ISSN: 0975-833X

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 18, Issue, 10, pp. 38643-38650, October, 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.50946.10.2026

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ROLE OF SCIENCE COMMUNICATION TOOLS IN PROMOTING INDIA'S RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BREAKTHROUGHS

Manish Mohan Gore and Geetika Mehta

CSIR-NIScPR (National Institute of Science Communication and Policy Research)

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Received 24th July, 2026
Received in revised form
20th August, 2026
Accepted 15th September, 2026
Published online 30th October, 2026

Key words:
Science communication, Research and Development, India, Digital media, public engagement, Innovation outreach.

***Corresponding author:**
Manish Mohan Gor

ABSTRACT

India has made strong progress in science, technology, and innovation in recent decades. Research institutions such as ISRO, CSIR, DRDO, ICAR, IITs, and universities have produced many important research and development (R&D) breakthroughs. However, a large number of these achievements do not reach the general public, industry, or policymakers in a clear and timely way. As a result, the full social and economic benefits of research are not always realized. Science communication plays a key role in bridging this gap between research and society. This paper examines the role of science communication tools in promoting India's R&D breakthroughs. It reviews both traditional and modern communication tools used by Indian research institutions, including print media, digital platforms, social media, public events, and policy-oriented communication tools. Selected case studies show how effective communication has improved public awareness, encouraged technology adoption, and increased national engagement with science. The paper also identifies key challenges, such as the shortage of trained science communicators, language barriers, and uneven access to digital platforms. Finally, the study offers recommendations to strengthen science communication practices in India in order to support innovation, policy impact, and public trust in science.

Copyright©2026, Manish Mohan Gore and Geetika Mehta. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Citation: ***Manish Mohan Gore and Geetika Mehta,*** **2026.** "Role of Science Communication Tools in Promoting India's Research and Development Breakthroughs*". International Journal of Current Research*, 18, (10), 38643-38650.

INTRODUCTION

India has played an important role as a global player in science and technology over the last few years. The country has gradually made strong research institutions, skilled human resources, and upgraded the scientific technologies. Investment in laboratories, universities, research centers, and innovation centers has improvedsteadily. Indian scientists and researchers have contributedinnovatively in space science, biotechnology, agriculture, health, renewable energy, artificial intelligence, pharmaceuticals, and digital technologies etc (DST, 2020; UNESCO, 2021). These successes have improved India'scientific reputation globally and strengthened its position in worldwide research collaborations. Today, India also participates in research projects globally and contributes to solving universal challenges related to climate change, public health crises, and sustainable development. Major national organizations such as ISRO, CSIR, DRDO, ICAR, and leading universities including IITs and central universities have played a key role in this progress. These institutions not only conduct research but also develop technologies that address both national and global needs. For example, Indian space missions have demonstrated cost-effective innovation and technical capability. Agricultural research has helped improve crop productivity and food security. Pharmaceutical research has supported the production of affordable medicines and vaccines for both domestic and international use.

Research in renewable energy, environmental science, and climate studies is contributing to sustainable development goals. Through these efforts, India has shown that science and technology can directly support economic growth, social welfare, and national self-reliance. In recent years, government support for research and development (R&D) has increased significantly. Various national missions, funding schemes, and innovation policies have been introduced to strengthen the scientific ecosystem. Initiatives such as Make in India, Startup India, Digital India, the Atal Innovation Mission, and several mission-mode research programs have encouraged innovation, entrepreneurship, and collaboration between industry and academia (NITI Aayog, 2020). These programs aim to create an environment where research can move from laboratories to practical application. The government has also emphasized self-reliance in strategic sectors such as defense, energy, electronics, health, and manufacturing. These policy measures show India's long-term commitment to using science and technology for national development and economic transformation. In addition, reforms in higher education and research funding aim to improve research quality and global competitiveness. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 encourages a strong research culture in universities and promotes interdisciplinary learning. The proposed National Research Foundation (NRF) is expected to support high-quality research across different disciplines and institutions.

Greater focus on innovation, startup incubation, and technology commercialization reflects a shift toward outcome-oriented research. Together, these steps indicate that India is investing not only in producing research outputs but also in building a strong and sustainable innovation ecosystem. However, despite these achievements and investments, a major challenge remains. Many research outcomes remain limited to laboratories, technical reports, conference papers, or academic journals. Scientific findings are often written in technical language that is difficult for non-specialists to understand (Bucchi & Trench, 2021). While such technical writing is necessary for academic communication and peer review, it can create a gap between scientists and society. Industry stakeholders, policymakers, students, and ordinary citizens may not fully understand the value, relevance, or application of research results. Because of this communication gap, the broader social and economic benefits of research are sometimes delayed or not fully realized. Useful technologies may not reach farmers, small industries, or startups at the right time. Policymakers may not always receive research findings in a clear and actionable format that supports decision-making. Public understanding of science may remain limited, which can reduce trust in scientific institutions and create confusion about scientific issues. In some cases, lack of clear communication can lead to misinformation, misunderstanding, or resistance to new technologies.

