid pace of LULC changes in developing countries, where urban expansion often occurs at the expense of agricultural land and natural habitats.

Water Quality Depletion: Water quality depletion is characterized by the deterioration of freshwater resources due to pollution and overuse. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 2016) notes that over 80% of wastewater is discharged untreated into the environment in many regions, leading to significant water quality issues. Industrial discharges, agricultural runoff, and inadequate wastewater treatment are primary causes, with consequences for aquatic ecosystems and human health. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2019) reports that 2.2 billion people lack access to safely managed drinking water services, highlighting the global scale of the problem. Climate change exacerbates water quality issues through increased frequency of extreme weather events and changes in precipitation patterns, as noted by the IPCC (2019).

Air Quality Depletion: Air quality is a critical aspect of environmental health, with poor air quality posing significant risks to human health and ecosystems. The WHO (2018) estimates that air pollution is responsible for approximately 7 million premature deaths annually, with the majority occurring in low- and middle-income countries. Primary pollutants include particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and ozone (O3), sourced from fossil fuel combustion, industrial processes, and biomass burning (World Bank, 2016). Efforts to improve air quality include regulatory measures and technological advancements, such as stricter emission standards and clean energy promotion, but challenges remain, particularly in rapidly urbanizing regions (Guttikunda & Goel, 2013).

Environmental Vulnerability: Environmental vulnerability refers to the susceptibility of ecosystems and human societies to natural or man-made hazards. The IPCC (2014) identifies that certain regions, such as small island states and coastal areas, are particularly vulnerable due to exposure to climate-related hazards and limited adaptive capacity. Beyond climate change, vulnerability encompasses pollution, resource depletion, and land degradation, requiring integrated assessments (Turner *et al*., 2003). Studies like Adger (2006) emphasize the role of socio-economic factors, such as poverty and governance, in determining vulnerability levels, with developing countries often facing higher risks.

Table 01. Summary of Geo-Environmental Changes and Management Strategies

Category	Key Changes	Management Strategies
LULC Changes	Deforestation, urbanization, habitat fragmentation	Implementing zoning regulations, enforcing conservation policies, promoting sustainable land-use practices
Water Quality Depletion	Pollution, overuse, climate-related impacts	Strengthening wastewater treatment infrastructure, enforcing industrial discharge regulations, promoting water conservation
Air Quality Issues	High levels of PM, NOx, SO_2, ozone pollution	Implementing stricter emission standards, transitioning to clean energy sources, promoting air pollution control technologies
Environmental Vulnerability	Climate hazards, resource depletion, pollution	Developing climate adaptation strategies, conducting integrated environmental assessments, promoting disaster risk reduction policies

Source: Prepared by Author

Soil and Geological Changes: Soil and geological changes, such as erosion and sedimentation along the Sarayu River, are less documented for Ayodhya specifically. Regional studies suggest that urban development can exacerbate such issues (Bhattacharyya *et al*., 2015). Reports of environmental concerns in nearby areas indicate potential parallels for Ayodhya (Mukherjee and Prokritibadi, 2019).

Impacts of Geo-environmental Changes

Impacts on Biodiversity: LULC changes, particularly deforestation and habitat fragmentation, are major drivers of biodiversity loss. Pimm *et al*. (2014) estimate that current extinction rates are 100 to 1,000 times higher than natural background rates, largely due to habitat loss. Water quality depletion disrupts aquatic ecosystems, leading to algal blooms, oxygen depletion, and loss of sensitive species (Dudgeon *et al*., 2006).

Air pollution, through the deposition of nitrogen and sulfur compounds, alters soil chemistry and affects plant growth, impacting terrestrial ecosystems (Bobbink *et al*., 2010). These changes reduce ecosystem resilience and the services they provide, such as pollination and carbon sequestration.