Science communication plays a crucial role in bridging this gap between research and society. Science communication involves sharing scientific knowledge in a clear, simple, and engaging way while maintaining accuracy and reliability (Burns et al., 2003). It is not only about simplifying complex information but also about connecting research with real-life problems and public concerns. Good science communication explains why research matters, how it affects society, and how it can improve everyday life. It also encourages dialogue between scientists and citizens. Effective science communication improves public understanding of science and technology. It builds trust in research institutions and promotes transparency. When people understand scientific processes and evidence, they are more likely to support research funding and accept new innovations. Science communication also supports evidence-based policymaking by presenting research findings in a clear and structured way for decision-makers (Nisbet & Scheufele, 2009). Furthermore, clear communication encourages industry adoption of new technologies, supports startup growth, and strengthens the link between research and economic development.

In the Indian context, science communication is especially important because of the country's large and diverse population. India has many languages, cultures, and educational backgrounds. While English is widely used in academic research, a large part of the population communicates mainly in regional languages. This creates additional challenges in making scientific information accessible to everyone. Differences in literacy levels, digital access, and socioeconomic conditions further increase the complexity of science communication (Baldi, 2007). Therefore, communication strategies must be inclusive and sensitive to local contexts. Clear and inclusive communication can help ensure that scientific knowledge reaches different sections of society, including rural communities, students, farmers, entrepreneurs, women, and marginalized groups. It can inspire young people to pursue careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. In a democratic society, citizens should be able to understand major scientific developments that influence public policy, such as climate change, public health technologies, renewable energy systems, and digital data management. When people are informed, they can participate more effectively in national development processes. Moreover, in the digital age, information spreads very quickly through social media and online platforms. This creates new opportunities for science outreach but also increases the risk of misinformation. False or misleading scientific claims can spread rapidly if not corrected by reliable sources. Therefore, research institutions must actively communicate accurate, timely, and transparent information. Strong science communication strategies are necessary to maintain public trust and counter misinformation. Given this background, it becomes important to examine how science communication tools are used in India and how they contribute to promoting research and development breakthroughs. Although many institutions conduct outreach activities, there is still limited systematic analysis of their overall impact. Understanding the strengths, limitations, and challenges of existing communication tools can help improve future strategies and policy planning. This paper aims to study the role of science communication tools in promoting India's R&D breakthroughs. It focuses on identifying different communication tools used by research institutions, analyzing their impact on public awareness and stakeholder engagement, and examining the key challenges faced in effectively communicating scientific research in India. The study also highlights the importance of strengthening science communication as an essential component of national innovation, inclusive development, and long-term scientific progress.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Science communication has developed significantly over time, both in theory and in practice. Earlier approaches mainly focused on the transfer of scientific information from experts to the public. In this traditional model, scientists were seen as the main source of knowledge, and the public was expected to receive and accept the information provided. This approach is commonly known as the "deficit model" because it assumed that the public lacked scientific knowledge and simply needed to be educated (Bucchi, 2008). According to this view, misunderstandings about science were mainly caused by ignorance, and better information would automatically solve the problem. However, over time, scholars and practitioners began to question this assumption. Research showed that lack of knowledge was not the only reason for public skepticism or misunderstanding of science. People's beliefs, values, cultural backgrounds, and trust in institutions also influenced how they responded to scientific information. As a result, science communication gradually moved beyond the deficit model toward more interactive and participatory approaches. In recent decades, science communication has increasingly emphasized dialogue, engagement, and mutual learning. Modern approaches view the public not as passive recipients of information but as active participants in scientific discussions (Trench, 2008). This shift is often described as moving from "public understanding of science" to "public engagement with science." Instead of only explaining research results, scientists are encouraged to listen to public concerns, answer questions, and involve communities in decision-making processes. This change has led to the development of new communication

formats such as public debates, science cafés, citizen science projects, participatory workshops, and online engagement platforms. Citizen science, for example, allows members of the public to contribute to data collection and research activities. Science festivals and interactive exhibitions encourage direct interaction between scientists and communities. Social media platforms enable real-time discussions and feedback. These models recognize that communication is a two-way process rather than a one-way transfer of knowledge. International research has shown that effective science communication can improve public understanding of scientific issues and increase support for research funding and innovation (Nisbet & Scheufele, 2009). When scientific information is communicated clearly and transparently, people are more likely to trust scientific institutions. Trust is especially important during times of crisis, such as disease outbreaks, natural disasters, or climate-related emergencies. During such situations, timely and accurate communication can reduce panic, prevent misinformation, and guide responsible behavior (Brossard, 2013). Scholars have also discussed the importance of framing in science communication. The way scientific information is presented can influence how audiences interpret and respond to it. For example, explaining renewable energy in terms of economic benefits may appeal to policymakers and industry leaders, while highlighting environmental protection may connect better with community groups. Therefore, communication strategies need to consider the interests and concerns of different audiences.