Impacts on Human Health: Poor air quality is directly linked to respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, with particulate matter being particularly harmful (Cohen *et al*., 2017). The WHO (2018) reports that exposure to PM2.5 is associated with increased mortality rates, especially in urban areas. Water quality depletion can lead to waterborne diseases such as cholera and typhoid fever, particularly in regions with inadequate sanitation (Pruss-Ustun *et al*., 2014). Environmental vulnerability, especially to climate change, exacerbates health risks through increased exposure to extreme weather events, vector-borne diseases, and food and water insecurity (WHO, 2014).

Impacts on Economy and Society: Geo-environmental changes have substantial economic impacts. Land degradation reduces agricultural productivity, leading to decreased food security and increased poverty, with Nkonya *et al*. (2016) estimating that land degradation costs Africa alone $68 billion annually in lost productivity. Water scarcity and pollution disrupt industrial processes and reduce tourism, affecting local economies. The IPCC (2014) projects that the global cost of climate change could reach 2% of GDP per year by 2100 if no action is taken, highlighting the economic burden of environmental vulnerability.

Climate Change Impacts: Climate change impacts are another significant concern. While specific studies on Ayodhya are scarce, broader research on climate change in India indicates that urban areas are particularly vulnerable to rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and increased frequency of extreme weather events (Singh *et al*., 2023). A study on climate change impacts on women in Ayodhya and Rajasthan highlights local observations of temperature rises and changes in monsoon patterns, emphasizing the city's vulnerability (Kumar *et al*., 2023). General projections for India suggest increased heatwaves and flood damages, applicable to Ayodhya (India - G20 Climate Risk Atlas).

Management Strategies

Policy Interventions

- **Land Use Planning**: Implementing zoning regulations and conservation policies to protect sensitive ecosystems and manage urban growth, as seen in Portland, Oregon's urban growth boundary (City of Portland, 2020).
- **Water Management**: Enforcing regulations to control pollution, promoting water conservation, and investing in wastewater treatment infrastructure, with examples from Singapore's NEWater program (PUB, 2021).
- **Air Quality Regulation**: Setting emission standards for industries and vehicles, and promoting clean energy sources, as implemented in the European Union's Air Quality Directive (European Commission, 2019).
- **Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation**: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions through the Paris Agreement and implementing adaptation strategies, such as flood defenses in the Netherlands (Deltares, 2020).

Technological Solutions

- **Remote Sensing and GIS**: Using these tools for monitoring LULC changes, water quality, and air pollution, with applications in global deforestation tracking (NASA, 2023).
- **Water Treatment Technologies**: Advanced methods like reverse osmosis for removing pollutants, widely used in desalination plants (IDA, 2022).
- **Clean Energy Technologies**: Solar, wind, and other renewables to reduce air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, with significant growth in China and India (IRENA, 2023).
- **Sustainable Agriculture**: Practices such as precision farming and agroforestry to minimize environmental impacts, as adopted in Brazil's Cerrado region (FAO, 2021).

Community-Based Initiatives

- **Education and Awareness**: Raising public awareness about environmental issues to foster behavioral changes, with programs like the U.S. EPA's environmental education initiatives (EPA, 2023).

- **Participatory Decision-Making**: Involving local communities in environmental management decisions, as seen in participatory watershed management in Kenya (UNDP, 2020).
- **Volunteer Monitoring**: Engaging citizens in data collection and monitoring activities, such as the Community Collaborative Rain, Hail & Snow Network (CoCoRaHS, 2023).

Model and Methods

- **Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) Model:** Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) leverage natural ecosystems to tackle geo-environmental challenges such as flooding, heat stress, and biodiversity loss, offering a sustainable and cost-effective approach. This model includes interventions like green roofs, urban forests, wetland restoration, and permeable pavements, which work together to enhance resilience while improving urban aesthetics and ecological health. For instance, Copenhagen has successfully implemented NBS through rain gardens and green spaces to manage stormwater, significantly reducing flood risk and enhancing biodiversity in the face of increasing rainfall and sea-level rise (Frantzeskaki *et al*., 2019). By mimicking natural processes, NBS provides a versatile framework that can be adapted to various urban contexts, making it a cornerstone of modern environmental management.
- **Water-Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) Framework:** The Water-Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) framework integrates water management into urban planning to address issues like water scarcity and flooding, promoting a sustainable urban water cycle. It employs techniques such as rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, and bio-retention systems to reduce reliance on traditional water supplies and mitigate flood impacts. Melbourne exemplifies this approach, having adopted WSUD during prolonged droughts by incorporating stormwater capture and green infrastructure into its urban fabric, ensuring water security while enhancing livability (Wong *et al*., 2019). WSUD's strength lies in its ability to transform cities into resilient, water-efficient environments, making it a vital strategy for regions facing climate-driven water challenges.
- **Adaptive Urban Planning Model:** Adaptive Urban Planning focuses on flexible, forward-thinking strategies to prepare cities for geo-environmental changes like sea-level rise and extreme weather events, emphasizing resilience over rigidity. This model uses risk assessments, scenario planning, and adaptive infrastructure—such as floating buildings—to ensure cities can evolve with changing conditions. Rotterdam showcases this approach with its innovative use of floating homes and water plazas, designed to accommodate rising water levels while maintaining urban functionality (Boelens *et al*., 2020). By prioritizing adaptability, this model enables cities to anticipate and respond to uncertain environmental futures, offering a proactive rather than reactive management solution.
- **Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) Approach:** Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) provides a comprehensive method for managing coastal areas affected by subsidence, erosion, and flooding, blending engineering and ecological solutions. This approach combines hard infrastructure like sea walls with soft measures such as mangrove restoration, while fostering collaboration among stakeholders to balance development and conservation. Jakarta applies ICZM through its National Capital Integrated Coastal Development project, using sea walls and canal systems to combat severe subsidence and flooding caused by groundwater extraction and sea-level rise (Abidin *et al*., 2020). ICZM's holistic nature makes it particularly effective for coastal cities navigating complex geo-environmental pressures.
- **Ecosystem-Based Adaptation (EbA) Method:** Ecosystem-Based Adaptation (EbA) harnesses biodiversity and ecosystem services to reduce vulnerability to geo-environmental hazards like landslides and heatwaves, offering a low-cost, sustainable alternative to engineered solutions. Techniques such as reforestation, watershed management, and coral reef preservation strengthen natural defenses against environmental threats. In Rio de Janeiro, EbA is employed through hillside reforestation to stabilize slopes and prevent landslides, a persistent risk exacerbated by heavy rainfall and deforestation (Guerra *et al*., 2017). This method's reliance on natural systems not only mitigates hazards but also delivers co-benefits like improved air quality and habitat preservation.
- **Resilience-Based Infrastructure Design:** Resilience-Based Infrastructure Design focuses on creating structures capable of withstanding geo-environmental stresses such as earthquakes, floods, and storms, prioritizing durability and safety. This strategy includes seismic-resistant buildings, elevated roads, and flood-diversion systems tailored to specific hazards. Tokyo exemplifies this with its G-Cans Project—an underground flood diversion system—and strict building codes that mitigate seismic and flood risks, ensuring urban continuity during disasters (Ichinose *et al*., 2021). By embedding resilience into infrastructure, this approach safeguards cities against the increasing frequency and intensity of environmental disruptions.
- **Community-Driven Resource Management Model:** The Community-Driven Resource Management Model engages local populations in managing geo-environmental resources like water and land, fostering ownership and collective responsibility. Through education, decentralized governance, and participatory initiatives, this approach builds resilience from the ground up. Cape Town's response to its 2018 drought crisis illustrates this model, as public awareness campaigns and community cooperation reduced water usage to avert "Day Zero," demonstrating the power of collective action in resource management (Ziervogel, 2019). This strategy excels in harnessing local knowledge and commitment, making it adaptable to diverse socio-environmental contexts.
- **Urban Heat Island (UHI) Mitigation Strategies:** Urban Heat Island (UHI) Mitigation Strategies aim to reduce heat in urban areas exacerbated by climate change and urbanization, using techniques like cool roofs, reflective pavements, and increased vegetation. These methods lower temperatures, improve comfort, and reduce energy demands. Singapore implements UHI mitigation through vertical greenery and rooftop gardens, integrating them into its "City in a Garden" vision to combat heat while enhancing urban sustainability (Tan *et al*., 2018). This approach is particularly relevant for densely populated cities seeking to offset the thermal impacts of rapid development.