In addition to improving public understanding, science communication plays a role in supporting evidence-based policymaking. Governments rely on scientific research to design policies related to health, agriculture, energy, climate change, and technology regulation. Clear communication of research findings helps policymakers make informed decisions. International organizations such as the OECD have emphasized the importance of scientific advice in policy processes and the need for accessible communication between researchers and decision-makers. In the Indian context, science communication has a long history, mainly supported by government institutions and public agencies. Since independence, India has recognized the importance of promoting scientific temper and awareness among citizens. Traditional communication tools such as popular science magazines, radio programs, science exhibitions, public lectures, and science fairs have been widely used to spread scientific knowledge (Raza et al., 2002). These tools were particularly important before the growth of digital media. Institutions such as Vigyan Prasar and the National Institute of Science Communication and Policy Research (NIScPR) have played an important role in promoting science communication in India. They have published science magazines in multiple languages, produced radio and television programs, organized outreach activities, and translated complex research into simple language for students and the general public. These efforts have helped build scientific awareness across different regions of the country. Over time, Indian science communication has expanded to include television channels, community radio, educational programs, and digital platforms. Government science festivals, national science days, and student competitions have also contributed to public engagement. These activities aim not only to share information but also to inspire young people to pursue careers in science and technology. Despite these efforts, the existing literature identifies several limitations in the Indian science communication system.

Many studies mainly describe outreach activities without measuring their actual impact. There is limited research on how communication efforts influence technology adoption, startup growth, policy decisions, or industry collaboration (Gupta, 2019; Raghu et al., 2023). In other words, while communication activities are visible, their long-term effectiveness is not always evaluated in a systematic way. Another gap in the literature relates to digital and social media communication. Although Indian research institutions increasingly use websites, social media platforms, and online videos, there is limited academic analysis of how these tools promote research visibility and public engagement. Questions such as audience reach, content effectiveness, language accessibility, and engagement quality remain underexplored. Language diversity is another important issue highlighted in Indian studies. Much scientific communication is still conducted in English, which limits accessibility for large sections of the population. Although some efforts are made to translate content into regional languages, consistent multilingual communication remains a challenge. Furthermore, there is limited discussion in the literature about professional training for science communicators in India. In many cases, communication responsibilities are handled by scientists or administrative staff without specialized training in communication methods. This can affect clarity, audience targeting, and media interaction. Overall, the literature shows that science communication has evolved from one-way information delivery to more participatory and interactive models. International research highlights the importance of trust, dialogue, and strategic communication. In India, there is a strong institutional foundation for science communication, but systematic evaluation and digital impact analysis remain limited. This paper builds on existing literature by reviewing various science communication tools used in India and examining selected case studies of research institutions. By analyzing practical examples and identifying gaps, the study aims to contribute to a better understanding of how science communication can strengthen research visibility, technology adoption, and public engagement in India.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative review approach to examine the role of science communication tools in promoting research and development (R&D) breakthroughs in India. A qualitative method is suitable because the purpose of this research is to understand communication practices, strategies, patterns, and institutional approaches rather than to measure numerical outcomes. Science communication involves interpretation, language choices, audience engagement, and institutional planning. These elements are better understood through detailed review and thematic analysis rather than statistical calculation (Creswell, 2014). The research follows a descriptive and analytical design. It describes the various communication tools used by Indian research institutions and analyzes how these tools contribute to research visibility, public engagement, and technology adoption. The study does not involve primary data collection such as interviews or surveys. Instead, it relies on carefully selected secondary sources. This approach allows broad coverage of institutions and communication practices without the limitations of field-based data collection. Data were collected from multiple reliable secondary sources in order to ensure a balanced and comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Official websites, annual reports, press releases, policy documents, and outreach materials of major Indian R&D institutions were examined in detail. These included institutions such as ISRO, CSIR, ICAR, DRDO, and other government science agencies. These sources provided information about communication strategies, outreach activities, use of digital platforms, science festivals, awareness campaigns, and policy communication efforts. Reviewing official documents helped in understanding institutional priorities and structured communication initiatives. In addition to institutional sources, published academic literature on science communication was reviewed. Journal articles, books, and review papers discussing communication models, public engagement approaches, digital outreach strategies, and policy communication frameworks were included. This literature provided theoretical grounding and helped place Indian practices within a broader global context. It also helped identify shifts in communication models, such as the movement from one-way information transfer to interactive engagement approaches. Media reports and public communication materials were also analyzed. These included science news articles, institutional videos, webinars, social media content, and public announcements. Such materials reflect how scientific achievements are presented to the public and how research institutions frame their messages. Examining these sources made it possible to observe language style, use of visuals, level of clarity, and audience engagement methods. Digital content such as online videos and social media updates provided insight into contemporary communication practices and real-time public interaction.