- **Risk Assessment and Early Warning Systems (EWS):** Risk Assessment and Early Warning Systems (EWS) use data-driven tools to predict and respond to geo-environmental hazards like floods and landslides, enhancing preparedness and reducing losses. By integrating GIS, remote sensing, and real-time monitoring, EWS provide timely alerts and actionable insights. Mumbai relies on flood risk mapping and EWS to manage monsoon flooding, enabling authorities and residents to take preemptive measures (Gupta *et al*., 2022). This strategy's predictive power makes it indispensable for cities facing recurrent and unpredictable environmental threats.
- **Circular Economy Model for Resource Management:** The Circular Economy Model promotes resource efficiency by recycling waste, reusing materials, and minimizing environmental degradation, addressing geo-environmental pressures from urbanization and resource depletion. This approach reduces waste and supports sustainable recovery efforts. New Orleans adopted circular principles post-Hurricane Katrina by recycling construction debris for rebuilding, aligning resource use with long-term environmental goals (Campanella, 2018). By closing resource loops, this model offers a forward-looking solution for cities aiming to balance growth with ecological stability.

Case Studies on Geo-Environmental Changes and Management Approaches

Copenhagen, Denmark - Climate Adaptation and Flood Management

Copenhagen has implemented a comprehensive Climate Adaptation Plan to address rising sea levels and increased rainfall. The city uses green infrastructure, such as rain gardens and permeable surfaces, to manage stormwater effectively, reducing flood risk while enhancing urban biodiversity (Frantzeskaki *et al*., 2019).

Singapore - Urban Heat Island Mitigation and Water Management: Singapore combats geo-environmental changes like urban heat islands and water scarcity through its "City in a Garden" initiative. Vertical greenery, rooftop gardens, and the NEWater recycling system showcase innovative management of limited resources in a dense urban setting (Tan *et al*., 2018).

New Orleans, USA - Post-Hurricane Katrina Resilience: After Hurricane Katrina in 2005, New Orleans redesigned its approach to flood management with levee upgrades, wetland restoration, and community-driven resilience planning to adapt to recurring geo-environmental threats from storms and subsidence (Campanella, 2018).

Jakarta, Indonesia - Subsidence and Flood Mitigation: Jakarta faces severe land subsidence and flooding due to groundwater extraction and sea-level rise. The city is implementing the National Capital Integrated Coastal Development project, including sea walls and canal systems, to manage these geo-environmental changes (Abidin *et al*., 2020).

Rio de Janeiro, Brazil - Landslide Risk Reduction: Rio de Janeiro has tackled landslides in its favelas through ecosystem-based approaches, such as reforestation and slope stabilization, alongside engineered solutions, to manage risks amplified by heavy rainfall and deforestation (Guerra *et al*., 2017).

Melbourne, Australia - Drought and Urban Water Management: Melbourne responded to prolonged droughts with the "Water Sensitive Urban Design" framework, integrating rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, and green spaces to sustainably manage water amidst changing climate conditions (Wong *et al*., 2019).

Tokyo, Japan - Earthquake Preparedness and Urban Planning: Tokyo's geo-environmental management focuses on seismic risks, with strict building codes, underground flood diversion systems (e.g., G-Cans Project), and community preparedness programs to mitigate the impacts of earthquakes and flooding (Ichinose *et al*., 2021).

Cape Town, South Africa - Water Crisis Management: Facing a severe drought in 2018, Cape Town implemented aggressive water conservation measures, including public awareness campaigns, tariff adjustments, and infrastructure upgrades, to avert "Day Zero" and adapt to climate-driven water scarcity (Ziervogel, 2019).

Rotterdam, Netherlands - Floating Architecture and Climate Resilience: Rotterdam embraces rising sea levels with innovative solutions like floating homes, water plazas, and multifunctional dikes, integrating adaptive design into urban planning to manage flooding and enhance livability (Boelens *et al*., 2020).

Mumbai, India - Monsoon Flooding and Coastal Management: Mumbai addresses monsoon flooding and coastal erosion through projects like the Mithi River restoration and slum redevelopment plans, aiming to improve drainage and reduce vulnerability in a rapidly urbanizing megacity (Gupta *et al*., 2022).

Challenges and Gaps: Despite advances, several challenges remain:

- **Data Gaps**: Lack of reliable and up-to-date data, particularly in developing countries, hinders effective policy-making (World Bank, 2021).
- **Implementation Barriers**: Political, economic, and social factors often impede policy implementation, as seen in Africa's climate adaptation efforts (AfDB, 2020).
- **Interdisciplinary Collaboration**: Addressing complex environmental issues requires collaboration across disciplines, which can be challenging to achieve (UNESCO, 2019).
- **Scalability**: Solutions that work at small scales, such as community-based initiatives, may not be easily scalable to larger regions (OECD, 2022).

Future research should focus on integrated assessments, innovative technologies, social and economic dimensions, and policy evaluation to address these gaps.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geo-environmental changes present significant challenges to global sustainability, affecting land use and land cover (LULC), water and air quality, and overall environmental vulnerability. These changes, driven by both natural factors and human activities such as deforestation, rapid urbanization, industrialization, and resource exploitation, have profound implications for biodiversity, climate stability, and public health. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive and integrated approach that combines scientific research, policy interventions, technological innovations, and community participation. Effective management strategies should focus on strengthening environmental governance through regulatory frameworks, promoting sustainable urban planning, improving resource management, and increasing public awareness. The implementation of zoning regulations, conservation policies, and pollution control measures can help mitigate the adverse effects of environmental degradation. Additionally, investment in clean energy technologies, improved waste management systems, and climate adaptation strategies can enhance resilience against future environmental risks. International cooperation is essential for addressing transboundary environmental issues such as climate change, air pollution, and water scarcity. Collaborative efforts between governments, scientific institutions, and international organizations can facilitate knowledge exchange, policy alignment, and the development of sustainable solutions.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) related to the environment focus on protecting natural resources, combating climate change, and preserving ecosystems for future generations. Key goals include Clean Water and Sanitation (Goal 6), Affordable and Clean Energy (Goal 7), Sustainable Cities and Communities (Goal 11), Responsible Consumption and Production (Goal 12), Climate Action (Goal 13), Life Below Water (Goal 14), and Life on Land (Goal 15). These goals emphasize the importance of global cooperation and sustainable practices to ensure a healthy planet and environmental well-being for all. Moreover, community-based initiatives, such as participatory environmental monitoring and conservation programs, can empower local populations to take an active role in protecting their natural surroundings. Future research should prioritize long-term environmental monitoring, data-driven decision-making, and innovative mitigation strategies. The integration of remote sensing technologies, geographic information systems (GIS), and big data analytics can improve the assessment of environmental changes and enhance predictive modeling. Policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders must work collectively to develop adaptive strategies that address emerging environmental challenges while ensuring sustainable development. This table provides a concise overview of the key environmental changes and the corresponding strategies necessary to mitigate their impacts. By adopting an integrated and proactive approach, it is possible to balance economic growth with environmental conservation, ensuring a resilient and sustainable future for generations to come.