The study also includes selected case studies of Indian R&D communication initiatives. These cases were chosen because of their visibility, national importance, and relevance to science and technology goals. Examples include space mission communication, agricultural extension systems, and structured institutional outreach programs. Case study analysis helped provide practical understanding of how communication tools operate in real situations and how they influence public awareness and stakeholder engagement. All collected material was reviewed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis involves identifying recurring patterns and organizing information into meaningful themes (Bowen, 2009). Through repeated reading and comparison of sources, key themes such as types of communication tools, target audiences, clarity of messaging, interaction methods, and potential societal impact were identified. Communication tools were examined in terms of their purpose, accessibility, audience reach, and possible contribution to research promotion. While analyzing the materials, special attention was given to how communication supports public understanding, policy influence, and technology adoption. Rather than simply describing activities, the study evaluates their potential impact and effectiveness. This approach allows identification of strengths as well as gaps in existing practices.

Like any review-based research, this study has certain limitations. Since it relies on secondary sources, it does not include direct responses from scientists, policymakers, journalists, or members of the public. The findings are based on publicly available information, which may not fully reflect internal communication challenges or institutional constraints. In addition, the study does not provide quantitative measurement of impact, such as audience numbers or economic returns. Instead, it focuses on qualitative assessment of communication practices and their possible outcomes. Despite these limitations, the qualitative review approach provides a structured and comprehensive understanding of science communication in India. It allows comparison across institutions, identification of common trends, and recognition of areas that require improvement. The methodology is therefore suitable for exploring the broader role of communication tools in promoting R&D breakthroughs.

Science Communication Tools Used in India: India uses a wide range of science communication tools to share research outcomes with different sections of society. These tools aim to reach the general public, students, industry stakeholders, policymakers, and media professionals. Science communication in India does not depend on a single method. Instead, it uses a combination of traditional and modern platforms to ensure wider outreach. These tools include print and traditional media, digital platforms, social media, public events, science museums, outreach centers, and policy-oriented communication formats. Each tool serves a different purpose and targets a specific audience. Together, they contribute to increasing awareness of research and development (R&D) breakthroughs and strengthening the connection between science and society.

Print and Traditional Media: Print media has been one of the oldest and most widely used tools for science communication in India. Before the growth of digital media, newspapers, magazines, brochures, and printed newsletters were the main sources of scientific information for the public. Even today, print media continues to play an important role, especially in areas where digital access is limited. Popular science magazines, newsletters, brochures, and newspaper articles have helped present scientific information in a simplified and readable form (Raza et al., 2002). These formats allow step-by-step explanations of scientific concepts. They are often written in clear language, with illustrations and examples that make complex ideas easier to understand. Many publications are also available in regional languages, which increases accessibility.

Government-supported publications such as *Science Reporter* and *Vigyan Pragati* have made important contributions to science awareness in India (NIScPR, 2021). These magazines publish articles on recent research, technological innovations, scientific discoveries, and national science missions. They also include interviews with scientists, educational features, and special issues on important themes such as climate change, space research, and biotechnology. In addition to magazines, brochures and booklets are often prepared during science exhibitions, conferences, and outreach programs. These materials summarize research achievements and provide basic information for non-specialist audiences. Schools and colleges frequently use printed science materials for classroom discussions and competitions. Although print media may have a more limited reach compared to digital platforms, it remains important in rural and semi-urban areas where internet connectivity may be weak or unreliable (Gupta, 2019; Raghu et al., 2023). Libraries, educational institutions, and community centers still rely on printed science resources. Therefore, print media continues to support inclusive science communication in India by reaching audiences who may not have regular digital access.

Digital Platforms and Social Media: Digital communication has significantly transformed science outreach in India. With

the rapid growth of internet access and smartphone use, research institutions now use digital platforms to share information quickly and widely. Official websites, online reports, videos, webinars, podcasts, and social media platforms have become important tools for science communication (Brossard, 2013). Most major research institutions maintain updated websites where they publish research news, press releases, mission updates, annual reports, and multimedia content. These websites serve as official sources of information and improve transparency. Online repositories and digital archives also allow easy access to research documents and publications. Social media platforms such as YouTube, X (Twitter), Facebook, Instagram, and LinkedIn are widely used to promote research achievements. Short videos, infographics, animations, and live updates make scientific content more attractive and easier to understand (Nisbet & Scheufele, 2009). For example, space missions, technology launches, and national science events are often broadcast live and shared widely on social media. Webinars and online workshops have also become common, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic. These virtual events allow scientists to interact with students, researchers, and the public without geographical limitations. Podcasts and recorded lectures provide flexible learning opportunities.