REFERENCES

Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2022). National Air Quality Index. https://app.cpcbccr.com/AQI_India/

Flint Water Crisis. (2023). Retrieved from https:// www. michigan.gov/flintwater

Hanna-Attisha, M., LaChance, J., Sadler, R. C., & Champney Schnepp, A. (2016). Elevated blood lead levels in children associated with the Flint drinking water crisis: A spatial analysis of risk and public health response. American Journal of Public Health, 106(2), 283-290. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.303003

Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T. R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C. O., & Townshend, J. R. G. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. Science, 342(6160), 850-853. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1244693

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/ assets/uploads/2018/02/SYR_AR5_FINAL_full.pdf

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2019). Summary for policymakers. In Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch /srocc/chapter/ summary-for-policymakers/

Nkonya, E., Mirzabaev, A., & von Braun, J. (2016). Economics of land degradation and improvement: A global assessment. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19168-3

United Nations. (2018). World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 revision. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. https://population.un.org/wup/

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR). (2019). Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2019. https://www.undrr.org/publication/global-assessment-report-disaster-risk-reduction-2019

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2016). A snapshot of the world's water quality: Towards a global assessment. https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/water/what-we-do/assessing-water-quality/snapshot-worlds-water-quality

World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241511353

World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF). (2020). Living Planet Re port 2020: Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. https://li vingplanet.panda.org/en-us

Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Berg, G., & Spranger, T. (2010). Nitrogen deposition and plant biodiversity: From plot to landscape level. In J. Sutton *et al*. (eds.), Environmental impacts of nitrogen and sulphur deposition in Ireland: A scientific review (pp. 113-126). Wexford, Ireland: Environmental Protection Agency.

Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnet, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., ... Forouzanfar, M. H. (2017). Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: An analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. The Lancet, 389(10082), 1907-1918. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6

Curtis, P. G., Slay, C. M., Harris, N. L., Tyukavina, A., & Hansen, M. C. (2018). Classifying drivers of global forest loss. Science, 361(6407), 1108-1111. https://doi.org/10.1126/scienc.aau3445

Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A. H., Gessner, M. O., Kawabata, Z., Knowler, D. J., Lévêque, C., Naiman, R. J., Pricer, A., Stiassny, M. L. J., & Sullivan, C. A. (2006). Freshwater biodiversity: Importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. Biological Reviews, 81(2), 163-182. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006950

Fahrig, L. (2017). Ecological responses to habitat fragmentation per se. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022612

Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T. R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C. O., & Townshend, J. R. G. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. Science, 342(6160), 850-853. https://doi.org/10.1126/scienc.1244693

IPCC. (2014). Summary for policymakers. In C. B. Field *et al*. (eds.), Climate change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part A: Global and sectoral aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 1-32). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC. (2019). Summary for policymakers. In H.-O. Pörtner *et al*. (eds.), IPCC special report on the ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Nkonya, E., Place, F., Pender, J., Uwizeye, A., Weldegebriel, H., & Edward, B. (2016). Climate risks, land degradation, and food insecurity in Africa: Inter-linkages and adaptation options. In A. Quisumbing *et al*. (eds.), Food security and nutrition: The role of international trade (pp. 159-178). Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute.

Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., Abell, R., Brooks, T. M., Gittleman, J. L., Joppa, L. N., Raven, P. H., Roberts, C. M., & Sexton, J. O. (2014). The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. Science, 344(6187), 1246752. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/ scienc.1246752

Pruss-Ustun, A., Wolf, J., Corvalan, C., Neville, T., Boschi-Pinto, C., & Stevens, G. (2014). Preventing disease through healthy environments: A global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks. World Health Organization.

Seto, K. C., Guneralp, B., & Hutyra, L. R. (2012). Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. PNAS, 109(40), 16083-16088. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211658109

Turner, B. L., Kasperson, R. E., Matson, P. A., McCarthey, J. J., Corell, R. W., Christensene, L., Eckley, N., Kasperson, J. X., Luers, A., Martello, M. L., Polsky, C., Pulsipher, A., & Schiler, A. (2003). A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science. PNAS, 100(14), 8074-8079. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1331335100

UNEP. (2016). A snapshot of the world's water quality: Towards a global assessment. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme.

WHO. (2014). Quantitative risk assessment of the effects of climate change on selected causes of death, 2030s and 2050s. World Health Organization.

WHO. (2018). Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. World Health Organization.

WHO. (2019). Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene: 2000-2017. Special focus on inequalities. World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund.

World Bank. (2016). The cost of air pollution: Strengthening the economic case for action. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Abidin, H. Z., Andreas, H., Gumilar, I., & Brinkman, J. J. (2020). Land subsidence in Jakarta: Causes, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *79*(5), Article 112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-020-08847-6

Boelens, L., Davoudi, S., & Van den Broeck, P. (2020). Rotterdam's water city vision: Adaptive urbanism in the face of climate change. *Urban Studies*, *57*(8), 1678–1694. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098019868038

Campanella, R. (2018). Delta urbanism and New Orleans: After Katrina. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, *84*(2), 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1080 /01944363. 2018.1436172

Frantzeskaki, N., McPhearson, T., & Kabisch, N. (2019). Nature-based solutions for urban climate change adaptation: Lessons from Copenhagen. *Nature Climate Change*, *9*(11), 823–828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0609-8

Guerra, A. J. T., Bezerra, J. F. R., & Fullen, M. A. (2017). Landslide risk management in Rio de Janeiro: A case study of ecosystem-based adaptation. *Geomorphology*, *295*, 678–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.07.026

Gupta, K., Kumar, P., & Pathan, S. K. (2022). Flood management in Mumbai: Challenges and strategies in a changing climate. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *305*, Article 114362. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jenvman. 2021.114362

Ichinose, T., Shimoda, Y., & Hanaoka, S. (2021). Tokyo's resilience to geo-environmental hazards: Lessons from urban infrastructure. *Natural Hazards*, *107*(1), 345–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-04589-3

Tan, P. Y., Zhang, J., & Masoudi, M. (2018). Perspectives on greening Singapore: A review of urban sustainability strategies. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *38*, 567–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.01.027

Wong, T. H. F., Brown, R. R., & Deletic, A. (2019). Transforming cities through water-sensitive design: Melbourne's journey. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *185*, 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.12.008

Ziervogel, G. (2019). Unpacking the Cape Town drought: Lessons for urban water management. *Water Security*, *7*, Article 100051. https://doi.org/10.101 6/j.wasec. 2019.100051

Abidin, H. Z., Andreas, H., Gumilar, I., & Brinkman, J. J. (2020). Land subsidence in Jakarta: Causes, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *79*(5), Article 112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-020-08847-6

Boelens, L., Davoudi, S., & Van den Broeck, P. (2020). Rotterdam's water city vision: Adaptive urbanism in the face of climate change. *Urban Studies*, *57*(8), 1678–1694. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098019868038

Campanella, R. (2018). Delta urbanism and New Orleans: After Katrina. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, *84*(2), 123–134. https://doi.org/10.10 80/01 944363.2018.1436172

Frantzeskaki, N., McPhearson, T., & Kabisch, N. (2019). Nature-based solutions for urban climate change adaptation: Lessons from Copenhagen. *Nature Climate Change*, *9*(11), 823–828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0609-8

Guerra, A. J. T., Bezerra, J. F. R., & Fullen, M. A. (2017). Landslide risk management in Rio de Janeiro: A case study of ecosystem-based adaptation. *Geomorphology*, *295*, 678–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.07.026

Gupta, K., Kumar, P., & Pathan, S. K. (2022). Flood management in Mumbai: Challenges and strategies in a changing climate. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *305*, Article 114362. https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.jenvman. 2021.114362

Ichinose, T., Shimoda, Y., & Hanaoka, S. (2021). Tokyo's resilience to geo-environmental hazards: Lessons from urban infrastructure. *Natural Hazards*, *107*(1), 345–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-04589-3

Tan, P. Y., Zhang, J., & Masoudi, M. (2018). Perspectives on greening Singapore: A review of urban sustainability strategies. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *38*, 567–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.01.027

Wong, T. H. F., Brown, R. R., & Deletic, A. (2019). Transforming cities through water-sensitive design: Melbourne's journey. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *185*, 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.12.008

Ziervogel, G. (2019). Unpacking the Cape Town drought: Lessons for urban water management. *Water Security*, *7*, Article 100051. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.wasec. 2019. 100051

United Nations. (2015). *The 17 Sustainable Development Goals*. https://sdgs.un.org/goals

****