An important advantage of digital platforms is two-way communication. Unlike traditional media, digital tools allow audiences to ask questions, comment, share posts, and express opinions. This interaction helps build trust and encourages dialogue between scientists and the public (Trench, 2008). Feedback from audiences can also help institutions improve their communication strategies. However, digital communication also presents challenges. Information spreads quickly, and misinformation can also circulate easily. Therefore, research institutions must ensure accuracy, clarity, and timely updates. Despite these challenges, digital platforms have become essential for modern science communication in India.

Public Events and Science Festivals: Public events such as science festivals, exhibitions, open laboratory days, science fairs, and awareness campaigns play a key role in engaging society with science. These events create opportunities for direct interaction between scientists and the public. Large national events such as the India International Science Festival (IISF) bring together scientists, students, educators, policymakers, industry representatives, and the general public (DST, 2020). These festivals include exhibitions, lectures, panel discussions, innovation showcases, and interactive activities. They help highlight India's scientific achievements and encourage collaboration. Science exhibitions organized at schools and colleges allow students to display their projects and innovations. Such events promote creativity and practical learning. Open days at research laboratories allow visitors to see scientific instruments, experiments, and demonstrations. This transparency helps build trust and interest. Direct interaction with scientists reduces fear and misunderstanding about science. When people can ask questions and receive explanations face-to-face, scientific knowledge becomes more relatable (Burns et al., 2003). Public events also provide a platform to discuss important issues such as health, environment, renewable energy, and technology safety. Science festivals and outreach programs inspire young learners to consider careers in science and research. By showcasing real-world applications and success stories, these events contribute to the long-term development of the scientific community in India.

Science Museums and Outreach Centers: Science museums and outreach centers across India promote experiential and hands-on learning. These institutions provide interactive exhibits, working models, demonstrations, and guided tours. Visitors can learn scientific principles through observation and participation rather than only reading or listening (Falk & Dierking, 2013). National and regional science centers regularly organize thematic exhibitions on topics such as space exploration, robotics, biodiversity, energy conservation, and climate change. Many centers conduct workshops for teachers and students to improve science education. Mobile science exhibitions and traveling science vans are used to reach rural and remote areas. These initiatives help bring scientific learning to communities that may not have access to permanent science museums. School visits and community outreach programs expand the impact of these centers. Informal learning environments such as museums play an important role in building curiosity and critical thinking. They create a positive attitude toward science by making learning enjoyable and interactive. Unlike formal classroom settings, museums allow self-paced exploration and creative engagement. Through these activities, science museums and outreach centers contribute to public awareness and encourage lifelong interest in science and technology.

Policy and Industry Communication Tools: Policy and industry-focused communication tools are essential for translating research into practical applications. Scientific research often contains technical details that may not be suitable for policymakers or business leaders. Therefore, specialized communication formats are used to present research findings in a concise and actionable way. Policy briefs, white papers, executive summaries, and technical reports are commonly prepared for decision-makers (OECD, 2015). These documents highlight key findings, practical recommendations, economic implications, and social benefits. They avoid excessive technical language and focus on relevance to policy goals. Technology brochures, innovation portals, startup showcases, and industry interaction meetings help communicate research outcomes to entrepreneurs and investors. These tools emphasize scalability, commercial potential, and real-world impact. Industry–academia partnerships often rely on clear communication to support technology transfer and product development. Research institutions also organize industry conclaves, technology demonstrations, and stakeholder consultations. These events provide opportunities for dialogue and collaboration. Clear communication increases the chances of successful commercialization and public–private partnerships. Effective policy and industry communication strengthens the innovation ecosystem by ensuring that research does not remain limited to academic publications. Instead, it contributes to economic development, startup growth, and societal benefit.

Case Studies: This section presents selected case studies that show how science communication tools have been effectively used in India to promote research and development (R&D) breakthroughs. These examples demonstrate how different institutions combine traditional media, digital platforms, public engagement, and policy communication to reach diverse audiences. The case studies highlight practical strategies and real outcomes rather than only theoretical discussion.

ISRO's Space Missions: The Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO) provides one of the most visible examples of effective science communication in India. Over the years, ISRO has successfully communicated complex space missions to the public in a clear and engaging manner. Major missions such as Chandrayaan-3 attracted national and international attention, not only because of their scientific importance but also because of the way they were communicated. During important mission events, ISRO used live television broadcasts, online streaming, official website updates, and social media posts to share real-time information (ISRO, 2023). Launches and landing attempts were broadcast live, allowing citizens to witness key moments directly. This transparency created excitement and emotional connection among viewers.
ISRO also used simple language and visual explanations to describe complex processes such as rocket launches, orbital movements, and lunar landings. Short videos, animations, diagrams, and infographics helped explain scientific details in an understandable way. These visual tools were especially helpful for students and young audiences. Scientists and senior officials regularly interacted with the media through press conferences and interviews. They explained mission objectives, technical challenges, and expected outcomes. By answering questions openly, they built trust and credibility. After successful missions, ISRO also shared detailed explanations about scientific findings and technological achievements.

Social media played a major role in increasing outreach. Posts related to space missions were widely shared and discussed. Educational institutions organized special viewing sessions for students. News channels and digital platforms continuously covered mission progress, further expanding reach. This communication strategy helped engage millions of people in India and abroad. It increased public interest in space science and inspired many students to pursue careers in science and engineering. It also strengthened national pride and confidence in India's scientific capabilities. ISRO's example shows how clear, transparent, and timely communication can transform a scientific event into a national moment of learning and inspiration.

CSIR Technology Outreach: SMCC, OWOL, and OWOT: The Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) has developed structured and organized science communication initiatives to promote its wide range of research activities. Unlike single-event communication, CSIR has adopted continuous outreach strategies to improve the visibility of its laboratories and technologies. One important initiative is the Science Media and Communication Cell (SMCC). This unit coordinates communication activities across different CSIR laboratories (CSIR, 2022). SMCC prepares press releases, popular science articles, newsletters, short videos, and social media posts. It works to present technical research outcomes in simple and accessible language. By centralizing communication efforts, SMCC ensures consistency and clarity across institutions. CSIR has also introduced innovative outreach programs such as One Week One Lab (OWOL). Under this program, each CSIR laboratory dedicates one week to showcase its research achievements and technologies. Activities during this week include laboratory visits, exhibitions, demonstrations, expert talks, student interactions, and media briefings. OWOL helps connect scientists directly with various stakeholders, including students, entrepreneurs, industry representatives, and the general public. It increases transparency by allowing visitors to see research facilities and understand practical applications. Such direct interaction reduces the gap between laboratory research and societal needs. Another important initiative is One Week One Theme (OWOT). In this program, communication activities focus on a specific theme such as health, environment, water, energy, or rural development (CSIR, 2023). Research contributions from multiple CSIR laboratories related to the chosen theme are presented together.

This thematic approach helps audiences understand the broader impact of CSIR's work across different sectors. For example, when focusing on health, communication materials may highlight vaccine research, diagnostic technologies, herbal medicines, and biomedical innovations together. This integrated presentation makes it easier for policymakers and industry stakeholders to see the combined value of research efforts. These initiatives have improved the visibility of CSIR technologies in areas such as agriculture, healthcare, water purification, and rural innovation. Clear communication has supported technology adoption by farmers, startups, and small industries. It has also encouraged partnerships between research laboratories and private companies. CSIR's structured approach demonstrates how institutional planning can strengthen science communication.

ICAR's Farmer Communication Systems: The Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) provides another strong example of science communication, especially in rural and agricultural contexts. Agriculture plays a vital role in India's economy, and effective communication is essential to ensure that research benefits reach farmers. ICAR uses a variety of communication tools to share agricultural research directly with farming communities. These include mobile applications, Kisan Call Centres, community radio programs, television shows, printed leaflets, and local language booklets (ICAR, 2025).

These tools are designed to deliver practical and easy-to-understand information. One important feature of ICAR's communication strategy is its focus on local and location-specific information. Agricultural practices vary across regions due to differences in soil, climate, and crop types. Therefore, communication materials are adapted to local conditions. Scientists and extension workers explain improved crop varieties, pest control methods, irrigation techniques, and climate-resilient farming practices in simple terms. Kisan Call Centres allow farmers to directly speak with experts and receive advice on crop management and disease control. This two-way communication model ensures that farmers' questions are addressed promptly. Mobile applications and SMS alerts provide weather updates, market prices, and farming tips.

Community radio and regional television programs are particularly useful in rural areas where digital literacy may be limited. These programs present agricultural advice through discussions, interviews, and storytelling formats. Printed materials distributed during training sessions and field visits further support knowledge sharing. These communication efforts have increased farmers' awareness of scientific practices and improved adoption of new technologies. Studies suggest that better communication contributes to higher productivity, improved crop quality, and sustainable farming methods (Babu et al., 2013). By connecting research institutions directly with farmers, ICAR strengthens food security and rural development.

Table 1.

Initiative	Main function	Communication activities	Stakeholders / audiences	Contribution
Science Media and Communication Cell (SMCC)	Coordinate communication activities across CSIR laboratories	Press releases, popular science articles, newsletters, short videos, social media posts	General audiences and stakeholders of CSIR research	Improves visibility, consistency, clarity, and accessibility of technical research outcomes
One Week One Lab (OWOL)	Showcase the research achievements and technologies of individual CSIR laboratories	Laboratory visits, exhibitions, demonstrations, expert talks, student interactions, media briefings	Students, entrepreneurs, industry representatives, general public	Creates direct interaction, improves transparency, and helps stakeholders understand practical applications
One Week One Theme (OWOT)	Present research contributions from multiple CSIR laboratories around a common theme	Theme-based communication and presentation of related research	Policymakers, industry stakeholders, and wider audiences	Helps demonstrate the broader and combined value of CSIR research across different sectors

Source:Based on CSIR (2022, 2023)

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of literature, communication tools, and case studies shows that science communication plays a crucial role in promoting research and development (R&D) breakthroughs in India. The findings indicate that communication is not just an additional activity but an important part of the research ecosystem. When communication is clear, timely, and targeted, research outcomes are more likely to reach society and create real impact. The study also shows that different communication tools contribute in different ways. Each tool has its own strengths and limitations, depending on the purpose and target audience. Therefore, a combination of tools is necessary for effective science communication.

Role of Digital Platforms: Digital platforms were found to be highly effective in providing wide reach and fast dissemination of scientific information. Institutions such as ISRO and CSIR have successfully used websites, social media platforms, videos, and live updates to communicate complex research in simple and engaging formats. These platforms allow information to reach millions of people within a short time. One major advantage of digital communication is speed. During major scientific events such as space launches or technology demonstrations, live updates and online streaming keep the public informed in real time. This creates transparency and excitement. It also reduces the possibility of misinformation because official sources provide accurate details quickly. Digital tools also help reach young audiences who are active on social media platforms. Short videos, infographics, and animations make scientific information more interesting and easier to understand (Brossard, 2013; Nisbet & Scheufele, 2009). Interactive features such as comments and question sessions encourage participation and dialogue. This two-way communication increases public interest and improves understanding of science. However, digital communication also requires careful planning. Content must be accurate, clear, and suitable for different audiences. Institutions must regularly update their platforms to maintain credibility. Despite these challenges, digital media has become one of the most powerful tools for science outreach in India.

Importance of Public Events and Direct Engagement: Public events, science festivals, exhibitions, and outreach programs were observed to be very important for building trust and direct engagement. Initiatives such as the India International Science Festival and CSIR's One Week One Lab (OWOL) allow people to interact directly with scientists. These face-to-face interactions help reduce the distance between science and society (Burns et al., 2003).

When people can see demonstrations, visit laboratories, or speak directly with researchers, they gain better understanding and confidence. Scientific work becomes more relatable and less abstract. Students often feel inspired when they meet scientists and learn about real-life research experiences. Public engagement activities also create opportunities to address doubts and misconceptions. Direct communication allows scientists to explain not only successes but also challenges and limitations. This transparency strengthens public trust. Another important finding is that public events help connect research with local communities. For example, agricultural exhibitions allow farmers to learn about new techniques in a practical setting. Such direct engagement improves adoption of technologies and encourages collaboration between institutions and communities.

Policy and Industry Communication: Policy-oriented and industry-focused communication tools were found to play a key role in translating research into real-world applications. Programs such as CSIR's One Week One Theme (OWOT), technology showcases, and policy briefs help present research outcomes in terms of social impact and economic value (OECD, 2015). Unlike general public communication, policy communication focuses on concise and practical information. Policymakers need clear recommendations, cost implications, and implementation strategies. Industry leaders look for scalability, market potential, and technical feasibility. Therefore, communication for these audiences must be structured and targeted. The findings show that when research institutions communicate effectively with industry and policymakers, the chances of technology transfer and commercialization increase. Clear communication supports startup development, public–private partnerships, and innovation growth. It ensures that research does not remain limited to academic publications but contributes to national development goals.

Key Challenges Identified: Despite many positive outcomes, several challenges remain in the Indian science communication ecosystem. One major challenge is the lack of trained science communicators within research institutions. Communication activities are often managed by scientists or administrative staff who may not have formal training in communication methods (Gupta, 2019; Raghu et al., 2023). While scientists have strong technical knowledge, they may find it difficult to simplify complex concepts for non-specialist audiences. Professional communication training is still limited in many institutions. Another challenge is that science communication is not always integrated into research planning. Communication activities are

often carried out after project completion instead of being included from the beginning (Trench, 2008). When communication is treated as a final step, opportunities for continuous engagement and early awareness are missed. Integrating communication strategies into research proposals could improve impact. Language barriers continue to restrict effective outreach, especially in rural and regional areas. Much of India's scientific communication still takes place in English, which limits accessibility for many citizens (Raza et al., 2002). Although some materials are translated into regional languages, consistent multilingual communication is not yet fully developed. Considering India's linguistic diversity, language-inclusive strategies are essential.

Digital inequality is another issue. While digital platforms have wide reach, not all communities have equal internet access or digital literacy. Therefore, relying only on digital communication may exclude certain groups. A balanced approach that combines digital and traditional methods is necessary. Finally, there is limited systematic evaluation of communication impact. Most institutions report the number of events conducted or materials published, but few measure actual outcomes such as changes in public understanding, policy influence, or technology adoption (Bucchi & Trench, 2021). Without proper evaluation frameworks, it is difficult to improve strategies or identify best practices.

Overall Discussion: Overall, the findings show that science communication in India is growing and becoming more structured. Institutions are increasingly aware of the importance of engaging with society. Digital tools, public events, and policy communication formats have strengthened research visibility. However, communication practices are still evolving. Greater professional training, strategic planning, multilingual outreach, and systematic evaluation are needed to maximize impact. Science communication should not be seen as an optional activity but as an essential part of the research and innovation process. Strengthening communication will help ensure that India's R&D breakthroughs are not only scientifically successful but also socially meaningful and widely understood.

REFERENCES

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.

Brossard, D. (2013). New media landscapes and the science information consumer. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(Suppl. 3), 14096–14101.

Bucchi, M. (2008). Of deficits, deviations and dialogues: Theories of public communication of science. In M. Bucchi & B. Trench (Eds.), *Handbook of public communication of science and technology* (pp. 57–76). Routledge.

Bucchi, M., & Trench, B. (Eds.). (2021). Routledge Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology (3rd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003039242

Burns, T. W., O'Connor, D. J., &Stocklmayer, S. M. (2003). Science communication: A contemporary definition. *Public Understanding of Science*, 12(2), 183–202. PUBLIC UNDERST SCI. 12. 183-202. 10.1177/0963 6625030122004.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

CSIR. (2022). *Science Media and Communication Cell initiatives*. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India.

CSIR. (2023). *One Week One Lab and One Week One Theme: Outreach and impact*. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India.

Department of Science and Technology (DST). (2020). *Annual report*. Government of India.

Falk, J.H., & Dierking, L.D. (2013). The Museum Experience Revisited (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315417851

Raghu, A. V., Amruth, M., & Renju Krishna, V. (2023). *Science communication in India: A social awareness approach*. Communication & Journalism Research, 12(1), 93–103. Communication & Journalism Research.

Gupta, A. (2019). Science communication in India: Institutional challenges. *Journal of Scientific Temper, 7*(2), 95–108.

Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (2025). *ICAR communication policy and strategy*. Directorate of Knowledge Management in Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research.https://icar.org.in/sites/default/files/2025-10/ICAR%20Communication%20Policy%20and%20Strategy.pdf

ISRO. (2023). *Chandrayaan-3 mission outreach and public engagement*. Indian Space Research Organisation.

National Institute of Science Communication and Policy Research (NIScPR). (2021). *Science communication initiatives in India*. CSIR-NIScPR.

Nisbet, M. C., & Scheufele, D. A. (2009). What's next for science communication? Promising directions and lingering distractions. *American journal of botany*, *96*(10), 1767–1778. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.0900041.

NITI Aayog. (2020). *India innovation index*. Government of India.

OECD. (2015). *Scientific advice for policy making*. OECD Publishing.

Babu, S. C., Joshi, P. K., Glendenning, C. J., Asenso-Okyere, K., & Rasheed, S. V. (2013). *The state of agricultural extension reforms in India: Strategic priorities and policy options*. *Agricultural Economics Research Review, 26*(2), 159–172.

Raza, Gauhar& Singh, Surjit & Dutt, Bharvi. (2002). Public, Science, and Cultural Distance. Science Communication, 23. 293-309. 10.1177/107554700202300305.

Trench, B. (2008). Towards an Analytical Framework of Science Communication Models. In: Cheng, D., Claessens, M., Gascoigne, T., Metcalfe, J., Schiele, B., Shi, S. (eds) Communicating Science in Social Contexts. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8598-7_7.

UNESCO. (2021). *Science report: The race against time for smarter development*. UNESCO Publishing.

Baldi, Ashish. (2007). Science communication in India: Status and challenges. Indian Journal of Science Communication. 6. 31-33.

****